The text that follows is a PREPRINT.

Please cite as:

Fearnside, P.M. 2015. Tropical hydropower in the Clean Development Mechanism: Brazil's Santo Antônio Dam as an example of the need for change. *Climatic Change* 131(4): 575-589.

doi: 10.1007/s10584-015-1393-3

ISSN: 0165-0009

Copyright: Springer.

The final publication is available at Springer via:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10584 http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10584-015-1393-3

Tropical Hydropower in the Clean Development Mechanism: Brazil's Santo Antônio Dam as an example of the need for change

2 3

5

4 PHILIP M. FEARNSIDE*

National Institute for Research in Amazonia (INPA), Av. André Araújo, 2936, Manaus,
Amazonas, CEP 69067-0375, Brazil

89 *Email: pmfearn@inpa.gov.br

10 11

12 Revised 23 February 2015

14 Running head: Hydropower in the CDM

15

13

16 **Policy relevance:**

Hydroelectric dams have become major recipients of CDM funding, and the case 17 examined here provides a concrete example of a widespread global problem. The CDM 18 "pipeline" now has 2049 dams in different stages of the approval process. These dams 19 are not additional, as they are being built at a rapid rate by countries such as China, 20 India and Brazil independent of any subsidy for supposed mitigation benefits. The 21 countries that purchase the credit generated by dams can emit more greenhouse gases 22 without their being offset by genuine mitigation. The limited funds available for 23 mitigation are also wasted on subsidizing dams that would be built anyway. In addition, 24 tropical dams emit greenhouse gases despite CDM regulations allowing zero emissions 25 to be claimed by many dams (including the case examined here). 26 27

28 ABSTRACT

29

When carbon credit is granted for projects that would occur irrespective of any subsidy 30 based on mitigation of global warming, the projects generate "hot air," or credit without 31 a real climate benefit. This is the case for tropical hydroelectric dams, which are now a 32 major destination for funds under the Kyoto Protocol's Clean Development Mechanism 33 (CDM). The countries that purchase the credit generated by dams can emit more 34 greenhouse gases without their being offset by genuine mitigation. The limited funds 35 available for mitigation are also wasted on subsidizing dams that would be built 36 anyway. Tropical dams also emit substantially more greenhouse gases than are 37 recognized in CDM accounting procedures. Tropical hydroelectric emissions are also 38 undercounted in national inventories of greenhouse gases under the United Nations 39 Framework Convention on Climate Change, giving them a role in undermining the 40 effectiveness of as-yet undecided emission limits. Brazil's Santo Antônio Dam, now 41 under construction on the Madeira River, provides a concrete example indicating the 42 need for reform of CDM regulations by eliminating credit for hydroelectric dams. 43 44

Keywords: Amazonia; dams; global warming; greenhouse gas emissions; hydroelectric
 dams; methane; mitigation

47

48 1 Dams in the Clean Development Mechanism

49 50

51

1.1 Hydropower's role in the CDM

Hydroelectric dams can be subsidized by sales of carbon credit based on the 52 supposition that they replace fossil-fuel powered thermoelectric plants that would 53 54 otherwise be supplying electricity if the Kyoto Protocol's Clean Development 55 Mechanism (CDM) were not granting carbon credit to hydropower. Hydroelectric dams are an increasingly important form of mitigation under the CDM, accounting for 10% of 56 the credits issued so far but currently representing 26% of the expected issuance of 57 credits from projects in the "pipeline" for funding (UNEP Risø Centre 2013). The CDM 58 "pipeline" is an online database (http://cdmpipeline.org/cdm-projects-type.htm) on 59 projects (both registered and not) with information collected from the United Nations 60 Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) by the United Nations 61 Environmental Programme's Risø Centre. The Risø Centre is independent of the 62 UNFCCC and its mechanism (the CDM) for funding mitigation projects in developing 63 (non-Annex I) countries. As of 13 July 2013, 1943 hydroelectric projects had been 64 "registered" (approved) by the CDM Executive Board, totaling 235.9 million Certified 65 Emissions Reductions, or CERs [tons of CO₂e, or "CO₂-equivalent," the total of all 66 greenhouse gases, such as methane (CH₄), expressed as amount of carbon dioxide (CO₂) 67 that would have the same impact on global warming, in this case over a 100-year 68 period; "ton" = Mg)] (UNEP Risø Centre 2013). Most (83.4%) of the projects submitted 69 are eventually approved: of 2330 projects that either had a decision rendered or had 70 been withdrawn, 83.4% had been registered, 0.4% had been withdrawn, 14.5% had been 71 rejected by the validator (the "designated operational entity," or DOE), and only 1.7% 72 73 had been rejected by the Executive Board (2.0% of those that reached the Executive 74 Board for review).

75

76 The CDM pipeline (not counting rejected projects) totals 2049 dams claiming 77 emissions reductions totaling 115 million CERs (UNEP Risø Centre 2013). China is the 78 leading country in the CDM hydropower pipeline with projects totaling 59.7 million 79 CERs annually in 1374 dams, followed by India with 12.7 million CERs in 243 dams and Brazil with 12.6 million CERs in 111 dams. "Large" dams (> 15 MW installed 80 capacity by the CDM definition) accounted for 50.1% of the projects and 86.4% of the 81 CERs as of March 2013, and the annual amount of expected carbon credit totaled 381.9 82 million CERs per year (Chu 2013). This amount of CO₂ equivalent is equal to 104.2 83 million tons of carbon per year, approximately equal to Brazil's annual emission from 84 85 fossil fuels.

86 87

1.2 Emissions from tropical dams

88

Water in tropical reservoirs normally stratifies, either in whole or in part, leaving 89 anoxic water at the bottom such that organic matter in the sediments forms methane 90 91 (CH₄) rather than CO₂ (see review of emissions in the supplementary online material, 92 Appendix A). Methane has greater impact on global warming per ton as compared to CO₂, and the relative weight attributed to methane affects the impact of hydroelectric 93 dams as compared to fossil fuels, which essentially release only CO₂ (Fearnside 1997). 94 95 Methane has a great impact on an instantaneous basis, but the average molecule of this 96 gas only remains in the atmosphere for approximately 10 years, while CO₂ has a modest instantaneous impact but the average molecule remains for slightly over 100 years. The 97 time horizon used for the comparison (and/or any discounting for time) therefore affects 98

the relative weight attributed to methane in converting to "CO₂ equivalents" (CO₂e). 99 Various atmospheric feedbacks included (or not) in climate models also affect this 100 comparison. Until 2012 the CDM used 21 as the global warming potential (GWP) for 101 methane, meaning that each ton of this gas has the same effect on global warming as 21 102 tons of CO₂ over a 100-year time horizon with no discounting for time. This was based 103 104 on the IPCC's 1995 Second Assessment Report (Schimel et al. 1996). The CDM has 105 now adopted 25 as the GWP of methane for use until 2017 based on the IPCC's Fourth Assessment Report (Forster et al. 2007). 106

107

108 The Fifth Assessment Report, released in September 2013, contains substantial changes affecting the impact of dams. While the GWP of methane increases to 28 if 109 calculated in the same way as in previous reports, that is with a 100-year time horizon 110 and with no feedbacks in the climate models, inclusion of feedbacks now known to exist 111 in the real atmosphere increases the value to 34, and if a time horizon of 20 years is 112 used instead of 100 years this value increases to 86 (Myhre et al. 2013). The latter value 113 effectively quadruples the impact of dams as compared to virtually all published 114 estimates (including those of this author). The 20-year time horizon is critical to policies 115 aimed at containing global warming within the limit of 2°C above pre-industrial 116 temperatures that was adopted at Copenhagen in 2009 by the UNFCCC as the definition 117 of "dangerous" interference with the climate system (Decision 2/CP.15). We do not 118 have 100 years to take effective measures to contain global warming, and rapid 119 120 reduction of methane emission is a necessary part of any strategy to remain with in the 2°C limit (Shindell et al. 2012). Mitigation options such as tropical dams that have 121 heavy impacts on global temperature increase in the next few decades cannot be 122 123 considered "green," even if they show a net benefit if calculated from a vantage point a century in the future. 124

- 125
- 1.3 Undercounting hydropower emissions in the CDM
- 126 127

A CDM regulation allows zero emissions to be claimed if the power density (the 128 129 ratio of installed capacity to reservoir area) is over 10 W/m^2 (EB23, Annex 5). However, a high power density does not result in zero emissions. A high power density 130 means that the area of the reservoir is small relative to the installed capacity; the small 131 area means that emissions through the reservoir surface (from bubbling and diffusion) 132 will be smaller than in a large reservoir, but not zero. Water flow in "run-of-river" 133 hydropower projects can be sufficient to prevent stratification in the main portion of 134 135 their relatively small reservoirs. However, tributaries and bays can stratify, resulting in some methane emission (e.g., the Santo Antônio Dam example discussed in this paper). 136

137

138 Countries with high potential gains from CDM projects have played a disproportionate role in Executive Board decisions (Flues et al. 2008). Brazil played a 139 key role in the CDM's decision to allow dams with power densities over 10 W/m^2 to 140 141 claim zero emissions, which was based on an unpublished submission by Marco Aurelio 142 dos Santos and Luiz Pinguelli Rosa, the former head of Eletrobrás (CDM Methodologies Panel 2006). This submission also proposed the low emission of 100 g CO₂/kWh 143 attributed to dams in the 5-10 W/m^2 range. This submission was also the key to further 144 lowering the limit for CDM eligibility from 5 to 4 W/m², and for lowering the assumed 145 emission for dams in the 4-10 W/m² power-density range from 100 to 90 g CO₂/kWh. 146 Both the 100 and the 90 g CO₂/kWh values refer only to bubbling and diffusion from the 147 148 reservoir surface and are gross underestimates of hydropower impact because these values

ignore the main sources of methane release: the turbines and spillways (e.g., Fearnside 149 2004; Fearnside and Pueyo 2012). The submission by dos Santos and Rosa, entitled 150 151 "Options for Monitoring Emissions of GHGs: Providing Thresholds and Criteria for Hydroelectric Reservoirs" cited in the CDM's decision does not appear on the 152 UNFCCC website. The CDM Executive Board has refused to divulge the document on 153 154 the grounds that it was "not fully approved and only some elements of it were used," but suggested that it could be obtained from the report's authors "if" they were not under a 155 confidentiality agreement (Sethi 2014). Meanwhile the authors refused to divulge the 156 report on the grounds that it had been contracted under just such a confidentiality 157 158 agreement from the CDM Executive Board itself (dos Santos 2014).

159

Despite these refusals, there is little doubt as to the content of the report, since 160 the same issues are covered in various contemporaneous publications by its authors. 161 One may deduce that this 2006 submission does not include downstream emissions 162 (either those from the turbines or from the river below), since the authors omitted all 163 such emissions from their other estimates (e.g., dos Santos et al. 2009; see Fearnside 164 2011). Similarly, one can also deduce that emissions from the reservoir surface (the 165 only emissions source that would have been included) were based on mathematical 166 errors that reduced the estimates by a factor of three (e.g., dos Santos et al. 2008; see 167 Pueyo and Fearnside 2011). The Executive Board (EB) session that approved these 168 changes was described by a participant as follows: "In the EB session, though, José 169 Miguez from Brazil (the new EB chair) suggested that these were in fact very, very 170 conservative figures and that 4 W/m^2 and 90 g/kWh would still be very conservative. 171 Jean-Jacques Becker, the (outgoing) Meth Panel [CDM Methologies Panel] chair, did a 172 173 rather poor job of defending the Meth Panel's figures, no one else had any expertise, so Miguez' suggestion got adopted" (Sterk 2006). 174

175

176 Another regulation favoring approval of dams involves calculation of reservoir 177 area for the purpose of computing power density, which is the installed capacity in Watts divided by area in square meters. A June 2004 clarification approved by the 178 179 Executive Board (EB15) allows a smaller reservoir area to be used in calculating power density (W/m^2) for purposes of taking advantage of a CDM criterion allowing zero 180 emission to be claimed if the power density is greater than 10 W/m^2 . The assumption is 181 that water over the "river course" is not emitting methane. Unfortunately, this water can 182 also emit methane, as shown by numerous studies that have measured reservoir surface 183 184 fluxes at a variety of monitoring points in Amazonian reservoirs (see publications cited 185 above). When a reservoir floods a river's course, the depth of the water increases and its velocity slows, which can allow the water column to stratify thermally and create anoxic 186 conditions at the bottom, thus resulting in methane production. Methane-rich water from 187 stratified bays and tributaries can also move into the area over the main channel, with 188 the methane being released through the surface there. This is true either from the 189 190 perspective of the common-sense definition of "river course" as the river channel that is covered by water year-round, or a relaxed definition (used in the Santo Antônio carbon 191 192 project) that adds to this the floodplain that is normally flooded during the high-water 193 period. 194

- 195 1.4 Additionality
- 196

197 CERs from the CDM contribute to global warming if credit is granted for198 mitigation projects that are not "additional" to what would have happened without the

projects, as required by the Kyoto Protocol (UNFCCC 1997, Article 12, Paragraph 5). 199 "Additionality" means that a project, such as a dam, would only exist because of the 200 sale of carbon credits. If credit granted to dams is non-additional, the CDM hydro 201 pipeline projects will allow this amount of carbon dioxide (381.9 million tons/year) to 202 be emitted to the atmosphere by the countries that purchase the CERs without any real 203 204 offsetting of the emissions by the CDM projects. These projects would also consume a 205 growing share of the money that the world has for combatting global warming; the credit for dams in the CDM pipeline is expected to be worth over US\$1 billion per year 206 ["billion" =10⁹], considering the US\$3.65 per ton CO_2e price for CERs in mid-2008 207 208 (Ecopart 2011). Note, however, that CER prices have since crashed due to lack of progress in negotiations on the post-Kyoto regime and due to over-allocation of permits 209 in the European Union Emissions Trading Scheme, which has a strong influence on the 210 price of CERs generated by the CDM (Barrieu and Fehr 2011). One must assume that 211 countries will eventually take on substantial commitments to reduce emissions under the 212 UNFCCC, creating demand for carbon credit and causing prices to recover. If funds are 213 given to projects that are not additional, the logical result is that fewer mitigation 214 projects are undertaken of other types with a real benefit for climate. 215

216

217 CDM projects justify their claims that the projects would be financially unattractive by using additionality tests in one of two categories permitted under CDM 218 rules: "investment tests" and "barrier tests." Investment tests compare the proposed 219 project with other more carbon-intensive projects to show that the proposed project is 220 less financially attractive than competing investments in the absence of CERs, while 221 barrier tests seek to show that some impediment, such as a technological hurdle or a 222 223 prevailing practice, would (unless overcome with funds from CERs) prevent implementation of the proposed project but would not block implementation of at least 224 one alternative (e.g., du Monceau and Brohé 2011). Barrier tests have allowed many 225 non-additional projects to be approved, particularly in India (e.g., Michaelowa and 226 227 Purohit 2007; Schneider 2007; du Monceau and Brohé 2011). Investment tests, such as the one used by Santo Antônio, allow projects to claim additionality by showing that a 228 229 calculated internal rate of return (IRR) is lower than a "benchmark" (minimum acceptable) IRR value chosen by the project. The IRR is the discount rate that results in 230 the net present value of the project being zero. While IRR calculations can easily be 231 manipulated (Fearnside 2013a), the behavior of the investors offers an unambiguous 232 demonstration of non-additionality that all people can understand, whether or not they 233 have the knowledge or patience to follow IRR calculations. The burden of proof for 234 235 additionality rests with the proponents: there is no need to "prove" that a project is not 236 additional. The CDM Executive Board apparently believes that building a dam before CDM support is obtained does not constitute evidence of non-additionality; this has the 237 238 appearance of revealing bias in favor of approving projects regardless of their true additionality. The damage that ignoring investor behavior does to the CDM's credibility 239 240 has a cost for global efforts to mitigate climate change that goes beyond the impact of 241 emissions that occur from non-additional carbon credit sold by the project.

