The text that follows is a **REPRINT** O texto que segue é um **REPRINT**.

Please cite as: Favor citar como:

Fearnside, P.M. 2007. Why hydropower is not clean energy. Scitizen, Paris, France (peer-reviewed website).

http://www.scitizen.com/screens/blogPage /viewBlog/sw_viewBlog.php?idTheme=14 &idContribution=298

The original publication is available from: A publicação original está disponível de: http://www.scitizen.com/screens/blogPage/viewBlog/sw_viewBlog.php?idTheme=14&idCont ribution=298 http://www.scitizen.com/screens/blogPage/viewBlog/sw_viewBlog.php?idTheme=14&idContribution=298

Future Energies

Guest contribution by Dr Philip M. Fearnside

Filed under : Scitizen >> Technology >> Future Energies >> Why Hydropower is Not Clean Energy Key words : ecology, future energies, greenhouse gas, hydropower,

Why Hydropower is Not Clean Energy

9 Jan, 2007 02:53 pm

Dr. Philip M. Fearnside is a research scientist in the Ecology department at the National Institute for Research

Hydropower is generally presented as "clean energy," at least from the perspective of global warming. Of course, hydro impacts, such as displacing human populations, flooding terrestrial ecosystems and radically altering aquatic ones. Unit significant additional impact of many dams, especially in the tropics. The hydropower industry has reacted strongly to conthe results makes this resistance harder and harder to justify.

"It's baloney!" was the initial response of the industry, as voiced by a spokesperson for the U.S. Hydropower Association was my calculation that Brazil's Balbina Dam was worse than fossil fuels in terms of greenhouse-gas emissions (Fearm northern reservoirs can release greenhouse gases (Rudd et al., 1993). This was only the beginning of the long debate passing through the turbines of tropical dams have been have confirmed by direct measurements of methane release i (April et al., 2005) and the Balbina Dam in Brazil (Kemenes et al., 2006).

In 2002, I published a paper in the journal Water, Air and Soil Pollution calculating that in 1990, Brazil's Tucuruí Dam (t than the city of São Paulo (Fearnside, 2002). Once again, shock waves were set off. The head of ELETROBRÁS (Braz dams) claimed that the study showed that those who say that dams have high emissions (that is to say, me) are subject lobbies." (Rosa et al., 2004; See reply: Fearnside, 2004). In a follow-up attack (Rosa et al., 2006; see reply: Fearnside, bubbles in a leisurely consumed bottle of guaraná (a Brazilian soft drink) would reveal the error in my use of Coca Cola principle that gases have higher solubility under increased pressure (see Giles, 2006; McCully, 2006). I had used the b opened to explain why so much methane (CH4) is released when water from the bottom of a reservoir emerges from the bottom of a reservoir is under high pressure and contains a high concentration of dissolved methane. When the pret the turbines, most of this methane is released.

Methane accumulates in the water near the bottom of the reservoir because the water column is thermally stratified (gesuch that the colder deep water does not mix with the warmer surface water. Since the deep water (hypolimnion) has we than CO2. Organic matter undergoing decomposition comes both from what was originally present in the vegetation are that enters the reservoir each year, one example being from the soft vegetation that grows on the mudflats that are expected again when the reservoir is refilled. Unlike a natural lake where an outlet stream draws water from near the one pulls the plug at the bottom—outflow is through turbines and spillways that are located at depths where the water i greatest in the first years after a reservoir is filled, the annual flooding of the drawdown zone can sustain an appreciable one ton of methane is equivalent to 21 tons of CO2 in terms of impact on global warming, according to the conversions gives hydroelectric dams a significant contribution to the greenhouse effect.

Omissions of methane from the turbines and spillways is the main reason why my estimates of greenhouse-gas emissi times higher than the official estimates Brazil submitted to the Climate Convention in its national inventory (Brazil, MCT official responsible for Brazil's national inventory confessed in a singularly public way that ELETROBRÁS had been inv hydroelectric emissions specifically because the agency would produce a politically convenient result that would avoid (Brazil, MCT, 2002; see Fearnside, 2004).

The dispute over greenhouse gases from hydroelectric dams, as in many scientific disputes, is likely to cause people n lie somewhere between the two sides, presumably at the midpoint. Unfortunately, while the central-limit theorem is a grame type, such as a series of measurements of gas concentrations in the water at a given place and time, the theorem omissions of important components of a problem, in this case the principal sources of methane release: the turbines ar in the "Amazonian Controversies" section at http://philip.inpa.gov.br.