- 242
- 243 1.5 Sustainable development
- 244

Although Article 12 of the Kyoto Protocol, which created the CDM, specified
that all projects must contribute to "sustainable development" (UNFCCC 1997), this
potential safeguard against damaging environmental and social consequences of
mitigation projects was greatly reduced by a later decision that "sustainable

development" would be defined and judged by each country for itself, rather than 249 following an international standard. Any project receiving a Letter of Approval (LoA) 250 from the host country's Designated National Authority (DNA) is presumed to represent 251 sustainable development. Brazil's negotiators were a key force in this decision: Brazil's 252 priority at Kyoto in 1997 and for several years thereafter was focused on defending "the 253 254 right to development and to make domestic choices regarding environmental sustainability measures" (Cole 2012). After submission to the CDM, designated 255 operational entities (DOEs), better known as "validators," inspect projects and attest to 256 the validity of claims, including contributions to sustainable development. This has not 257 258 prevented approval of projects with major impacts, Brazil's Jirau Dam providing a recent example (Fearnside 2013a). The CDM's contribution to sustainable development 259 is controversial (Appendix B). In the case of Brazil, proposed CDM projects, in 260 practice, are not subject to any effective screening based on sustainable development 261 (Appendix B). 262

- 263
- 264

2

265 266

2.1 The Santo Antônio Hydropower Project

A concrete example: The Santo Antônio Dam

267 The Santo Antônio Dam, under construction since 2008, is nearing completion 268 on the Madeira River in the state of Rondônia in the southwestern portion of Brazil's 269 Amazon region (8° 48'4.0" S; 63° 56'59.8" W)(Figure 1). The dam is being built and 270 operated by Santo Antônio Energia, a consortium of FURNAS, Odebrecht, CEMIG, 271 Andrade Gutierrez and Caixa FIP. The Madeira is a major Amazon tributary that drains 272 273 parts of Brazil, Bolivia and Peru. When complete, in its initially approved configuration, the dam will have an installed capacity of 3150.4 MW with 44 bulb turbines; the first 274 turbine began commercial operation in February 2012 and the remaining turbines are 275 276 being installed at a rate of approximately one per month. The current configuration is 277 expected to be completed in 2015 at a cost of US\$9.3 billion (HydroWorld 2012). 278 Although the dam is considered to be run-of-river, the barrage rises to a height of 55 m 279 above the river bed; initially (prior to losses to sedimentation) the reservoir has a water depth of 46.3 m at the dam. 280

281 282

283

[Figure 1 here]

284 On 2 July 2013 permission was granted to raise the water level by an additional 285 0.8 m (from 70.5 m to 71.3 m above mean sea level; the original proposal was for a water level of 70 m); The 71.3-m level would allow six additional turbines to be 286 installed totaling 420 MW (Tavares and Fariello 2013). This makes the dam's 287 mitigation claim even less likely to be additional, since higher water level means that 288 the dam generates more power, making it more profitable without the CDM than it 289 290 would be under the specifications used in the CDM proposal's Project Design 291 Document (PDD). However, even with the present 70.5-m level a record streamflow in 292 2014 caused severe flooding along the shores of the reservoir, including cutting the BR-364 Highway that connects the state Acre to the rest of Brazil. This lateral flooding 293 would have been aggravated by the reservoir, since the rise in water level began at a 294 295 higher elevation than would have been the case in the natural river (Fearnside 2014a). 296 The impacts of the 2014 flood make it less likely that Santo Antônio Energia will be 297 able to raise the reservoir level to 71.3 m without significant political opposition. The 298 social and environmental impacts of the dam led to intense opposition from

environmental and human-rights groups during and after the licensing process(Fearnside 2014b).

301

The Santo Antônio carbon project was approved (registered) by the CDM 302 Executive Board in November 2013, retroactive to 28 December 2012; the retroactive 303 304 official date makes the carbon credit valid for the European Union Trading Scheme, which had established a cutoff at the end of 2012. Granting CERs to Santo Antônio 305 under the CDM will allow purchasers of these certificates to release 51 million tons of 306 307 CO₂-equivalent (CO₂e) into the atmosphere elsewhere in the world. The purpose of the 308 present examination of the Santo Antônio project is to extract lessons from this example regarding the CDM's regulations on hydroelectric projects, not to judge whether this 309 310 particular project conforms to current regulations.

311 312 313

2.2 Emissions from the Santo Antônio Dam

The treatment of Santo Antônio's emissions in the PDD is reviewed in Appendix C. The PDD claims zero emissions from the reservoir (the only emissions pathway currently considered by CDM methodologies) based on the project's calculated power density. Emissions from other sources, such as decay of trees killed in the reservoir, downstream emissions, and construction of the dam and transmission line are not considered.

320

321 The amount of greenhouse-gas emission from Santo Antônio is very uncertain, since a full study has not yet been done (especially of downstream emissions). 322 323 However, some measurements of CH₄ fluxes and of concentrations in the water and air were made in February 2012 (Grandin 2012; Hällqvist 2012). The environmental impact 324 study (EIA) contains information relevant to CO₂ emission from the biomass in the 325 326 flooded area and from construction of the dam and the transmission line, which is used 327 in Appendix D to produce estimates of greenhouse gas emissions over the ten-year 328 period of the carbon project.

329

The EIA contains estimates of the areas of each vegetation type and land use, as 330 well as biomass estimates for the different vegetation types. These can be used, together 331 with complementary information, to calculate the carbon stock in the flooded area. The 332 ten-year period of the project is a reasonable time to assume that this biomass would 333 decay, releasing its carbon as CO₂ (e.g., Barbosa and Fearnside 1996). The company has 334 335 buried some of the tree biomass in shallow pits; this would slow carbon release, but 336 probably not prevent its occurrence on a decadal time scale. Aside from downstream emissions, deforestation is the largest component of the project's emissions impact, with 337 slightly over half of the non-downstream total (Appendix D, Tables S1 and S4). 338 339

340 Emissions from construction of the dam can be estimated from the quantities of steel, cement and other materials (Appendix D, Table S2). The quantities of materials 341 342 used in constructing a hydroelectric dam are very much greater than those for an equivalent gas-fired power plant. An estimate for an equivalent gas-fired plant is 343 included based on the steel in the turbines (Appendix D, Tables S3 and S4). 344 Construction emissions represent 14% of Santo Antônio's impact excluding 345 downstream emissions (Appendix D, Table S4). The choice of a time horizon assumes 346 that ten years is a reasonable time over which to allocate construction emissions. This is 347 348 a political and ethical decision, not a scientific one. The hydroelectric industry is

anxious to have all comparisons be done on a 100-year basis with no discounting for
time (e.g., Fearnside 1996, Goldenfum 2012); this would make hydroelectric dams
appear relatively more attractive on various grounds, but runs counter to the interests of
society in avoiding dangerous levels of global warming (e.g., Fearnside 2002).

Transmission line construction emissions can be estimated conservatively based on materials used, and ignoring other sources of emission (Appendix D, Table S2). Only half of the construction emissions are attributed to Santo Antônio, the other half being attributable to Jirau.

359 The carbon project counts the electricity produced at the point where it enters the Integrated National System (SIN) at a junction only 5 km from the dam. In reality, the 360 vast majority of the electricity will be used in São Paulo after passing over a 2362-km 361 line built to service the Madeira Dams. The project considers transmission loss to the 362 junction with the SIN as only 3.2% (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 34). However, 363 Brazil's average loss in transmission is 20% (Rey 2012), and the very long transmission 364 line to São Paulo, one of the longest in the world (Moreira 2013), suggests that losses 365 would be greater than the national average. Not only is the transmission line omitted 366 from the PDD's emission calculations, it also affects the amount of carbon credit 367 claimed. Because the PDD claims credit based on the number of megawatt-hours at the 368 point of delivery to the SIN, the amount of electricity reaching São Paulo would in 369 reality be at least 20% less. If a gas-fired plant were used to replace the dam, it would be 370 built near the city where the electricity is used, thus eliminating transmission loss. A 371 gas-fired power plant's CO₂ emissions that are theoretically being eliminated by the 372 373 dam would therefore be at least 20% less than claimed.

374

375 Flux measurements at the downstream sites were not possible due to excessive 376 turbulence for use of the floating chambers. However, a very rough estimate of emission 377 is possible based on the observed CH₄ enrichment of the air (detailed in Appendix D). 378 The estimate involves uncertain information regarding the dimensions of the air mass to 379 which the concentration enrichment values apply and the wind direction that, together with the wind speed, determines the rate at which the air over the river is renewed. 380 These are based on average values, and could have been different at the time of the 381 measurement. The measurement itself could always be atypical. Nevertheless, reasoning 382 from the best information available, downstream emission represents 34.5% of the total 383 if included in the computation for the 70.5-m water level (Appendix D). With 384 385 downstream emissions at this level included, impact of the hydroelectric project's emissions range from 30% to 59% of the baseline scenario emissions, depending on the 386 GWP used to convert CH₄ to CO₂e (Appendix D, Table S3). Both the downstream and 387 upstream estimates assume that the values used, which were measured in the dam's first 388 year after filling, apply to the full period of 10 years. This is uncertain, as reservoirs 389 390 have emissions that oscillate over the annual cycle and that usually trend downwards 391 over the first ten years (by widely varying amounts). A positive feature is Santo 392 Antônio's management plan with a constant water level, albeit subject to variations such as the 2014 floods. A negative factor is the Madeira's large load of allochthonous 393 carbon. A summary of Santo Antônio's emissions compared to supplying the same 394 395 amount of power to São Paulo from gas-fired thermal plants is given in Table 1. 396

397 398 [Table 1 here]

Even if one gives no consideration to downstream emissions because of their 399 high uncertainty, the remaining emissions total ranges from 27% to 43% of the emission 400 from the baseline scenario for producing the same amount of electricity (Appendix D, 401 Table S4). The emission is not "negligible," even when this major potential source of 402 methane is ignored. 403

- 405 2.3 Non-additional carbon
- 406

404

407 The Project Design Document calculates a benefit of 51,464,028 CO₂e over 10.5 408 years (June 2012 – December 2022) (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 35). Sale of this amount of carbon credit will contribute to further climate change if it is not 409 additional. 410

411

The PDD justifies additionality by calculating the project's internal rate of return 412 (IRR) without revenues from sale of carbon credit, and then comparing this value to a 413 benchmark that is claimed to represent a minimum IRR that would be considered 414 profitable (Appendix E). The Santo Antônio project opted for the Weighted Average 415 Cost of Capital (WACC) method (average of cost of debt and cost of capital), which is 416 one of two allowable indices for investment tests. The WACC is a benchmark 417 representing project IRR rather than equity IRR, which is represented by the other 418 permitted method, the Capital Assessment Pricing Model (CAPM) that was used, for 419 example, for the Jirau Dam's CDM project. The benchmark (WACC) calculated for 420 Santo Antônio was 10.35% and the calculated IRR without carbon credit was 5.63% 421 (Santo Antônio Energia, SA 2012, pp. 14 and 16). 422

423

Some measure of common sense is required. Half of the WACC value calculated 424 in the PDD is the cost of debt (calculated to be 3.39%), and the other half is the cost of 425 426 capital, which is calculated at 17.31%. The latter value represents an equity IRR that 427 serves as an indication of the profitability of the venture from the point of view of an investor. Few companies or investors can expect to make a return on investment of 17% 428 429 per year, after taxes, over and above inflation and sustained over a period of ten years. The rationale for being allowed to claim that such a high return is necessary to make 430 Santo Antônio attractive relies on a series of adjustments, representing supposed risks 431 such as "Brazil country risk." While the series of adjustments in the computations may 432 legitimize the practice in legal terms under current CDM regulations, they do not lead to 433 decisions that make sense from the standpoint of combatting global warming. If the 434 435 benchmark is too high, then projects that would happen anyway will be classed as 436 "additional" and granted undeserved carbon credit.

437

438 The clearest indicator that the behavior of companies investing in the project does not match the calculated unprofitability of the venture without carbon credit is that 439 the companies were willing to invest massive sums before the carbon project was even 440 441 submitted, let alone approved. The probability of a CDM hydropower project being 442 rejected, if calculated from the point of first submission, is 16.6% (see Section 1.1), which would be a high risk of losing the vast sums invested. In addition, the market for 443 CERs crashed, with prices falling by over 70%, before many of the major investments 444 445 were made, indicating additional risk that the price would not recover to the 2008 values 446 used in the PDD. This would represent another major inhibitor if the project were really as unprofitable as the PDD claims without revenues from sale of CERs. The Occam's 447 448 razor conclusion is that companies invested in the project with full expectation of

making a profit without any additional help from the CDM, and none of the 51 milliontons of CO₂-equivalent claimed is additional.

451

2.4 Environmental licensing

452 453

454 The PDD says of the environmental licensing that "This process consisted of 64 455 public meetings with the participation of 2000 people from the local communities that inhabit the area of direct influence of the hydroelectric plant" (Santo Antônio Energia 456 S.A. 2011, pp. 46-47). It neglected to say anything about the content of those meetings, 457 458 namely that virtually 100% of what was said was highly critical of the dam (e.g., Baraúna and Marin 2011). The livelihoods of the local population had depended heavily 459 460 on the Madeira River's extraordinary fish resources, which have now been largely sacrificed for the Santo Antônio and Jirau Dams (see Fearnside 2014b). 461 462

463 The PDD form asks for "conclusions and all references to support documentation of an environmental impact assessment undertaken in accordance with 464 the procedures as required by the host Party" (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 47). 465 Santo Antônio's PDD answers this by stating that "The project has all required 466 environmental licenses issued by IBAMA" and listing the licenses (Santo Antônio 467 Energia S.A. 2011, p. 47). Not mentioned are the multiple irregularities in the licensing 468 process. The gravest was replacement of the head of the licensing sector of IBAMA 469 (Brazilian Institute for the Environment and Renewable Natural Resources) just before 470 the preliminary license was approved (the previous sector head had supported his 471 technical staff in declining to approve the license). The new head of the licensing 472 473 department was then promoted to head IBAMA as a whole, and approved the installation license in that capacity. These approvals overrode the technical staff of 474 475 IBAMA, which had taken formal positions against approval of both the preliminary 476 license (Deberdt et al. 2007) and the installation license (Brazil, IBAMA 2008). The 477 licensing and impacts of the Madeira River dams are reviewed in detail elsewhere 478 (Fearnside 2013b, 2014b,c).

479

481

480 2.5 Environmental and social impacts

Readers of the section of the PDD on environmental and social impacts (Santo 482 Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, pp. 42-47) will have little idea of the severity of the impacts 483 of the Santo Antônio Dam. The PDD even claims that "the Project will have an overall 484 485 positive impact on the local and global environments" (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 47). Unfortunately, the dam will have multiple negative impacts, including 486 blockage of the migration of the giant catfish of the Madeira (Brachyplatatystoma 487 rouxeauxii and Brachyplatystoma platynemum), which, until now, have been a vital 488 economic resource not only in Brazil's state of Rondônia but also in Peru and Bolivia 489 (e.g., Barthem and Goulding 1997). Prior to the dams, these fish ascended the Madeira 490 491 River in a mass migration each year to breed in the headwaters of tributaries to the 492 Madeira in Peru and Bolivia; the larvae then drifted down the Madeira to grow to their adult size in the Amazon River. Fish passages installed in the Santo Antônio and Jirau 493 Dams have not been successful in attracting the ascending adult catfish, since the 494 495 instinct of the fish is to follow the main current of the river. The Santo Antônio dam will 496 also affect floodplain (várzea) lakes that are important fish-breeding sites downstream of the dam (not included in the EIA). The reservoir will release methylated mercury and 497 498 destroy the livelihoods of the human population that has traditionally depended on the

Madeira River (Fearnside 2014b). The Madeira River dams, including Santo Antônio,
are surely among the most controversial hydroelectric projects in the world today
because of their impacts and because of the history of their licensing.

502

504

503 3 The global significance of Santo Antônio

505 The case of the Santo Antônio Dam carbon project has important implications for the world. Clearly it is "just" one dam, but it is added to the documented cases 506 507 where carbon projects for tropical dams have been approved by the CDM despite being of questionable additionality. By its nature, the question of whether "all" tropical dams 508 are non-additional, or the more relevant question of whether tropical dams should be 509 510 treated as if all were non-additional, is approached through inductive reasoning – not by deduction from a universal principle. The two other hydropower carbon projects 511 512 examined in Amazonia: Teles Pires (Fearnside 2012) and Jirau (Fearnside 2013a) are 513 non-additional. Environmental activists have compiled less-detailed information on a long list of dams around the world, suggesting that non-additionality is very 514 generalized, including in China and India (Yan 2013). A study of CDM projects in 515 China and India has shown multiple ways that benchmarks have been manipulated to 516 517 allow approval of non-additional projects (Haya 2009).

518

The decisions to which this information is relevant are policy decisions. As such, 519 520 they are decisions that must be made, and this is done based on the best information available, rather than only on information meeting a criterion such as a statistical 521 significance at the 5% level. In fact, most policy decisions, such as the choice of 522 523 economic measures to contain inflation or increase employment, are based on information with levels of uncertainty much higher than those regarding the climate 524 benefits (or lack thereof) associated with granting carbon credit to tropical dams. 525 526 Delaying action on a halt to such credit on the grounds of excessive uncertainty is, in 527 fact, an endorsement of the practice. Every day that nothing is changed a decision is 528 being made to do nothing. The theoretical possibility of some dams being additional does not justify continuing to grant CDM funds to tropical dams (Fearnside 2013a). 529 Santo Antônio being a large, run-of-river dam, represents a good choice of what should 530 be a model project from the point-of-view of emissions per MWh, but, on closer 531 examination, this benefit is found to be less than claimed. 532

533

534 Every tropical dam does not have to be non-additional for it to be the best 535 decision to halt carbon credit for these dams. Carbon credit is a tool in the fight against global warming - not an entitlement to which companies or governments have any sort 536 of moral right. If, in practice, granting credit to dams is doing more harm than good, or 537 even if truly additional dams were frequent enough to result in a modest net benefit but 538 using funds to subsidize dams has less gain for climate than would spending the money 539 540 on a different category of mitigation measure, then the credit for dams should be 541 discontinued immediately. The Santo Antônio carbon project adds one more case 542 pointing to this as the logical conclusion.

543

544 4 Conclusions545

The example of the Santo Antônio Dam shows that, in practice, CDM regulations award credit to dams that are not additional to what would occur without the subsidy. The credit granted for such dams therefore allows the countries purchasing the

 credit to emit greenhouse gases without a corresponding real offset. In addition, tropica hydroelectric dams themselves emit more greenhouse gases than are recognized in CDM procedures. Thus, the Santo Antônio example adds to a growing body of evidencies supporting the conclusion that the practice of granting earbon credit to tropical dams should be halted immediately. Acknowledgements The author's research is supported exclusively by academic sources: Conselho Naciona do Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq: Proc. 305880/2007-1; 304020/2010-9; 573810/2008-7; 575853/2008-5) Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas – FAPFAM (Proc. 708565) and Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas c Amazônia (INPA: PRJ15.125). References Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidrelétricas de Jirau, Santo Antônio e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (ed) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujcitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Reconomics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Beronomics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Aconomics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Aconomics and Poli		
 hydroerectric dams themselves entit indre greenhouse gases that are recognized in supporting the conclusion that the practice of granting carbon credit to tropical dams should be halted immediately. Acknowledgements Acknowledgements The author's research is supported exclusively by academic sources: Conselho Naciona do Desenvolvimento Cientifico e Tecnológico (CNPq: Proc. 305880/2007-1; 304020/2010-9; 573810/2008-7; 575853/2008-5) Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas – FAPEAM (Proc. 708565) and Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas c Amazônia (INPA: PRJ15.125). References Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidre/étricas de Jirau, Santo Antônio e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (cd) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Meronents working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment tworking papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Aconomics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change MeroNorement, London, UK. uri: http://cprints.lsc.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%	549	credit to emit greenhouse gases without a corresponding real offset. In addition, tropical
 CDM procedures. Thus, the Santo Antonio example adds to a growing body of evidence supporting the conclusion that the practice of granting carbon credit to tropical dams should be halted immediately. Acknowledgements The author's research is supported exclusively by academic sources: Conselho Naciona do Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq: Proc. 305880/2007-1; 304020/2010-9; 573810/2008-7; 575853/2008-5) Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas – FAPEAM (Proc. 708565) and Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas of Amazônia (INPA: PRJ15.125). References Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidrelétricas de Jirau, Santo Antônio e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (ed) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. uri: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28Isero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasilciro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Resorch Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. uri: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and pplication_of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasilciro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Natura	550	nydroelectric dams themselves emit more greenhouse gases than are recognized in
 supporting the conclusion that the practice of granting carbon credit to tropical dams should be halted immediately. Acknowledgements Acknowledgements The author's research is supported exclusively by academic sources: Conselho Naciona do Desenvolvimento Cientifico e Tecnológico (CNPq: Proc. 305880/2007-1; 304020/2010-9; 573810/2008-5) Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas – FAPEAM (Proc. 708565) and Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas c Amazônia (INPA: PRJ15.125). References Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidrelétricas de Jirau, Santo Antônio e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (ed) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the storage and policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment Vicing and polication for spread option pricing/28lsero%29.pdf Barthern R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Colum	551	CDM procedures. Thus, the Santo Antonio example adds to a growing body of evidence
 Acknowledgements Acknowledgements The author's research is supported exclusively by academic sources: Conselho Naciona do Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq: Proc. 305880/2007-1; 304020/2010-9; 573810/2008-7; 575853/2008-5) Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas – FAPEAM (Proc. 708565) and Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas of Amazônia (INPA: PRJ15.125). References Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidrelétricas de Jirau, Santo Antônio e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (ed) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barricu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://cprints.ls.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling.and_ Dpilcation_for_spread_option_pricing%28Isero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parceer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILLC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dos	552	supporting the conclusion that the practice of granting carbon credit to tropical dams
 Acknowledgements Acknowledgements The author's research is supported exclusively by academic sources: Conselho Naciona do Desenvolvimento Cientifico e Tecnológico (CNPq: Proc. 305880/2007-1; 304020/2010-9; 573810/2008-7; 575853/2008-5) Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas – FAPEAM (Proc. 708565) and Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas e Amazônia (INPA: PRJ15.125). References Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidrelétricas de Jirau, Santo António e Belo Monte, In: Zhouri A. (cd) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barricu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working pileation_for_spread_option_pricing%281sero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILLC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008, Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossi/Mad/Docu	553	should be halted immediately.
 Acknowledgements Acknowledgements	554	
 The author's research is supported exclusively by academic sources: Conselho Naciona do Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq: Proc. 305880/2007-1; 304020/2010-9; 573810/2008-7; 575853/2008-5) Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas – FAPEAM (Proc. 708565) and Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas of Amazônia (INPA: PRJ15.125). References Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidrelétricas de Jirau, Santo António e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (ed) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lscro%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENF/DILI.C/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008 li_st_antoni%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Pane	555	Acknowledgements
 The author's research is supported exclusively by academic sources: Consento Nacional do Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq: Proc. 305880/2007-1; 304020/2010-9; 573810/2008-7; 575853/2008-5) Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas – FAPEAM (Proc. 708565) and Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas c Amazônia (INPA: PRJ15.125). References Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidrelétricas de Jirau, Santo Antônio e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (ed) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK, url: http://cprints.lsc.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28Isero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008-COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrica de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasilia, DF, Brazil, Available at: http://philp.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossic/Mad/Documentos%200ficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_I_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Pa	556	The such and a mental second size has been a demission of the Competition of the Marianal
 ab Desenvolvimento Centrito e Techologico (CNrq: Proc. 30586/2007-1; 30402/2010-9; 573810/2008-7; 57853/2008-5) rundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas – FAPEAM (Proc. 708565) and Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas c Amazônia (INPA: PRJ15.125). References Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidrelétricas de Jirau, Santo Antônio e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (ed) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and CER_price_modeling_and_ pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28Isero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the el	55/	Le Desenver la research 15 supported exclusively by academic sources: Conselho Nacional
 599 304020/2010-9; 573810/2008-7; 57385/2008-5) Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas – FAPEAM (Proc. 708565) and Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas c Amazônia (INPA: PRJ15.125). References Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidrelétricas de Jirau, Santo Antônio e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (ed) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Bartem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008-COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrica de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_ivres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric res	558	do Desenvolvimento Científico e Techologico (CNPq: Proc. $305880/2007-1$;
 Estado do Amazonas – FAPEAM (Proc. 708565) and instituto Nacional de Pesquisas e Amazônia (INPA: PRJ15.125). References Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidrelétricas de Jirau, Santo Antônio e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (ed) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/375761/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_ pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28Isero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DLIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%Sb-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects	559	304020/2010-9; $5/3810/2008-7$; $5/5853/2008-5$) Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do
 Amazonia (INPA: PKJ15.125). References Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidrelétricas de Jirau, Santo Antônio e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (ed) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute On Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and The Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_ pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_I_ist_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Pa	560	Estado do Amazonas – FAPEAM (Proc. 708565) and Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da $(D_{1}D_{1}D_{2}D_{2}D_{2}D_{2}D_{2}D_{2}D_{2}D_{2$
 References Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidrelêtricas de Jirau, Santo Antônio e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (ed) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_ pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da armissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrice de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossic/Mad/Documentos%200ficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_Ii_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/	561	Amazonia (INPA: PRJ15.125).
 Keterences Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidrelétricas de Jirau, Santo Antônio e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (ed) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_ pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_iivres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%200ficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_Li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	562	
 Baraúna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O "fator participativo" nas audiências públicas das hidrelétricas de Jirau, Santo Antônio e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (ed) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_ pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renovávcis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%200ficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	563	References
 Barduna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O Tator participativo nas audiencias publicas das hidrelétricas de Jirau, Santo Antônio e Belo Monte. In: Zhouri A. (ed) As Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_ pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28Isero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DLILC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%200ficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	564	Densána CMO Marin DEA (2011) O "fatan narti sinatisa" na andián isa náhlisza da
 beform indefetted as de Jirau, Santo Antono e Beto Monte. In: Johuri A. (ed) AS Tensões do Lugar: Hidrelétricas, Sujeitos e Licenciamento ambiental. Editora UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://prints.lsc.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_ pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28Isero%29,pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008 li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repa	565	Barauna GMQ, Marin REA (2011) O fator participativo nas audiencias publicas das
 ⁵⁶⁷ UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 ⁵⁶⁸ UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, pp 93-125 ⁵⁶⁹ Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 ⁵⁷³ Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://cprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28Isero%29.pdf ⁵⁸¹ Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp ⁵⁸⁵ Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008-COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antoni%5b-08-08-08.pdf ⁵⁹⁹ CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	566	nidreletricas de Jirau, Santo Antonio e Belo Monte. In: Znouri A. (ed) As
 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28Isero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008-COHID/CGENE/DILLC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	567	LIEMC, Dela Hariganta, Minas Caraia, Progil, np. 02, 125
 Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_ pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%200ficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	568	UFMG, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazii, pp 93-125
 Barbosa RI, rearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazona. Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and release of aboveground carbon. Jour Geophys Res (Atmos) 101(D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_plication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008-COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	569	Derhage BL Ecomoide DM (1006) Desture hymring in Amogenia, Dynamics of neidyel
 (Atmos) 101 (D20):25,847-25,857. doi:10.1029/96JD02090 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_ pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%200ficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	570	Barbosa RI, Fearnside PM (1996) Pasture burning in Amazonia. Dynamics of residual
 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_ pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	5/1	(Δt_{max}) 101(D20):25.847.25.857. doi:10.1020/061D02000
 Barrieu P, Fehr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and application for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_ pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28Isero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	5/2	(Aunos) 101(D20).23,847-23,857. doi:10.1029/90JD02090
 Barred 1, Feln W (2011) Integrated EOA and CER price indefing and apprication for spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_ pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Officiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	575	Barrieu P. Febr M (2011) Integrated EUA and CEP price modeling and application for
 Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008-COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	574	spread option pricing. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and
 bit of annual Rescaled institute on Chinate Change and the Environment working papers, 40. Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008-COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%200ficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	575	Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment working
 Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London, UK. url: http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	570	napers 40 Centre for Climate Change Economics and Policy and Grantham
 http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated_EUA_and_CER_price_modeling_and_pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008-COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	578	Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment London LIK url
 bit intp://cprints.ise.ac.at/97976/infintegrated_D07_and_0114_price_indecnig_and_pplication_for_spread_option_pricing%28lsero%29.pdf Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008-COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	579	http://enrints.lse.ac.uk/37576/1/Integrated EUA and CER price modeling and a
 Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	580	nup.//eprints.ise.ae.uk/97970/1/integrated_DOTA_and_CDAC_price_inodening_and_a
 Barthem R, Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology, Migration, and Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	581	ppheaton_for_spread_option_priemg/020iser0/029.pdf
 Conservation of Amazon Predators. Columbia University Press, New York, NY USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	582	Barthem R. Goulding M (1997) The Catfish Connection: Ecology Migration and
 USA. 184 pp Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	583	Conservation of Amazon Predators Columbia University Press New York NY
 Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	584	USA 184 np
 Brazil, IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	585	
 Renováveis) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008- COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%200ficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	586	Brazil IBAMA (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais
 COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008. Assunto: Análise da solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	587	Renovávejs) (2008) Parecer Técnico No. 45/2008-
 solicitação da emissão da Licença de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	588	COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA de 08 de agosto de 2008 Assunto ⁻ Análise da
 de Santo Antônio. IBAMA, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	589	solicitação da emissão da Licenca de Instalação do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico
 http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	590	de Santo Antônio IBAMA Brasília DF Brazil Available at
 MA_parecer_tecnico_45_2008_li_st_antonio%5b-08-08-08.pdf CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	591	http://philip inpa gov br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/IBA
 CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	592	MA parecer tecnico 45 2008 li st antonio%5b-08-08-08 pdf
 CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	593	
 hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meetin Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	594	CDM Methodologies Panel (2006) Draft thresholds and criteria for the eligibility of
 Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. 2 pp. url: http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf 	595	hydroelectric reservoirs as CDM projects. CDM Meth Panel Nineteenth Meeting
http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19_repan_10_Hydro.pdf	596	Report, Annex 10, UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany, 2 pp. url
	597	http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/meeting/06/Meth19 repan 10 Hvdro.pdf
598	598	1

599 600 601 602	Chu S (2013) Spreadsheet of hydro projects in the CDM project pipeline [as of March 2013]. International Rivers, Berkeley, CA, USA. url: http://www.internationalrivers.org/resources/spreadsheet-of-hydro-projects-in-the-cdm-project-pipeline-4039
603 604 605 606 607	Cole JC (2012) Genesis of the CDM: the original policymaking goals of the 1997 Brazilian proposal and their evolution in the Kyoto protocol negotiations into the CDM. Int Environ Agreements 12:41–61. doi 10.1007/s10784-010-9132-8
607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617	Deberdt G, Teixeira I, Lima LMM, Campos MB, Choueri RB, Koblitz R, Franco SR, Abreu VLS (2007) Parecer Técnico No. 014/2007 de 21 de março de 2007. Assunto: Análise técnica do EIA/RIMA e de documentos correlatos referentes ao AHE de Santo Antônio e AHE de Jirau, ambos no rio Madeira, visando emissão de parecer quanto à viabilidade ambiental dos empreendimentos. FCOHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA. Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis (IBAMA), Brasília, DF, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%200ficiais/Made iraparecer.pdf
618 619 620 621	dos Santos MA (2014) Trabalho técnico sobre GEEs de hidrelétricas. Email of 16 January 2014, 10:08 AM, from Marco Aurélio dos Santos to Philip M. Fearnside (available from PMF).
622 623 624 625	dos Santos MA, Rosa LP, Matvienko B, dos Santos EO, D'Almeida Rocha CHE, Sikar E, Silva MB, Ayr Júnior MPB (2008) Emissões de gases de efeito estufa por reservatórios de hidrelétricas. Oecologia Brasiliensis 12(1):116-129
626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634	 dos Santos MA, Rosa LP, Nassi CD (2009) Emissões de gases de efeito estufa do reservatório hidrelétrico de Belo Monte – Fase de pré-enchimento do reservatório, In: Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico Belo Monte: Estudo de Impacto Ambiental. Fevereiro de 2009. Centrais Elétricas Brasileiras (ELETROBRÁS), Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. Volume 8, Appendix 7.1.3.1. 141 pp. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/BM/DocsOf/EIA-09/Vol%2008/ANEXO%207.1.3-1%20-%20EFEITO%20ESTUFA/Ap%C3%AAndice%207.1.3-1.pdf
635 636 637 638	du Monceau T, Brohé A (2011) Briefing paper "Baseline Setting and Additionality Testing within the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM)". ED56638 Ref: CLIMA.B.3/ETU/2010/0020r. AEA Technology plc, London,UK. 42 pp. http://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/ets/linking/docs/additionality_baseline_en.pdf
639 640 641 642 643 644 645	Ecopart (Ecopart Assessoria em Negócios Empresariais Ltda.) (2011) Project design document form (CDM PDD) - Version 03. Available at: http://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/G/Y/E/GYE0D3RQV8K9I4S1WCO2JTFHAN LU7M/Teles_Pires_PDD_24012012.pdf?t=NUx8bHp4NjY2fDCy286b2TU- 8uLt2EV00sA6
645 646 647 648	Fearnside PM (1996) Montreal meeting on 'greenhouse' gas impact of hydroelectric dams. Environ Conserv 23(3):272-273. doi:10.1017/S0376892900038935