The issue of hydroelectric dam emissions has gained greater public attention following the colorful exchange of "editori 2004, 2006; Rosa et al., 2004, 2006). Outside experts invited to comment on the debate recognized the potential of da and spillways and called for the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) to prepare a special report on the Nations Educational and Scientific Organization (UNESCO) convened a meeting in December 2006 to promote an inter

The fact that hydroelectric dams have significant greenhouse-gas emissions has a variety of practical implications. One power source (Bambace et al., 2006). Another is the need to reduce the net benefit attributable to dams when calculate under the Kyoto Protocol. Most important is having a reasonably complete accounting of the impacts (as well as the be choices can be made in the best interests of society.

References:

April, G., F. Guérin, S. Richard, R. Delmas, C. Galy-Lacaux, P. Gosse, A. Tremblay, L. Varfalvy, M.A. dos Santos and emissions and the carbon budget of a 10-year old tropical reservoir (Petit Saut, French Guiana). Global Biogeochemica (http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002457).

Bambace, L.A.W., F.M. Ramos, I.B.T. Lima and R.R. Rosa. 2006. Mitigation and recovery of methane emissions from doi:10.1016/j.energy.2006.09.008. (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2006.09.008).

Brazil, Ministry of Science and Technology (MCT). 2002. Degravação do workshop: Utilização de Sistemas Automático de Efeito Estufa da Qualidade da Água em Reservatórios de Hidrelétricas, Centro de Gestão de Estudos Estratégicos Ministério da Ciência e Tecnologia (MCT), Brasília, DF, Brazil. (Posted from 2002 to 2006 at: http://www.mct.gov.br/clin http://philip.inpa.gov.br/SITE/publ_livres/Other side-outro lado/hydroelectric emissions/Degravação de workshop-worka

Brazil, Ministry of Science and Technology (MCT). 2004. Brazil's Initial National Communication to the United Nations Brasília, DF, Brazil. 271 pp. (Available at: http://www.mct.gov.br/upd_blob/5142.pdf).

Brazil, Ministry of Science and Technology (MCT). 2006. Primeiro Inventário Brasileiro de Emissões Antrópicas de Gas de Dióxido de Carbono e de Metano pelos Reservatórios Hidrelétricos Brasileiros. MCT, Brasília, DF, Brazil. 118 pp. (A

Cullenward, D. and D.G. Victor. 2006. The dam debate and its discontents. Climatic Change 75(1-2): 81-86. (http://springerlink.metapress.com/(gukybry22evfss3i5ff1i53i)/app/home/contribution.asp?referrer=parent&backto=issu

Fearnside, P.M. 1995. Hydroelectric dams in the Brazilian Amazon as sources of 'greenhouse' gases. Environmental C

Fearnside, P.M. 2002. Greenhouse gas emissions from a hydroelectric reservoir (Brazil's Tucuruí Dam) and the energy 4): 69-96.

Fearnside, P.M. 2004. Greenhouse gas emissions from hydroelectric dams: Controversies provide a springboard for re Change 66(1-2): 1-8. (http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000043174.02841.23)

Fearnside, P.M. 2005. Hidrelétricas planejadas no rio Xingu como fontes de gases do efeito estufa: Belo Monte (Karar A.O. (ed.) Tenotã-mõ: Alertas sobre as conseqüências dos projetos hidrelétricos no rio Xingu, Pará, Brasil", Internation at: http://www.irn.org/programs/_archive/latamerica/pdf/TenotaMo.pdf).

Fearnside, P.M. 2006. Greenhouse gas emissions from hydroelectric dams: Reply to Rosa et al. Climatic Change 75(1 (http://springerlink.metapress.com/(gukybry22evfss3i5ff1i53i)/app/home/content.asp?referrer=contribution&format=2&p

Giles, J. 2006. Methane quashes green credentials of hydropower. Nature 444: 524-525. (http://www.nature.com/natur

Kemenes, A., B.R. Forsberg and J.M. Melack. 2006.Gas release below Balbina dam. pp. 663-667. In: Proceedings of & Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, São Paulo, Brazil.

McCully, P. 2002. Flooding the Land, Warming the Earth: Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Dams. International Rivers

McCully, P. 2006. Fizzy Science: Loosening the Hydro Industry's Grip on Greenhouse Gas Emissions Research. Interr

pp. (Available at: http://www.irn.org/programs/madeira/index.php?id=archive/061117proj_pr.html).

Rosa, L. P., M.A. dos Santos, B. Matvienko, E.O. dos Santos, and E. Sikar. 2004. Greenhouse gases emissions by hyd 66(1-2), 9-21.

Rosa, L. P., M.A. dos Santos, B. Matvienko, E. Sikar and E.O. dos Santos. 2006. Scientific errors in the Fearnside com hydroelectric dams and response to his political claiming. Climatic Change 75(1-2): 91-102. (http://springerlink.metapress.com/(gukybry22evfss3i5ff1i53i)/app/home/content.asp?referrer=contribution&format=2&p

Rudd, J.W.M., R., Harris, C.A. Kelly and R.E. Hecky. 1993. Are hydroelectric reservoirs significant sources of greenhout