649	Fearnside PM (1997) Greenhouse-gas emissions from Amazonian hydroelectric
650	reservoirs: The example of Brazil's Tucuruí Dam as compared to fossil fuel
651	alternatives. Environmental Conservation 24(1):64-75.
652	doi:10.1017/S0376892997000118
653	
654	Fearnside PM (2002) Time preference in global warming calculations: A proposal for a
655	unified index. Ecol Econom 41(1):21-31. doi:10.1016/S0921-8009(02)00004-6
656	
657	Fearnside PM (2004) Greenhouse gas emissions from hydroelectric dams:
658	Controversies provide a springboard for rethinking a supposedly "clean" energy
659	source. Climatic Change 66(2-1):1-8.
660	doi:10.1023/B:CLIM.0000043174.02841.23
661	
662	Fearnside PM (2011) Gases de Efeito Estufa no EIA-RIMA da Hidrelétrica de Belo
663	Monte Novos Cadernos NAFA 14(1):5-19
664	
665	Fearnside PM (2012) Carbon credit for hydroelectric dams as a source of greenhouse-
666	gas emissions: The example of Brazil's Teles Pires Dam Mitig Adapt Strat
667	Global Change 18(5):691-699 doi:10.1007/s11027-012-9382-6
668	010001 Change 10(0).091 099. doi:10.1007/011027 012 9502 0
669	Fearnside PM (2013a) Credit for climate mitigation by Amazonian dams: Loopholes
670	and impacts illustrated by Brazil's Jirau Hydroelectric Project Carbon Manage
671	4(6):681-696 doi: 10.4155/CMT 13.57
672	+(0).001-070. doi: 10.4155/CM11.15.57
673	Fearnside PM (2013b) Decision-making on Amazon dams: Politics trumps uncertainty
674	in the Madeira River sediments controversy. Water Alternatives 6(2):313-325
675	In the Wadena River seaments controversy. Water Merhanves $O(2)$.515 525
676	Fearnside PM (2014a) As harragens e as inundações no rio Madeira. Ciência Hoie
677	53(314):56-57
678	55(514).50-57.
679	Fearnside PM (2014b) Impacts of Brazil's Madeira River dams: Unlearned lessons for
680	hydroelectric development in Amazonia Environ Science and Policy 38:164-
681	172 doi: 10.1016/i envsci 2013.11.004
607	1/2 doi: 10.1010/j.cnvsci.2015.11.004
692	Fearnside PM (2014c) Brazil's Madeira River dams: A setback for environmental
684	policy in Amazonian development. Water Alternatives 7(1):156-160
685	poney in Amazoman development. Water Atternatives 7(1):150-109
686	Fearnside PM Puevo S: (2012) Underestimating greenhouse-gas emissions from
697	tronical dams. Nature Climate Change 2(6):382, 384, doi:10.1038/nclimate1540
600	tropical dams. Nature Chinate Change $2(0).582-584$. doi:10.1058/nethilate1540
600	Eluce E. Michaelowa A. Michaelowa K (2008) UN approval of groophouse and amission
600	reduction projects in developing countries: The political according of the CDM
601	Executive Board, Proceedings of the Corman Davelonment Economics
603	Conference 2008 (Zürich) url: http://www.coonstor.cu/handle/10/10/20806
692	Conference, 2008 (Zurich). uri. http://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/39890
604	Forster D & 50 others (2007) Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and Padietive
094 605	Forsing In: Solomon S. Oin D. Manning M. Chan Z. Marquis M. Averet V.D.
605	Tignor M. Miller HI. (eds) Climata Change 2007: The Divisional Science Desig
090	Contribution of Working Croup I to the Fourth Aggesment Deport of the
09/	Controlution of working Group i to the Fourth Assessment Report of the

698 699	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp 129-234
700	
701	Goldenfum JA (2012) Challenges and solutions for assessing the impact of freshwater
702	reservoirs on natural GHG emissions. Ecohydrol & Hydrobiol 12:115-122. doi:
703	10.2478/v10104-012-0011-5
704	
705	Grandin K (2012) Variations of Methane Emissions within and between Three
706	Hydroelectric Reservoirs in Brazil. Department of Ecology and Evolution.
707	Limnology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, 71 pp, url:
708	http://www.ibg.uu.se/digitalAssets/130/130865_172grandin.pdf
709	
710	Hällqvist E (2012) Methane emissions from Three Tropical Hydroelectrical Reservoirs
711	Committee of Tropical Ecology Uppsala University Uppsala Sweden 46 pp
712	url http://www.ibg.uu.se/digitalAssets/122/122484_hallqvist-emma-report.pdf
713	
714	Hava B (2009) Measuring Emissions Against an Alternative Future: Fundamental Flaws
715	in the Structure of the Kyoto Protocol's Clean Development Mechanism. Energy
716	and Resources Group Working Paper ERG09-001. University of California.
717	Berkeley, CA, USA, url: http://bhaya.berkeley.edu/docs/Haya-ER09-001-
718	Measuring emissions against an alternative future.pdf
719	
720	HydroWorld (2012) First turbine operational at Brazil's Santo Antonio hydro plant.
721	HydroWorld 28 February 2012. url:
722	http://www.hydroworld.com/index/display/article-
723	display/9697375374/articles/hrhrw/hydroindustrynews/newdevelopment/2012/0
724	2/first-turbine operational.html?cmpid=EnlHydroMarch62012
725	
726	Michaelowa A, Purohit P (2007) Additionality determination of Indian CDM projects:
727	Can Indian CDM project developers outwit the CDM Executive Board?
728	Discussion Paper CDM-1. url:
729	http://www.researchgate.net/publication/244483594 Additionality determinatio
730	n_of_Indian_CDM_projects/file/5046351d3dd4219661.pdf
731	
732	Moreira R (2013) Brasil terá uma das maiores linhas de transmissão do mundo. O
733	Estado de São Paulo, 6 December 2013. url:
734	http://economia.estadao.com.br/noticias/economia,brasil-tera-uma-das-maiores-
735	linhas-de-transmissao-do-mundo,172205,0.htm
736	
737	Myhre G & 37 others (2013) Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. In: Stocker
738	TF, Qin D, Plattner G-K, Tignor M, Allen SK, Boschung J, Nauels A, Xia Y,
739	Bex, V, Midgley PM (eds). Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis.
740	Working Group I Contribution to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report. Cambridge
741	University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp 661-740. Available at:
742	http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/
743	
744	Pueyo S, Fearnside PM (2011) Emissões de gases de efeito estufa dos reservatórios de
745	hidrelétricas: Implicações de uma lei de potência. Oecologia Australis
746	15(2):114-127. doi: 10.4257/oeco.2011.1502.02. English translation available at:

747 748	http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/mss%20and%20in%20press/Pueyo%20&% 20Fearnside-GHGs%20FROM%20%20RESERVOIRSengl.pdf
749	
750 751	Rey O (2012) Um olhar para as grandes perdas de energia no sistema de transmissão elétrico brasileiro, pp. 40-44 In: Moreira PF (ed.) Setor Elétrico Brasileiro e a
752	Sustentabilidade no Século 21: Oportunidades e Desafios. 2ª ed. Rios
753	Internacionais, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. 100 pp. Available at:
754	http://www.internationalrivers.org/node//525
755	Santa Antânia Energia S. A. (2011) Santa Antania Undran aver Draigat DDD version.
750 757	Santo Antonio Energia S.A. (2011) Santo Antonio Hydropower Project. PDD version.
758	Form (CDM-PDD) Version 03. Santo Antônio Energia S.A., Porto Velho,
759	Rondonia, Brazil. 53 pp. $(F/0)/(F)$
760 761	ZJLTFO/Santo%20Antonio_PDD_20022012.pdf?t=NHl8bjNxeWdxfDD80ZqB
762	JV6OAqLeVCB6tBCq
763	
764 765	Schimel D & 75 others (1996) Radiative forcing of climate change. In: Houghton JT Meira Filho LG, Callander BA, Harris N, Kattenberg A, Maskell K (eds.)
766	Climate Change 1995: The Science of Climate Change. Cambridge University
767	Press, Cambridge, UK, pp 65-131
768	
769	Schneider L (2007) Is the CDM fulfilling its environmental and sustainable
//U 771	improvement Öko Institut Perlin Cormany 75 pp
771	http://www.oeko.de/oekodoc/622/2007_162_en.pdf
773	http://www.oeko.de/oekodoe/022/2007-102-en.pdi.
774	Sethi R (2014) Re ⁻ Request for report on hydroelectric dam emissions cited in EB-15
775	Ref. 2014 342-S INO-01469. [letter from CDM Exective board to Philip M.
776	Fearnside dated 28 March 2014] Available at:
777	https://cdm.unfccc.int/stakeholder/submissions/index.html.
778	-
779	Shindell D & 24 others (2012) Simultaneously mitigating near-term climate change and
780	improving human health and food security. Science 335:183-189. doi:
781	10.1126/science.1210026
782	
783	Sterk W (2006) Hi all. Email from Wolfgang Sterk to International Rivers. 5 March
784	2006 (Available from PMF).
785	Tourse M. Espielle D. (2012) Angel outerize muderes no hidrolótrico de Sente Antônio
/80 707	1 avares M, Fariello D (2013) Aneel autoriza mudança na nidreletrica de Santo Antonio.
707 788	mudanca_na_hidreletrica_de_santo_antonio_88949442service=print
789	mudanea-na-murelettica-de-santo-antonio-0094944:service print
790	UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme) Risø Centre (2013) Risoe CDM/II
791	Pipeline Analysis and Database. UNEP Risø Centre, Risø, Denmark, url:
792	http://www.cdmpipeline.org/
793	1 11 0
794 705	UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) (1997) Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
190	r totocor to the Oniton Nations Francwork Convention on Chimate Change.

Document FCCC/CP/1997;7/Add1 UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany. url:
http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/convkp/kpeng.pdf
Yan K (2013) The global CDM hydro hall of shame. International Rivers, Berkeley,
California, USA. url: http://www.internationalrivers.org/resources/hydro-cdm-
hall-of-shame-7465
Figure legend
Fig. 1. Locations mentioned in the text.

Table 1: Summary of estimated emissions from Santo Antônio compared to baseline emissions

Estimated emission				
GWP=25	GWP=34	GWP=86	Source in Supplementary	
(t CO ₂ e)	(t CO ₂ e)	(t CO ₂ e)	Unine Material	
1,542,836	1,542,836	1,542,836	Tables S1 & S3	
6,368,215	6,368,215	6,368,215	Tables S1 & S3	
191,075	191,075	191,075	Tables S1 & S3	
252,137	252,137	252,137	Tables S1 & S3	
12,729,868	14,305,086	23,406,345	Table S3	
21,084,131	22,659,349	31,760,608	Table S3	
2,158	2,158	2,158	Table S3	
51,464,027	51,464,027	51,464,027	Table S3	
51,466,185	51,466,185	51,466,185	Table S3	
0.08	0.10	0.16	Table S3	
0.27	0.27	0.27	Table S3	
	Estimated emis SWP=25 t CO ₂ e) 1,542,836 6,368,215 191,075 252,137 12,729,868 21,084,131 2,158 51,464,027 51,466,185 0.08 0.27	Estimated emission 3WP=25 GWP=34 t CO2e) (t CO2e) 1,542,836 1,542,836 6,368,215 6,368,215 191,075 191,075 252,137 252,137 12,729,868 14,305,086 21,084,131 22,659,349 2,158 2,158 51,466,185 51,466,185 0.08 0.10 0.27 0.27	Stimated emission $\Im WP=25$ $GWP=34$ $GWP=86$ $t CO_2 e$) $(t CO_2 e)$ $(t CO_2 e)$ $1,542,836$ $1,542,836$ $1,542,836$ $6,368,215$ $6,368,215$ $6,368,215$ $191,075$ $191,075$ $191,075$ $252,137$ $252,137$ $252,137$ $252,137$ $252,137$ $252,137$ $12,729,868$ $14,305,086$ $23,406,345$ $21,084,131$ $22,659,349$ $31,760,608$ $2,158$ $2,158$ $2,158$ $51,466,185$ $51,466,185$ $51,466,185$ 0.08 0.10 0.16 0.27 0.27 0.27	

^aPortion attributed to Santo Antônio only.

^bUpstream+ downstream emission, 10-year project total.

^cEmission per MWh delivered to São Paulo.



17 December 2014

Supplementary Online Material

Tropical Hydropower in the Clean Development Mechanism: Brazil's Santo Antônio Dam as an example of the need for change

Contents:

Appendix A: Hydropower emissions

- Appendix B: Sustainable development in the CDM
- Appendix C: Emissions in the Santo Antônio Project Design Document

Appendix D: An estimate of emissions from the Santo Antônio project

Appendix E: Explanation of PDD additionality calculation

APPENDIX A: Hydropower emissions

Emissions are much higher in the humid tropics than in other regions (St Louis et al. 2000; Duchemin et al. 2002; Barros et al. 2011; Demarty and Bastien 2011). Emissions are large in the first years after forming a reservoir (e.g., Galy-Lacaux et al. 1997, 1999; Abril et al. 2005). Old dams continue to emit greenhouse gases at a lower level (e.g., Duchemin et al. 2000; Kemenes et al. 2007, 2011). Emissions have often been underestimated and misrepresented for a variety of reasons (Fearnside and Pueyo 2012). Many estimates omit the major source of CO_2 from decay of trees killed by flooding (see Fearnside 1995; Abril et al. 2013), and omission of methane from water passing through the turbines and spillways is also common.

Since turbines and spillways normally draw water from below the thermocline that divides the water column into layers, the water entering the turbines and spillways has both a high CH₄ concentration and high hydrostatic pressure. When this water is released below the dam, the pressure drops abruptly and the gas is released to the atmosphere. Many estimates of hydroelectric emissions omit turbine and spillway emissions completely, while others consider only the gas flux that can be measured from the water surface some distance downstream of the dam (i.e., after much of the CH₄ has already escaped to the atmosphere). Substantial emissions from turbines have been measured directly at the Balbina Dam in Brazil and the Petit Saut Dam in French Guiana (Abril et al. 2005; Kemenes et al. 2007, 2011). Large emissions have been calculated based on measurements of CH₄ concentrations at other Amazonian dams such as Tucuruí, Samuel and Curuá-Una (Fearnside 2002, 2005a,b).

References

- Abril G, Guérin F, Richard S, Delmas R, Galy-Lacaux C, Gosse P, Tremblay A, Varfalvy L, dos Santos MA, Matvienko B (2005) Carbon dioxide and methane emissions and the carbon budget of a 10-years old tropical reservoir (Petit-Saut, French Guiana). Global Biogeochem Cycles 19:GB 4007. doi:10.1029/2005GB002457
- Abril G, Parize M, Pérez MAP, Filizola N (2013) Wood decomposition in Amazonian hydropower reservoirs: An additional source of greenhouse gases, J South Amer Earth Sciences 44:104-107. doi:10.1016/j.jsames.2012.11.007
- Barros N, Cole JJ, Tranvik LJ, Prairie YT, Bastviken D, Huszar VLM, del Giorgio P, Roland F (2011) Carbon emission from hydroelectric reservoirs linked to reservoir age and latitude, Nature Geoscience 4:593-596. doi:10.1038/NGEO1211.
- Demarty M, Bastien J (2011) GHG emissions from hydroelectric reservoirs in tropical and equatorial regions: Review of 20 years of CH₄ emission measurements. Energy Policy 39:4197-4206. doi:10.1016/enpol.2011.04.033

- Duchemin É, Lucotte M, Canuel R, Queiroz AG, Almeida DC, Pereira HC Dezincourt J (2000) Comparison of greenhouse gas emissions from an old tropical reservoir with those of other reservoirs worldwide. Verhand Internat Verein Limnol 27:1391-1395
- Duchemin É, Lucotte M, St-Louis V, Canuel R (2002) Hydroelectric reservoirs as an anthropogenic source of greenhouse gases. World Resource Review 14:334-353
- Fearnside PM (1995) Hydroelectric dams in the Brazilian Amazon as sources of 'greenhouse' gases. Environ Conserv 22(1):7-19. doi:10.1017/S0376892900034020
- Fearnside PM (2002) Greenhouse gas emissions from a hydroelectric reservoir (Brazil's Tucuruí Dam) and the energy policy implications. Water, Air Soil Pollut 133(1-4):69-96. doi:10.1023/A:1012971715668
- Fearnside PM (2005a) Brazil's Samuel Dam: Lessons for hydroelectric development policy and the environment in Amazonia. Environ Manage 35(1):1-19. doi:10.1007/s00267-004-0100-3
- Fearnside PM (2005b) Do hydroelectric dams mitigate global warming? The case of Brazil's Curuá-Una Dam. Mitigat Adaptat Strat Global Change 10(4):675-691. doi:10.1007/s11027-005-7303-7
- Fearnside PM, Pueyo S[•] (2012) Underestimating greenhouse-gas emissions from tropical dams. Nature Climate Change 2(6):382–384. doi:10.1038/nclimate1540
- Galy-Lacaux C, Delmas R, Jambert C, Dumestre J-F, Labroue L, Richard S, Gosse P, (1997) Gaseous emissions and oxygen consumption in hydroelectric dams: A case study in French Guyana. Global Biogeochem Cycles 11(4):471-483
- Galy-Lacaux C, Delmas R, Kouadio J, Richard S, Gosse P (1999) Long-term greenhouse gas emissions from hydroelectric reservoirs in tropical forest regions. Global Biogeochem Cycles 13(2):503-517
- Kemenes A, Forsberg BR, Melack JM (2007) Methane release below a tropical hydroelectric dam. Geophys Res Lett 34:L12809. doi:10.1029/2007GL029479. 55
- Kemenes A, Forsberg BR, Melack JM (2011) CO₂ emissions from a tropical hydroelectric reservoir (Balbina, Brazil). Jour Geophys Res 116:G03004. doi:10.1029/2010JG001465
- St Louis VL, Kelly CA, Duchemin E, Rudd JWM, Rosenberg DM (2000) Reservoir surfaces as sources of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere: A global estimate. BioScience 50(9):766-775

APPENDIX B: Sustainable development in the CDM

Hydroelectric projects have very severe social and non-carbon environmental impacts (e.g., Fearnside 1989, 1999, 2001, 2005; Switkes 2008; WCD 2000). Impacts of the Santo Antônio Dam are reviewed in the book entitled *Muddy Waters* (Switkes 2008), in some of the chapters in the review of the EIA by Brazil's Public Ministry (Brazil, MPE-RO 2006) and in other sources on the impacts of the dam (e.g., Vera-Diaz et al. 2007).

Contribution to sustainable development is viewed by many observers as a notable failure of the CDM as a whole; one survey even found that less than 1% of CDM projects actually contributed to sustainable development (Sutter and Parreño 2007), and other assessments show the difficulties facing efforts to assure a contribution to sustainable development (Lecocq and Ambrosi 2007; Olhoff et al. 2004; Tewari 2012). On the other side, strong sustainable-development benefits are pointed out in a study commissioned by the UNFCCC (TERI 2012) and by a UNFCCC "policy dialogue" panel composed of high-level individuals such as the president of Brazil's National Bank for Economic and Social Development (BNDES) (CDM Policy Dialogue 2012; UNFCCC 2011, 2012a,b).

Brazil's internal regulations require that public comments be solicited on proposed CDM projects and that the proposals include a section ("Annex III") that describes sustainable-development implications. A study of social elements in hydroelectric CDM projects in Brazil found that the DNA's review of Annex III submissions and any responses to invitations to comment are relegated to "a relatively toothless ad hoc qualitative assessment" and that there is no "indication that any project has been declined or required to enhance its SD [sustainable development] benefits" (Cole and Roberts 2011, p. 366). Although Brazil's DNA for the CDM has worked much harder than most to insure that CDM projects contribute to sustainable development (Friberg 2009), this obviously does not extend to rejecting proposals with high political priority, such as those that are aligned with the Brazilian government's drive to expand hydropower in Amazonia. In fact, Brazil's DNA has "only questionable authority to reject a proposed CDM project unless it is contrary to existing Brazilian law or regulations with the force of law" (Cole and Liverman 2011, p. 148). In September 2013 the current head of Brazil's DNA told this author that Brazil has no operational definition of sustainable development that would allow him to reject projects on the grounds that they fail to meet the criteria; what exists is a general list of areas such as that a project must create employment, but that even if a project claimed to create only a single job the project could not be rejected. He offered the example of the Madeira River: even if the dams stated in their CDM projects that the giant catfish of the Madeira River would be eliminated, they could not be rejected on the basis of failing to meet sustainable development criteria. Subsidies from sale of carbon credit for dams with heavy environmental and social impacts erode the public image of the Climate Convention, with damaging consequences for present and future efforts to mitigate global climate change.

References

Brazil, MPE-RO (Ministério Público do Estado de Rondônia) (2006) Pareceres Técnicos dos Especialistas Setoriais—Aspectos Físicos/Bióticos. Relatório de Análise do

Conteúdo dos Estudos de Impacto Ambiental (EIA) e do Relatório de Impacto Ambiental (RIMA) dos Aproveitamentos Hidrelétricos de Santo Antônio e Jirau no Rio Madeira, Estado de Rondônia. MPE-RO, Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil. 2 Vols. Available at:

http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/Madeira_COBRAPE/11118-COBRAP-report.pdf

- CDM Policy Dialogue (2012) Climate Change, Carbon Markets and the CDM: A Call to Action. Report of the High-Level Panel on the CDM Policy Dialogue. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), Bonn, Germany. 86 pp. Available at: http://www.cdmpolicydialogue.org/report/rpt110912.pdf
- Cole JC, Liverman, DM (2011) Brazil's Clean Development Mechanism governance in the context of Brazil's historical environment–development discourses. Carbon Manage 2(2):145-160. doi:0.4155/CMT.11.11
- Cole JC, Roberts JT (2011) Lost opportunities? A comparative assessment of social development elements of six hydroelectricity CDM projects in Brazil and Peru. Climate and Devel 3(4):361-379. doi:10.1080/17565529.2011.623831
- Fearnside PM (1989) Brazil's Balbina Dam: Environment versus the legacy of the pharaohs in Amazonia. Environ Manage 13(4):401-423. doi:10.1007/BF01867675
- Fearnside PM (1999) Social impacts of Brazil's Tucuruí Dam. Environ Manage 24(4):483-495. doi:10.1007/s002679900248
- Fearnside PM (2001) Environmental impacts of Brazil's Tucuruí Dam: Unlearned lessons for hydroelectric development in Amazonia. Environ Manage 27(3):377-396. doi:10.1007/s002670010156
- Fearnside PM (2005) Brazil's Samuel Dam: Lessons for hydroelectric development policy and the environment in Amazonia. Environ Manage 35(1):1-19. doi:10.1007/s00267-004-0100-3
- Friberg L (2009) Varieties of carbon governance: The Clean Development Mechanism in Brazil, a success story challenged. J Environ and Devel 18(4):395-424. doi:10.1177/1070496509347092
- Lecocq F, Ambrosi P (2007) The Clean Development Mechanism: History, status, and prospects. Review Environ Econ Pol 1(1):134–151. doi:10.1093/reep/rem004
- Olhoff A, Markandya A, Halsnaes K, Taylor T (2004) CDM Sustainable Development Impacts. UNEP Risø Centre on Energy, Climate and Sustainable Development, Risø National Laboratory, Roskilde, Denmark. 88 pp. url: http://cd4cdm.org/publications/cdm%20sustainable%20development%20impacts.pd f

- Sutter C, Parreño JC (2007) Does the current Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) deliver its sustainable development claim? An analysis of officially registered CDM projects. Climatic Change 84:75-90. doi:10.1007/s10584-007-9269-9
- Switkes G (ed.) (2008) Águas Turvas: Alertas sobre as Conseqüências de Barrar o Maior Afluente do Amazonas. International Rivers, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. 237 pp. url: http://www.internationalrivers.org/pt-br/resources/%C3%A1guas-turvas-alertassobre-as-conseq%C3%BC%C3%AAncias-de-barrar-o-maior-afluente-doamazonas-3967
- TERI (The Energy and Resources Institute) (2012) Assessing the Impact of the Clean Development Mechanism on Sustainable Development and Technology Transfer. TERI, New Delhi, India. 148 pp. Available at: http://www.cdmpolicydialogue.org/research/1030_impact_sdm.pdf
- Tewari R (2012) Mapping of Criteria set by DNAs to Assess Sustainable Development Benefits of CDM Projects. CDM Policy Dialogue, The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI), New Delhi, India. 34 pp. url: http://www.cdmpolicydialogue.org/research/1030_mapping.pdf
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) (2011) Benefits of the Clean Development Mechanisms 2011. UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany, 52 pp. Available at: http://cdm.unfccc.int/about/dev_ben/ABC_2011.pdf
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) (2012a) Benefits of the Clean Development Mechanisms 2012. UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany, 102 pp. Available at: http://cdm.unfccc.int/about/dev_ben/ABC_2012.pdf
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) (2012b) Synthesis Report of the call for input on the CDM Policy Dialogue. UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany, 28 pp. Available at: http://www.cdmpolicydialogue.org/public_input/SYNTHESIS%20REPORT.pdf
- Vera-Diaz MC, Reid J, Soares-Filho B, Kaufmann R, Fleck L (2007) Effects of Energy and Transportation Projects on Soybean Expansion in the Madeira River Basin. CSF Series number 7. Conservation Strategy Fund, Lagoa Santa, Minas Gerais, Brazil. 26 pp. url: http://conservation-strategy.org/en/publication/effects-energy-andtransportation-projects-soybean-expansion-madeira-river-basin
- WCD (World Commission on Dams) (2000) Dams and Development A New Framework for Decision Making – The Report of World Commission on Dams. WCD & Earthscan, London, UK. 404 pp. url: http://www.internationalrivers.org/files/attachedfiles/world_commission_on_dams_final_report.pdf

APPENDIX C: Emissions in the Santo Antônio Project Design Document

The Project Design Document (PDD) for the Santo Antônio Dam's proposal for carbon credit from the CDM (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011) refers to various Brazilian government documents that support promotion of hydroelectric dams as a means of mitigating greenhouse-gas emissions. Although emissions per kWh from Santo Antônio can be expected to be significantly lower than those in existing Amazonian dams, they will not be zero as claimed by the project. Despite the document's using zero as the emission for the project in its calculation of climate benefits, a table is included (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 10, Table S4) where the admission is made that the dam would produce methane, although no quantities are mentioned. The same table also states that emissions of carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide (N₂O) are zero, each of these being only a "minor emission source." However, creating a reservoir kills forest trees in the flooded area; some were left projecting out of the water (as in most Amazonian dams) while others were removed from the reservoir area; in both cases the wood will decay in the presence of oxygen, thus producing CO₂. The greatest emissions occur in the first decade. Nitrous oxide is also emitted by tropical reservoirs (Guérin et al. 2008; de Lima et al. 2002). Emissions from construction of the dam and transmission line are not included in the PDD's calculations.

With the exception of bays and tributaries along the reservoir edges, the water in the Santo Antônio reservoir moves fast enough to prevent stratification. Calculations based on residence time and Froude density both indicate no stratification in the main reservoir (FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo B, Vol. 7, Anexo II, pp. 3.8-3.9). However, in edge areas where water velocities are much lower than the average for the reservoir as a whole, anoxic water is expected at the bottom of the reservoir, with resulting formation of methane in the sediments (Forsberg and Kemenes 2006). In response to demands from the Brazilian Institute for the Environment and Renewable Natural Resources (IBAMA), the proponents performed water-quality simulations for the tributaries in May 2007. The simulations indicated that the water would stratify year-round in two tributaries that have now been converted into branches of the Santo Antônio reservoir (see Appendix D). Some of the methane produced in the stratified areas would be released through diffusion and bubbling, but most of the dissolved methane that does not reach the surface will be prevented from reaching the turbines because the methane would be oxidized when the water from these tributaries mixes with oxygenated water in the main channel. Methane emissions will therefore be lower than in typical Amazonian dams where the main body of the reservoir stratifies. A measurement of high methane flux from the water surface in the two tributaries entering the Santo Antônio reservoir (Hällqvist 2012, p. 25) indicates that the water there is indeed stratified, while a high methane concentration in the air 3 km below the Santo Antônio Dam (Grandin 2012, p. 28) indicates that not all CH₄ is oxidized to CO₂ before reaching the turbines and spillway.

The PDD for the Santo Antônio CDM project calculates reservoir area for the purpose of computing the power density, which is the installed capacity in Watts divided by the area in square meters. The area of the reservoir used is calculated as area at the normal maximum water level of 70.5 m (354.40 km²), minus 164.00 km² described as "the river

course," making the increased flooded area 190.40 km² (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 6).

References

de Lima IBT, Victoria RL, Novo EMLM, Feigl BJ, Ballester MVR, Ometto JM (2002) Methane, carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide emissions from two Amazonian reservoirs during high water table. Verhand Internat Verein Limnol 28:438-442

Forsberg BR, Kemenes A (2006) Pareceres dos consultores sobre o Estudo de Impacto Ambiental do Projeto para aproveitamento hidrelétrica de Santo Antônio e Jirau, Rio Madeira-RO. Parecer Técnico sobre Estudos Hidrobiogeoquímicos, com atenção específica à dinâmica do Mercúrio (Hg). Parte B, Volume 1, Parecer 2. In: Pareceres Técnicos dos Especialistas Setoriais—Aspectos Físicos/Bióticos. Relatório de Análise do Conteúdo dos Estudos de Impacto Ambiental (EIA) e do Relatório de Impacto Ambiental (RIMA) dos Aproveitamentos Hidrelétricos de Santo Antônio e Jirau no Rio Madeira, Estado de Rondônia. Ministério Público do Estado de Rondônia (MPE-RO), Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil. 2 Vols, pp 1-32. Available at:

http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/Ma deira_COBRAPE/11118-COBRAP-report.pdf

FURNAS (Furnas Centrais Elétricas S.A.), CNO (Construtora Noberto Odebrecht S.A.), Leme Engenharia (2005) EIA-Estudo de Impacto Ambiental Aproveitamentos Hidrelétricos Santo Antônio e Jirau, Rio Madeira-RO. 6315-RT-G90-001. FURNAS, CNO, Leme Engenharia. Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. 8 Vols. Irregular Pagination. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/EIA/10978-EIA%20Sto%20Antonio%20&%20Jirau%20Tomo%20A%20Vol%201.pdf

- Grandin K (2012) Variations of Methane Emissions within and between Three Hydroelectric Reservoirs in Brazil. Department of Ecology and Evolution, Limnology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. 71 pp. url:http://www.ibg.uu.se/digitalAssets/130/130865_172grandin.pdf
- Guérin F, Abril G, Tremblay A, Delmas R (2008) Nitrous oxide emissions from tropical hydroelectric reservoirs. Geophys Res Lett 35:L06404, doi:10.1029/2007GL033057
- Hällqvist E (2012) Methane emissions from Three Tropical Hydroelectrical Reservoirs. Committee of Tropical Ecology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. 46 pp. url: http://www.ibg.uu.se/digitalAssets/122/122484_hallqvist-emma-report.pdf

Santo Antônio Energia S.A. (2011) Santo Antonio Hydropower Project. PDD version: 01.1 (27/10/2011) Clean Development Mechanism Project Design Document Form (CDM-PDD) Version 03. Santo Antônio Energia S.A., Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil. 53 pp. url:http://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/E/9/C/E9CIR7WM1SUB4X5QPVHA6KG0ZJ LTFO/Santo%20Antonio_PDD_20022012.pdf?t=NHl8bjNxeWdxfDD80ZqBJV60 AqLeVCB6tBCq

APPENDIX D: An estimate of emissions from the Santo Antônio project

Deforestation emissions

CO₂ emissions from biomass decay can be calculated from the dry weight of biomass present, assuming the 50% carbon adopted in the EIA. The estimates in the EIA are only for above-ground biomass, and the optimistic assumption is made here that there is no emission from decay of roots, which would increase the total by slightly over 20%. The EIA includes an estimate of fine litter stocks but is unclear as to whether its biomass estimates include trees less than 10 cm diameter at breast height, non-tree components (lianas, strangler figs, etc.) and dead trees, either standing or fallen. Here it is conservatively assumed these components were included. On the other side, the above-ground biomass estimate given in the EIA for the main forest type -- open ombrophilous (shade-loving) alluvial forest -- appears to be high at 364.67 t/ha dry weight (FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo B, Vol. 3, p. IV-522). An estimate for this forest type based on 146 one-hectare plots in Brazil's Radambrasil survey indicates an above-ground biomass of 298.4 \pm 60.7 t/ha (Nogueira 2008). The Radambrasil survey was carried out before much of the forest was degraded through logging, so the mean biomass today would be somewhat lower. Table S1 presents an estimate of deforestation emissions.

Table S1: Deforestation emissions

Dam	Biomass dry wt. (t/ha)	Carbon loading (t/ha)	Area (ha)	Carbon stock (t)	Biomass reference	Area reference
Alluvial ombrophilous forest (Fal)						
Trees	364.67	182.3	9,077.0	1,654,730	(a)	(b)
Litter	15.02	7.51	9,077.0	68,168	(c)	(b)
Várzea (floodplain) pioneer formations (Fpv)	18	9	1,371.7	12,345	(d)	(b)
Pasture	1.5	0.75	1,698.7	1,274	(e)	(b)
Riverside human occupation, urban areas & deforestation	5	2.5	107.6	269	(f)	(g)
Deforestation in surrounding area stimulated by the dam			not inclu	ded		
Dam total			21,332	1,736,786		
CO_2e (h)				6,368,215		
Transmission line						
Forest cleared for transmission line	259	129.5	531.0	68,765	(i)	(j)
CO ₂ e (h)				252,137		
 (a) FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo B, Vol. 3, p. IV-522. (b) FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo B, Vol. 3, p. IV-267. (c) FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo B, Vol. 7, Anexo II, p. 4.4 (d) Schöngart et al. 2010. (e) Fearnside, 1989, p. 45: average in two pastures in Ouro Antônio's when filling occurred. (f) FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo B, Vol. 7, Anexo II, p. 4.12 	4. Preto do 9 2.	Oeste, Roi	ndônia for	November	, when San	to

(g) Biomass for riverside human occupation, urban areas and deforestation is a guess.

(h) Assumes all carbon is released as CO₂. Converted to CO₂e multiplying by the molecular weight of CO₂ (44) and dividing by the atomic weight of carbon (12) and multiplying by the GWP of CO₂ (1 by definition).
(i) Fearnside et al. 2009 for Rondônia forests.
(j) Bragança 2012.

Reservoir emissions above the dam

Methane emissions from the reservoir surface can be estimated based on existing flux measurements at Santo Antônio. Water in the main channel of the reservoir releases very little methane, but in tributaries the situation is different, and in beds of macrophytes (water weeds) the emission is very high. Measurements of CH_4 concentrations in the air and in the surface water at Santo Antônio provide an indication of substantial methane flux. Normally, the concentration in the water is much higher than the concentration in the air, as CH_4 released into the air is quickly mixed with the vast volume of air that is blown by wind from areas away from the reservoir's influence. The difference in concentration (on a molar basis in the air in the headspace) will result in diffusion from the water to the air. The measurements at Santo Antônio (Grandin 2012; Hällqvist 2012) indicate concentration in the air at least 10 times lower than in the water at all seven sampling stations in the reservoir and its tributaries.

Thermal stratification of the water column is the normal way that anoxic or hypoxic water forms at the bottom, thereby providing the conditions for formation of methane. Warmer water at the surface is separated by a thermocline from colder water at the bottom, thus allowing oxygen to be depleted at the bottom as decomposition removes it from the water to form CO₂. Stratification in the tributaries entering the Santo Antônio reservoir has been documented for parts of the year in all four tributaries monitored by a consulting firm hired by Santo Antônio Energia for this purpose, with data available from September 2011 through January 2013 (Ecology Brasil 2013). The month of February, when the methane flux measurements were made (Grandin 2012; Hällqvist 2012), is not a month with evident stratification. In September, October and November (the low-flow period) all tributaries were stratified, with dissolved oxygen concentrations < 2 mg/L near the bottom, while some tributaries were also stratified in August, December and January (Ecology Brasil 2013). In the main river, the monitoring station (located 8.5 km above the dam) indicated thermal stratification from August to December; dissolved oxygen levels declined at the bottom in these months but never reached the very low levels found in the tributaries: the bottom water in the Rio Madeira had dissolved oxygen in the 5.7-6.5 mg/L range in August, September, October, December and January (Ecology Brasil 2013). A substantial decrease in dissolved oxygen throughout the water column was reported for March and April.

The approximate total emission from the reservoir surface can be calculated as follows. The main channel produces little emission because water velocities are sufficient to avert stratification, at least considering average values by month and river stretch. At low water (5000 m^3 /s) flow velocities in different stretches of the reservoir range from 0.11 to 0.27 m/s, at the approximate mean streamflow (18,000 m³/s) they range from 0.38 to 0.90 m/s, and under flood conditions (48,600 m³/s) they range from 1.01 to 2.45 m/s (FURNAS and CNO 2007, Anexo 1, pp. 12-16).

The emission from the main channel of the reservoir based on the mean CH₄ flux at four measurement stations in this part of the reservoir is 0.16 mmole/m²/day (SD=0.33) (Grandin 2012, p. 31). This is equivalent to 2.52×10^{-3} g/m²/day, and the 236.8 km² area to

which this applies at the operating water level 70 m above sea level would therefore release 217.8 t/year of methane. The 70-m operating level is specified in the viability study and environmental impact study (EIA) for the dam; the level used in the Project Design Document (PDD) for the carbon project was 70.5 m. With the recently approved increase to 71.3 m above sea level the area would be 272.2 km² based on information in the EIA (FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo A, Vol. 1, p. VII-54; FURNAS and CNO 2007, pp. 125-126), and the emission would be 250.4 t/year.

The area figures given above do not adjust for the loss of reservoir area when the Jirau Dam was moved 9 km downstream, but the difference in emission would be quite small relative to other sources. Note that the Project Design Document (PDD) for the Santo Antônio carbon project, which was submitted <u>after</u> the location of the Jirau Dam had been moved, gives 354.4 km² as the reservoir area at the 70.5-m water level (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 35), or 22.7% <u>higher</u> than the area at this water level given in the EIA. The reservoir was operating at 70.5 m as of April 2014, and the 17 turbines that had been installed by then did not required the additional head from the 71.3 m level.

The tributaries are a much greater source of emission than the body of the reservoir. Unlike the main channel of the river, calculations by the dam proponents indicated that the tributaries would be stratified during all or part of the year (FURNAS and CNO 2007, pp. 150-151). The areas of the three tributaries are: Igarapé Mucuim (Teotonio) 4.55 km² at the 70 m water level, 4.92 km² at 70.5 m and 5.43 km² at 71.3 m; Igarapé Jatuarana 11.11 km² at 70 m, 11.53 km² at 70.5 m and 12.28 km² at 71.3 m; Jaci-Paraná River 18.51 km² at 70 m, 20.11 km² at 70.5 m and 28.16 km² at 71.3 m (FURNAS and CNO 2007, pp. 125-126). These total 34.17 km² at 70 m, 38.56 km² at 70.5 m and 45.87 km² at 71.3 m. The percentage of this area that is covered with macophytes will be a key factor in determining the emission.

Rooted macrophytes (water weeds) represent an important emissions source for methane, as the xylem in their stems provides a direct conduit for gas transfer from the anoxic sediments to the atmosphere. Tropical reservoirs typically experience explosions of macrophyte populations (both rooted and not) in the first years after impoundment, as at Brokopondo in Surinam (Leentvaar 1966), Balbina in Brazil's state of Amazonas (Walker et al. 1999) and Tucuruí in the state of Pará (de Lima et al. 2000). At Tucuruí, for example, a sequence of satellite images indicates that 39% of the reservoir was covered by macrophytes two years after impoundment, after which the cover declined and stabilized at 11% of the reservoir in the tenth year (de Lima et al. 2000). At Santo Antônio an overflight of the reservoir shortly after filling revealed very extensive macrophyte cover (Francisco Pereira, personal communication 2012). It is in the tributaries and in shallow bays along the reservoir edges that macrophytes persist most after the initial flush of macrophyte cover has passed, and it also in these locations where macrophytes are mostly rooted. Measurements of methane fluxes from a macrophyte patch in a tributary to the Santo Antônio reservoir (the Jaci-Paraná River) in February 2012 indicated an emission rate of 127.12 mmol $CH_4/m^2/day$, considering the concentration in the flux chamber 20 minutes after placement (Note: CH₄ concentrations in flux chambers increase over the course of a standard 30minute measurement sequence, but, in the case of this measurement, the concentration in the chamber declined to a level corresponding to 36.44 mmol $CH_4/m^2/day$ over the next 10minute interval due to a probable break in the seal between the water and the chamber: Grandin 2012, p. 28; Hällqvist 2012, p. 39). The comparable measurement for an adjacent sample without macrophytes was 7.56 mmole/ m^2 /day. The difference of 119.56 mmole/ m^2 /day, representing the emission from the macrophytes, is 16 times higher than the emission from the water surface.

If one makes a conservative guess that only 20% of the tributary area is covered with macropytes (i.e., 2.5% of the reservoir as a whole at the 70-m, 2.4% at the 70.5-m level, or 2.9% at the 71.3-m level), then the measured emission of 127.12 mmol $CH_4/m^2/day$ in macrophytes described above implies an emission of 5073.4 t/year at the 70-m level, 5725.2 t/year at 70.5 m and 6810.5 t/year at 71.3 m. The remaining 80% of the tributary surface emitting at 21.1 mmole/m²/day (SD=16.6, n=3 stations) (Grandin 2012, p. 31) implies an emission of 3367.9 t/year at the 70-m level, 3800.6 at the 70.5-m level and 4521.0 t/year at the 71.3-m level.

Emissions below the dam

In the case of a sampling station located approximately 3 km below the dam (Hällqvist 2012, p. 18), the concentration in the air was 8.4 ppmv, or 5.5 times <u>higher</u> than the concentration found in the water (Grandin 2012, p. 28). Concentrations were also measured at two sampling stations much farther downstream, with mixed results. At a station approximately 29 km below the dam the concentration in the air was only 2 ppmv, while that in the water was 17.5 times higher, or similar to the concentrations in Santo Antônio's tributaries. The other station, located approximately 100 km below the dam, had concentration in the air of 13.3 ppmv, or 8.6 times higher than the concentration in the water.

The emission immediately below the dam is of a different type from the emission from the water surface in tributaries within the reservoir. In the case of the tributaries, emission is continuous, with the surface water having high concentrations of CH₄ that is continually replenished by anaerobic decomposition in the sediments below, and the air at 30-cm height above the water has a much lower CH₄ concentration than the water. At the sampling station 3 km below the dam, however, the relationship is reversed, with a greatly enhanced CH₄ concentration in the air, but little in the water. This indicates that, rather than a continuous flux of CH₄ through the water column and diffusion at the surface, the gas has been released in a single burst (presumably mainly of bubbles) as the water emerges from the turbines and spillway. The gas in the air remains over the river for a considerable distance downstream, but the rate of flux at the surface as the water continues to flow downstream would be small – much less than would be needed to explain the elevated concentration of CH₄ at 30 cm height.

Even if the turbulent water in the stretch of river below the dam did not prevent direct flux measurements with chambers, the measurements would tell us little about the amount of CH_4 that had been emitted in the initial burst. Likewise, if data were available on the vertical component of air movement, the total emission could not be calculated from the air concentration because the emission is not a continuous flux. Nevertheless, a very rough

idea can be had of a minimum value for this release. It is known from studies of CO_2 concentration profiles in the air column over Amazonian cattle pastures that during the night, if the air is not still, the gas concentration is approximately constant up to a boundary layer height, which, based on two estimation methods, averages 51 m above the surface (Acevedo et al. 2004, p. 893). This refers to a gas (CO₂) coming from a continuous source, namely respiration of the vegetation at night, making it different from a one-time pulse of emission as in the case of CH_4 degassing from the turbines and spillway. The concentration at 30-cm height cannot be extrapolated up to a height of 51 m. However, one can make a conservative assumption, such as that, on average, the air column contains this concentration up to a height of 5 m (i.e., 10% of the approximate height to which the air mass can be assumed to rise at night).

The Madeira River just below the dam has a width of 2.2 km, narrowing to 1.4 km in front of Porto Velho and to 0.8 km below the city (measured from Google Earth). If one assumes that the air mass containing the methane remains over the river course for 6 km (i.e., twice the distance to the first sampling station below the dam, the methane load above the first sampling station therefore representing the half-way point in a presumed linear decline beginning from the dam), and considering the river width of 1.4 km (corresponding to that at the measurement station 3 km below the dam) as most relevant to dissipation of the initial emission from water passing through the dam, the volume of the air mass containing the methane is 4.20×10^7 m³. Considering the average air temperature of 33 °C at the reservoir measurement sites (Grandin 2012, p. 31), the altitude of the river surface of 55.3 m above sea level (FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo A, Vol. 1, p. VII-50), the air density at this altitude and temperature is 0.68% less than that at standard temperature and pressure, which is equivalent to 0°C at sea level (Engineering Toolbox 2014).

The average concentration in the air at the four sites on the main reservoir of 1.4 ppmv CH₄ (Hällqvist 2012, p. 27) can be taken as the background concentration for the standpoint of calculating enrichment. The concentration measurements in the air were made at the level of the top of the floating chambers, or approximately 30 cm above the water (Hällqvist 2012, pp. 12-13).

At the temperature and altitude at Porto Velho, one mole of gas occupies 22.55 liters, and the air mass above the river contains 1.86×10^9 moles of air. The methane enrichment of 8.4 - 1.4 = 7.0 ppmv corresponds to 1.30×10^4 moles of methane in the air mass, or 208.6 kg of methane gas. In the month of February, when the methane measurements were made, the prevailing winds at the site are from the north (Cortez 2004, p. 17), meaning that the wind has an angle of attack of 35° with respect to the axis of the river, which (ignoring irregularities) flows in a roughly northeasterly direction in this stretch at an angle of 35° (Google Earth). The average wind speed at the time of the measurement at the sampling station 3 km below the dam was 2.3 m/s (Hällqvist 2012, p. 35). The average wind speed in Porto Velho over the year is 1.4 m/s (Cortez 2004, p. 16). The measured wind speed and the assumed direction imply that the vector representing movement across the river had a velocity of 1.3 m/s. The air over the river was therefore being renewed every 18 minutes, and the total amount of CH₄ emitted corresponded to 27 t/day or 1.67×10^4 t/year.
The total estimated emission from fluxes above and below the dam is 1.48×10^4 t/year at the 70-m water level, 1.59×10^4 t/year at the 70.5-m level and 1.77×10^4 t/year at the 71.3-m level. Of this 41.3% represents downstream emission at the 70-m level, the corresponding percentages being 38.3% for 70.5 m and 34.5% for 71.3 m. The downstream emission is probably primarily from immediate release as the water passes through the dam and would not continue at a significant level from the water surface beyond the measurement point 3 km below the dam.

Some rough reality checks are possible based on the amount of methane that would be transported through the dam at different possible concentrations. Given the 1931-2005 mean streamflow of 18,806 m^3 /s, the calculated annual downstream CH₄ emission of 175,024 t represents 15.4% of the 1.14×10^6 t/year of methane transported through the dam if the water contained the high mean concentration found in the surface water in the tributaries, but it represents an impossible 410% of the methane passing through the dam (42,730 t/year) if the water contained the concentration measured in surface water in the main river at the closest measurement station above the dam. However, methane concentrations measured at the surface do not represent the average concentration in the water column, especially if the water is stratified, since methane concentrations at the bottom of the reservoir under these conditions are much higher than at the surface. The surface water concentration below the dam being essentially equal to that above the dam means that the methane enrichment of the air is not explained by release of the transported methane as calculated from the surface concentration, and therefore must be from release of methane at higher concentration near the bottom of the river. At the time of the measurement almost all of the river's flow was passing through the spillway, which draws water from deep in the water column and which produces strong turbulence below the dam. A release of this magnitude therefore does not appear unreasonable, but uncertainty is high.

Another check is the percentage of the total methane emission that is estimated to occur downstream, in this case 34.5% for the 70.5-m water level. This percentage is well below those at other dams in tropical South America: Balbina at age 18 years emitted 52.7% of its CH₄ downstream (Kemenes et al. 2007), Petit Saut at age 9 years emitted 78.6% downstream (Abril et al. 2005) and Tucuruí at age 6 years emitted 88.2% downstream (Fearnside 2002). These other dams have significant differences from Santo Antônio, including substantially larger reservoir areas that would lead to a smaller expected importance of downstream emissions as a percentage of the total. The larger streamflow of the Madeira River as compared to the rivers at the other South American dams would also make the expected percentage of downstream emissions greater at Santo Antônio. The lower downstream percentage calculated for Santo Antônio is therefore a feature suggesting that the estimate of downstream emissions is conservative.

I emphasize that the above estimate for Santo Antônio is a very rough calculation, but it gives an idea of the magnitude involved with the best information available. The above estimate contains a variety of conservative assumptions. Perhaps the greatest is that the methane concentration in the air from a measurement made approximately 3 km below the dam represents the value to be applied to the air mass above the river. Because the bulk of emission typically occurs very quickly as the water passes through the dam (see Fearnside and Pueyo 2012), the value used here is probably an underestimate because much

of the methane from the initial burst of emission would have already been blown laterally away from the river before reaching the point of measurement.

Dam and transmission line construction emissions

Dam and transmission line emissions are shown in Table S2. The dam construction emissions estimate is conservative, since lack of information results in not including a number of emission sources such as diesel fuel and electricity use. In the transmission line estimate, emissions from production of the 63,000 t of aluminum used in the cables is undoubtedly underestimated, since the emissions from the highly energy-intensive process of aluminum production are calculated from estimates from the Brazilian Association of Aluminum (ABAL 2011) that consider hydropower to be "green" emissions-free energy.

Category	Item	No. of Items	Amount per item		Total amount	Units	Emission per unit (t CO ₂ e)	Total emission (t CO2e)	Amount Reference	Emission Reference
Steel							(* * * * 2*)	(* 2 2 2 2)		
	Reinforcing rods				167,837	t			(a)	
	Turbines		44	899.36	39,572	t			(a)	
	Generators		44	234.53	10,319	t			(a)	
	Spillway gates		21	234.53	4,925	t			(b)	
	Other steel				2,500	t			(b)	
	Total steel				225,153	t	2.200	495,336		(c)
Concrete										
	Conventional concrete				3,311,150	t			(a)	
	Roller compressed concre	te			408,000	t			(a)	
	Total concrete				3,719,150	t				
	Sand and gravel in concre	te			2,769,688	t	0.009	25,758	(d)	(e)
Cement					949,462	t	1.004	953,545	(a)	(e)
Excavation and	fill									
	Ordinary excavation				74,364,110	m^3			(a)	(d, f)
	Rock above water				21,554,760	m^3			(a)	(d, f)
	Rock below water				400,000	m^3			(a)	(d, f)
	Earth fill				6,164,780	m^3			(a)	(d, f)
	Rock fill				5,852,870	m^3			(a)	(d, f)
	Rip-rap				1,534,566	m^3			(a)	(d, f)
	Total excavation and fill				109,871,086	m^3	0.0006	68,197		(f)
Dam subtotal								1,542,836		

Table S2: Santo Antônio dam and transmission line construction emissions

T	•	•	1.
Transm	188	sion	line
I I CALLOI II.	LIDL	1011	11110

Steel	52.000 t	2,200	114.400 (g)	(c)
Aluminum	63,000 t	4.250	267,750 (g)	(b)
Transmission line total	,		382,150	
Fraction of transmission line attributed to Santo Antônio	0.5			
Transmission line subtotal attributed to Santo Antônio			191,075	
Total attributed to Santo Antônio			1,733,911	
(a) FURNAS and CNO 2008.				
(b) Based on Belo Monte (Fearnside 2009).				
(c) Van Vate 1995.				
(d) Concrete minus cement.				

(e) Marheineke nd [1996].

(f) Emissions for "earth haulings" applied to all excavation and fill operations.

(g) Moreira 2013.

(h) ABAL 2011, p. 38.

Comparison of Santo Antônio with baseline emissions

Estimated emissions of Santo Antônio (including downstream emissions) are compared to baseline emissions in Table S3. Table S4 makes the same comparison omitting the very uncertain downstream emissions.

imated	aminaian	from Co	nto Antôn	(Dagamy)	in at 70 5 m	downstroom	a commond to	hagaling	amiania

Table S3: Estimate	ed emissions from Santo Antônio (Reserve	oir at 70.5 m + downstre	eam) compared to ba	seline emiss	ions		
		Baseline	Power to	Estimate	d emission f	rom Sto. Antoni	io
		emissions	be generated	CH_4	GWP=25	GWP=34	GWP=86
		$(t CO_2 e) (a)$	(MWh)	(t/yr)	(t CO ₂ e)	$(t CO_2 e)$	(t CO ₂ e)
Dam construction	CO_2 emissions (Table S2)				1,542,836	1,542,836	1,542,836
Dam deforestation	CO ₂ emissions (Table S2)				6,368,215	6,368,215	6,368,215
Transmission line	construction CO ₂ emissions attributed to S	Santo Antônio (Table S2	2)		191,075	191,075	191,075
Transmission line	deforestation CO ₂ emissions (Table S1)				252,137	252,137	252,137
Gas-fired power pl	ant construction CO ₂ emissions	2157.8 (b)					
0	2012	518,205	1,893,741	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
1	2013	2,720,189	9,940,726	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
2	2014	4,953,586	18,102,507	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
3	2015	5,830,126	21,305,757	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
4	2016	5,846,099	21,364,129	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
5	2017	5,830,126	21,305,757	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
6	2018	5,830,126	21,305,757	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
7	2019	5,830,126	21,305,757	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
8	2020	5,846,099	21,364,129	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
9	2021	5,830,126	21,305,757	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
10	2022	2,429,219	8,877,398	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
To	otal	51,466,185	188,079,300	175,024	12,729,868	14,305,086	23,406,345
Transmission loss	to São Paulo(c)	10,293,237 (d)	37,615,860				
Power delivered to	São Paulo	41,172,948	150,463,440				
Emission per MWI	h delivered to São Paulo (t CO ₂ e/MWh)	0.27			0.08	0.10	0.16

(a) Baseline emissions (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 35) are based on the Combined Margin Emissions Factor of 0.31, which is 50% from the Grid Operating Margin Emissions Factor (0.4796) and 50% from the Grid build margin emissions factor (0.1404) (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 34).

(b) Based on the 230-t Alstom GT24 gas turbine, considered state-of-the-art; this 700-MW capacity turbine operates with a 60% power factor

(Wheeler 2012). This would supply a total of 62,362,000 MWh over a period of 10 years, and 4.1 of these turbines would supply the electricity Santo Antônio will deliver to São Paulo.

(c) Assumes 20% transmission loss, the mean for Brazilian losses (Rey 2012). This is conservative for a line of this length.

(d) Although gas-fired plants are built where electricity is used, thereby avoiding transmission loss, the amount of electricity used for calculating baseline emissions in the PDD is based on power delivered to the grid 5 km from the Santo Antônio Dam.

Table S4: Estimated emissions from Santo Antônio (Reservoir only, 74	70.5 m water level) compared to baseline emissions
--	--

		Baseline		Power to	Estimate	d emission f	om Sto. Ant	onio
		emissions	S	be generated	CH_4	GWP=25	GWP=34	GWP=86
		(t CO ₂ e) ((a)	(MWh)	(t/yr)	(t CO ₂ e)	(t CO ₂ e)	(t CO ₂ e)
Dam construction	CO ₂ emissions (Table S2)					1,542,836	1,542,836	1,542,836
Dam deforestation	CO ₂ emissions (Table S1)					6,368,215	6,368,215	6,368,215
Transmission line	construction CO2 emissions a	attributed to Sat	nto Antônio (T	Table S2)		191,075	191,075	191,075
Transmission line	deforestation CO ₂ emissions	(Table S1)				252,137	252,137	252,137
Gas-fired plant con	nstruction CO ₂ emissions	2157.8	(b)					
0	2012	518,205		1,893,741	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
1	2013	2,720,189		9,940,726	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
2	2014	4,953,586		18,102,507	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
3	2015	5,830,126		21,305,757	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
4	2016	5,846,099		21,364,129	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
5	2017	5,830,126		21,305,757	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
6	2018	5,830,126		21,305,757	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
7	2019	5,830,126		21,305,757	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
8	2020	5,846,099		21,364,129	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
9	2021	5,830,126		21,305,757	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
10	2022	2,429,219		8,877,398	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
To	otal	51,466,185		188,079,300	107,980	11,053,763	12,025,583	17,640,543
Transmission loss	to São Paulo(c)	10,293,237	(d)	37,615,860				
Power delivered to	o São Paulo	41,172,948		150,463,440				
Emission per MW	h delivered to São Paulo (t C	O ₂ e/MWh)	0.27			0.07	0.08	0.12

(a) Baseline emissions (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 35) are based on the Combined Margin Emissions Factor of 0.31, which is 50% from the

Grid Operating Margin Emissions Factor (0.4796) and 50% from the Grid build margin emissions factor (0.1404) (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 20121p. 34).

(b) Based on the 230-t Alstom GT24 gas turbine, considered state-of-the-art; this 700-MW capacity turbine operates with a 60% power factor (Wheeler 2012). This would supply a total of 62,362,000 MWh over a period of 10 years, and 4.1 of these turbines would supply the electricity Santo Antônio will deliver to São Paulo.

(c) Assumes 20% transmission loss, the mean for Brazilian losses (Rey 2012). This is conservative for a line of this length.

(d) Although gas-fired plants are built where electricity is used, thereby avoiding transmission loss, the amount of electricity used for calculating baseline emissions in the PDD is based on power delivered to the grid 5 km from the Santo Antônio Dam.

References

- ABAL (Associação Brasileira do Alumínio) (2011) Sustainability Report of the Brazilian Aluminum Industry 2010. ABAL, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. 60 pp. Available at: http://www.abal.org.br/servicos/biblioteca/rel_sustentabilidade_ind_aluminio_2010. asp
- Abril G, Guérin F, Richard S, Delmas R, Galy-Lacaux C, Gosse P, Tremblay A, Varfalvy L, dos Santos MA, Matvienko B (2005) Carbon dioxide and methane emissions and the carbon budget of a 10-years old tropical reservoir (Petit-Saut, French Guiana). Global Biogeochem Cycles 19:GB 4007. doi:10.1029/2005GB002457
- Acevedo OC, Moraes OLL, da Silva R, Fitzjarrald DR, Sakai RK, Staebler RM, Czikowsky MJ (2004) Inferred nocturnal surface fluxes from vertical profiles of scalars in an Amazonian pasture. Global Change Biol 10:886-894. doi: 10.111/j.1529-8817.2003.00755.x
- Bragança D (2012) Ibama libera linha de transmissão das Usinas do Madeira. OEco 9 February 2012. http://www.oeco.org.br/salada-verde/25709-ibama-libera-linha-detransmissao-das-usinas-do-madeira
- Cortez M (2004) Climatologia de Rondônia. Primeira Versão 11(171):1-19. http://www.primeiraversao.unir.br/atigos_pdf/numero171MarcosCortez.pdf
- de Lima IBT, Novo EMLM, Ballester MVR, Ometto JPHB (2000) Role of the macrophyte community in the CH₄ production and emission in the tropical reservoir of Tucuruí, Pará State, Brazil. Ver Internat Verein Limnol 27:1437-1440.
- Ecology Brasil (2013) UHE Santo Antônio no rio Madeira, Síntese dos Resultados dos Perfis Verticais Realizados Durante o Enchimento e Estabilização da UHE Santo Antônio no Rio Madeira. 2541-00-RPT-RL-0001-00. [Março de 2013]. Ecology Brasil Ltda., Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. 786 pp. http://licenciamento.ibama.gov.br/Hidreletricas/Santo%20Antonio%20(Rio%20Ma deira)/UHE%20Santo%20Antonio/Monitoramento%20Limnol%C3%B3gico_UHE %20Santo%20Ant%C3%B4nio/Opera%C3%A7%C3%A3o/03_abril%202013/2541 -00-MLM-RL-0003-00_COMPLETO.pdf
- Engineering Toolbox (2014) The Engineering Toolbox. http://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/air-density-specific-weight-d_600.html
- Fearnside PM (1989) Ocupação Humana de Rondônia: Impactos, Limites e Planejamento. Relatórios de Pesquisa No. 5, Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Brasília, DF, Brazil. 76 pp.

Fearnside PM (2002) Greenhouse gas emissions from a hydroelectric reservoir (Brazil's Tucuruí Dam) and the energy policy implications. Water, Air Soil Pollut 133(1-4):69-96. doi:10.1023/A:1012971715668

Fearnside PM (2009) As hidrelétricas de Belo Monte e Altamira (Babaquara) como fontes de gases de efeito estufa. Novos Cadernos NAEA 12(2):5-56. English translation available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/mss%20and%20in%20press/Belo%20Monte%2 0emissions-Engl.pdf]

- Fearnside PM, Pueyo S[·] (2012) Underestimating greenhouse-gas emissions from tropical dams. Nature Climate Change 2(6):382–384. doi:10.1038/nclimate1540
- Fearnside PM, Righi CA, Graça PMLA, Keizer EWH, Cerri CC, Nogueira EM, Barbosa RI (2009) Biomass and greenhouse-gas emissions from land-use change in Brazil's Amazonian "arc of deforestation": The states of Mato Grosso and Rondônia. Forest Ecol Manage 258:1968-1978. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2009.07.042
- FURNAS (Furnas Centrais Elétricas S.A.), CNO (Construtora Noberto Odebrecht S.A.)
 (2007) Respostas às Perguntas Apresentadas pelo IBAMA no Âmbito do Processo de Licenciamento Ambiental do Complexo Madeira. Informações Técnicas Nos 17, 19 E 20/2007 COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA. FURNAS and CNO, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. 239 pp. url: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%200ficiais/respostas% 20empresas.pdf
- FURNAS (Furnas Centrais Elétricas S.A.), CNO (Construtora Noberto Odebrecht S.A. (2008). Relatório atendimento of. 1116-2007 SGH-A. Ficha Técnica TCU AHE Santo Antônio Relatório Complementar. USINA HIDRELÉTRICA: AHE Santo Antônio. FURNAS and CNO, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%200ficiais/Madeira-___ompl/Rel%20atendimento%20of%201116-2007%20SGH-ANEEL/MADEIRA%20-%20RELATORIO%20COMPLEMENTAR/Ficha%20Técnica%20TCU%20-%20AHE%20Santo%20Antônio%20-%20Relatório%20Complement.doc
- FURNAS (Furnas Centrais Elétricas S.A.), CNO (Construtora Noberto Odebrecht S.A.), Leme Engenharia (2005) EIA-Estudo de Impacto Ambiental Aproveitamentos Hidrelétricos Santo Antônio e Jirau, Rio Madeira-RO. 6315-RT-G90-001. FURNAS, CNO, Leme Engenharia. Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. 8 Vols. Irregular Pagination. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/EIA/10978-EIA%20Sto%20Antonio%20&%20Jirau%20Tomo%20A%20Vol%201.pdf
- Grandin K (2012) Variations of Methane Emissions within and between Three Hydroelectric Reservoirs in Brazil. Department of Ecology and Evolution, Limnology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. 71 pp. url:http://www.ibg.uu.se/digitalAssets/130/130865_172grandin.pdf

- Hällqvist E (2012) Methane emissions from Three Tropical Hydroelectrical Reservoirs. Committee of Tropical Ecology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. 46 pp. url: http://www.ibg.uu.se/digitalAssets/122/122484_hallqvist-emma-report.pdf
- Kemenes A, Forsberg BR, Melack JM (2007) Methane release below a tropical hydroelectric dam. Geophys Res Lett 34:L12809. doi:10.1029/2007GL029479. 55
- Leentvaar P (1966) The Brokopondo Lake in Surinam. Verhan Internat Verein Limnol 16:680-684
- Marheineke T (nd [C. 1996]) FENCH analysis of electricity generation:Greenhouse gas emission factors for hydropower. Institute of Energy Economics and the Rational Use of Energy, University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany. In: Working Material: Assessment of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from the Full Energy Chain for Hydropower, Nuclear Power and other Energy Sources. Papers presented at an IAEA Advisory Group Meeting jointly organized by Hydro-Québec and the International Atomic Energy Sources, Hydro-Québec Headquarters, Montréal (Canada) 12-14 March 1996. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Vienna, Austria. Irregular pagination.
- Moreira R (2013) Brasil terá uma das maiores linhas de transmissão do mundo. O Estado de São Paulo, 6 December 2013. url: http://economia.estadao.com.br/noticias/economia,brasil-tera-uma-das-maiores-linhas-de-transmissao-do-mundo,172205,0.htm
- Nogueira EM (2008) Wood density and tree allometry in forests of Brazil's 'arc of deforestation': Implications for biomass and emission of carbon from land-use change in Brazilian Amazonia. PhD thesis in tropical forest science, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil. 148 pp. English translation available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Teses e dissertacoes orientadas/Nogueira_E M
 2008_WOOD_DENSITY_ALLOMETRY_AND_CARBON_IN_BRAZILIAN_AM AZONIA_THESIS_ingles.pdf
- Rey O (2012) Um olhar para as grandes perdas de energia no sistema de transmissão elétrico brasileiro. pp. 40-44 In: Moreira PF (ed.) Setor Elétrico Brasileiro e a Sustentabilidade no Século 21: Oportunidades e Desafios. 2^a ed. Rios Internacionais, Brasília, DF, Brazil. 100 pp. Available at: http://www.internationalrivers.org/node/7525
- Santo Antônio Energia S.A. (2011) Santo Antonio Hydropower Project. PDD version: 01.1 (27/10/2011) Clean Development Mechanism Project Design Document Form (CDM-PDD) Version 03. Santo Antônio Energia S.A., Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil. 53 pp.
 url:http://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/E/9/C/E9CIR7WM1SUB4X5QPVHA6KG0ZJ

LTFO/Santo%20Antonio_PDD_20022012.pdf?t=NHl8bjNxeWdxfDD80ZqBJV6O AqLeVCB6tBCq

- Schöngart J, Wittmann F, Worbes M (2010) Biomass and net primary production of central Amazonian floodplain forests. In: Junk WJ, Piedade, MTF, Wittmann, Schöngart J, Parolin P (eds.) Amazonian Floodplain Forests: Ecophysiology, Biodiversity and Sustainable Management. Ecological Studies 210. Springer Science Business Media B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands. pp 347–388
- Van de Vate JF (1995) The IAEA investigations into studies on comparative assessment of FENCH emissions of GHGs of different energy sources: An update. Assessment of Greenhouse Gas Emission from the Full Energy Chain for Nuclear Power and Other Energy Sources. IAEA, Vienna. 26-28 September 1995. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Vienna, Austria. Irregular pagination.
- Walker I, Miyai R, de Melo MDA (1999) Observations on aquatic macrophyte dynamics in the reservoir of the Balbina hydroelectric power plant, Amazonas state, Brazil. Acta Amazonica 29:243-265
- Wheeler B (2012) Follow me down the Tennessee: Alstom ships first gas turbine from Chattanooga. Power Engineering. 1 July 2012. url: http://www.powereng.com/articles/print/volume-116/issue-7/features/follow-me-down-the-tennesseealstom-ships-first-gas-turbine-from-chattanooga.html

APPENDIX E: Explanation of PDD additionality calculation

Half of the Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) value is represented by the cost of debt (calculated to be 3.39%), and the other half is the cost of capital, which is calculated at 17.31% by adjusting a Risk-free rate of 4.88% for an Equity risk premium of 6.57%, which is increased by multiplying by a Sectorial Risk (β) value of 1.34), a country risk premium of 6.06%, and expected US inflation of 2.39% (Santo Antônio Energia, S.A. 2011, p. 14).

The PDD (Santo Antônio Energia, S.A. 2011, pp. 13-14) calculates the WACC of the hydropower sector for 2007 using Equation 1.

 $WACC = (Wd \times Kd) + (We \times Ke)$ (eq. 1)

Where:

We = weight of equity typically observed" in the hydropower sector: 50%

Wd = weight of debt "typically observed" in the hydropower sector: 50%

Kd is the cost of debt in the hydropower market; this includes adjustments for the tax benefits of contracting debts. Kd is calculated from Equation 2.

 $Kd = [1 + (a+b+c) \times (1-t)]/[(1+d) -1]$ (eq. 2)

Where: (a) = Financial cost: 9.28% (b) = BNDES fee: 0.90% (c) = Spread: 2.00% (a+b+c) = Pre-Cost of Debt: 12.18% (t) = Marginal tax rate: 34.00% (d) = Inflation forecast: 4.50%

From Equation 2, the after-tax Cost of Debt (Kd) is 3.39% per year.

Ke (cost of equity) represents the rate of return for equity investments. Based on the PDD (Santo Antônio Energia 2011, p. 14) as clarified from spreadsheets, it is estimated with Equation 3:

 $Ke = ((Rf + (\beta \times Rm) + Rc) \times (I / d)$ (eq. 3)

Where: (Rf) = Risk-free rate: 4.88% (Rm) = Equity risk premium = 6.57% (Rc) = Estimated country risk premium = 6.06% (β) = Sector Risk = 1.34 (I) = US expected inflation: 2.39% (d) = Brazilian Inflation forecast: 4.50% From Equation 3 the Cost of Equity with Brazilian Country Risk is:

 $Ke = (0.0488 + (1.34 \times 0.0657) + 0.0606) \times (0.0239 / 0.0450) = 0.1731$

or 17.31% per year.

From Equation 1 the Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) is:

WACC = $(50\% \times 3.39\%) + (50\% \times 17.31\%) = 10.35\%$

Reference

Santo Antônio Energia S.A. (2011) Santo Antonio Hydropower Project. PDD version: 01.1 (27/10/2011) Clean Development Mechanism Project Design Document Form (CDM-PDD) Version 03. Santo Antônio Energia S.A., Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil. 53 pp. url:http://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/E/9/C/E9CIR7WM1SUB4X5QPVHA6KG0ZJ LTFO/Santo%20Antonio_PDD_20022012.pdf?t=NH18bjNxeWdxfDD80ZqBJV60 AqLeVCB6tBCq

17 December 2014

Supplementary Online Material

Tropical Hydropower in the Clean Development Mechanism: Brazil's Santo Antônio Dam as an example of the need for change

Contents:

Appendix A: Hydropower emissions

- Appendix B: Sustainable development in the CDM
- Appendix C: Emissions in the Santo Antônio Project Design Document

Appendix D: An estimate of emissions from the Santo Antônio project

Appendix E: Explanation of PDD additionality calculation

APPENDIX A: Hydropower emissions

Emissions are much higher in the humid tropics than in other regions (St Louis et al. 2000; Duchemin et al. 2002; Barros et al. 2011; Demarty and Bastien 2011). Emissions are large in the first years after forming a reservoir (e.g., Galy-Lacaux et al. 1997, 1999; Abril et al. 2005). Old dams continue to emit greenhouse gases at a lower level (e.g., Duchemin et al. 2000; Kemenes et al. 2007, 2011). Emissions have often been underestimated and misrepresented for a variety of reasons (Fearnside and Pueyo 2012). Many estimates omit the major source of CO_2 from decay of trees killed by flooding (see Fearnside 1995; Abril et al. 2013), and omission of methane from water passing through the turbines and spillways is also common.

Since turbines and spillways normally draw water from below the thermocline that divides the water column into layers, the water entering the turbines and spillways has both a high CH₄ concentration and high hydrostatic pressure. When this water is released below the dam, the pressure drops abruptly and the gas is released to the atmosphere. Many estimates of hydroelectric emissions omit turbine and spillway emissions completely, while others consider only the gas flux that can be measured from the water surface some distance downstream of the dam (i.e., after much of the CH₄ has already escaped to the atmosphere). Substantial emissions from turbines have been measured directly at the Balbina Dam in Brazil and the Petit Saut Dam in French Guiana (Abril et al. 2005; Kemenes et al. 2007, 2011). Large emissions have been calculated based on measurements of CH₄ concentrations at other Amazonian dams such as Tucuruí, Samuel and Curuá-Una (Fearnside 2002, 2005a,b).

References

- Abril G, Guérin F, Richard S, Delmas R, Galy-Lacaux C, Gosse P, Tremblay A, Varfalvy L, dos Santos MA, Matvienko B (2005) Carbon dioxide and methane emissions and the carbon budget of a 10-years old tropical reservoir (Petit-Saut, French Guiana). Global Biogeochem Cycles 19:GB 4007. doi:10.1029/2005GB002457
- Abril G, Parize M, Pérez MAP, Filizola N (2013) Wood decomposition in Amazonian hydropower reservoirs: An additional source of greenhouse gases, J South Amer Earth Sciences 44:104-107. doi:10.1016/j.jsames.2012.11.007
- Barros N, Cole JJ, Tranvik LJ, Prairie YT, Bastviken D, Huszar VLM, del Giorgio P, Roland F (2011) Carbon emission from hydroelectric reservoirs linked to reservoir age and latitude, Nature Geoscience 4:593-596. doi:10.1038/NGEO1211.
- Demarty M, Bastien J (2011) GHG emissions from hydroelectric reservoirs in tropical and equatorial regions: Review of 20 years of CH₄ emission measurements. Energy Policy 39:4197-4206. doi:10.1016/enpol.2011.04.033

- Duchemin É, Lucotte M, Canuel R, Queiroz AG, Almeida DC, Pereira HC Dezincourt J (2000) Comparison of greenhouse gas emissions from an old tropical reservoir with those of other reservoirs worldwide. Verhand Internat Verein Limnol 27:1391-1395
- Duchemin É, Lucotte M, St-Louis V, Canuel R (2002) Hydroelectric reservoirs as an anthropogenic source of greenhouse gases. World Resource Review 14:334-353
- Fearnside PM (1995) Hydroelectric dams in the Brazilian Amazon as sources of 'greenhouse' gases. Environ Conserv 22(1):7-19. doi:10.1017/S0376892900034020
- Fearnside PM (2002) Greenhouse gas emissions from a hydroelectric reservoir (Brazil's Tucuruí Dam) and the energy policy implications. Water, Air Soil Pollut 133(1-4):69-96. doi:10.1023/A:1012971715668
- Fearnside PM (2005a) Brazil's Samuel Dam: Lessons for hydroelectric development policy and the environment in Amazonia. Environ Manage 35(1):1-19. doi:10.1007/s00267-004-0100-3
- Fearnside PM (2005b) Do hydroelectric dams mitigate global warming? The case of Brazil's Curuá-Una Dam. Mitigat Adaptat Strat Global Change 10(4):675-691. doi:10.1007/s11027-005-7303-7
- Fearnside PM, Pueyo S[·] (2012) Underestimating greenhouse-gas emissions from tropical dams. Nature Climate Change 2(6):382–384. doi:10.1038/nclimate1540
- Galy-Lacaux C, Delmas R, Jambert C, Dumestre J-F, Labroue L, Richard S, Gosse P, (1997) Gaseous emissions and oxygen consumption in hydroelectric dams: A case study in French Guyana. Global Biogeochem Cycles 11(4):471-483
- Galy-Lacaux C, Delmas R, Kouadio J, Richard S, Gosse P (1999) Long-term greenhouse gas emissions from hydroelectric reservoirs in tropical forest regions. Global Biogeochem Cycles 13(2):503-517
- Kemenes A, Forsberg BR, Melack JM (2007) Methane release below a tropical hydroelectric dam. Geophys Res Lett 34:L12809. doi:10.1029/2007GL029479. 55
- Kemenes A, Forsberg BR, Melack JM (2011) CO₂ emissions from a tropical hydroelectric reservoir (Balbina, Brazil). Jour Geophys Res 116:G03004. doi:10.1029/2010JG001465
- St Louis VL, Kelly CA, Duchemin E, Rudd JWM, Rosenberg DM (2000) Reservoir surfaces as sources of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere: A global estimate. BioScience 50(9):766-775

APPENDIX B: Sustainable development in the CDM

Hydroelectric projects have very severe social and non-carbon environmental impacts (e.g., Fearnside 1989, 1999, 2001, 2005; Switkes 2008; WCD 2000). Impacts of the Santo Antônio Dam are reviewed in the book entitled *Muddy Waters* (Switkes 2008), in some of the chapters in the review of the EIA by Brazil's Public Ministry (Brazil, MPE-RO 2006) and in other sources on the impacts of the dam (e.g., Vera-Diaz et al. 2007).

Contribution to sustainable development is viewed by many observers as a notable failure of the CDM as a whole; one survey even found that less than 1% of CDM projects actually contributed to sustainable development (Sutter and Parreño 2007), and other assessments show the difficulties facing efforts to assure a contribution to sustainable development (Lecocq and Ambrosi 2007; Olhoff et al. 2004; Tewari 2012). On the other side, strong sustainable-development benefits are pointed out in a study commissioned by the UNFCCC (TERI 2012) and by a UNFCCC "policy dialogue" panel composed of high-level individuals such as the president of Brazil's National Bank for Economic and Social Development (BNDES) (CDM Policy Dialogue 2012; UNFCCC 2011, 2012a,b).

Brazil's internal regulations require that public comments be solicited on proposed CDM projects and that the proposals include a section ("Annex III") that describes sustainable-development implications. A study of social elements in hydroelectric CDM projects in Brazil found that the DNA's review of Annex III submissions and any responses to invitations to comment are relegated to "a relatively toothless ad hoc qualitative assessment" and that there is no "indication that any project has been declined or required to enhance its SD [sustainable development] benefits" (Cole and Roberts 2011, p. 366). Although Brazil's DNA for the CDM has worked much harder than most to insure that CDM projects contribute to sustainable development (Friberg 2009), this obviously does not extend to rejecting proposals with high political priority, such as those that are aligned with the Brazilian government's drive to expand hydropower in Amazonia. In fact, Brazil's DNA has "only questionable authority to reject a proposed CDM project unless it is contrary to existing Brazilian law or regulations with the force of law" (Cole and Liverman 2011, p. 148). In September 2013 the current head of Brazil's DNA told this author that Brazil has no operational definition of sustainable development that would allow him to reject projects on the grounds that they fail to meet the criteria; what exists is a general list of areas such as that a project must create employment, but that even if a project claimed to create only a single job the project could not be rejected. He offered the example of the Madeira River: even if the dams stated in their CDM projects that the giant catfish of the Madeira River would be eliminated, they could not be rejected on the basis of failing to meet sustainable development criteria. Subsidies from sale of carbon credit for dams with heavy environmental and social impacts erode the public image of the Climate Convention, with damaging consequences for present and future efforts to mitigate global climate change.

References

Brazil, MPE-RO (Ministério Público do Estado de Rondônia) (2006) Pareceres Técnicos dos Especialistas Setoriais—Aspectos Físicos/Bióticos. Relatório de Análise do

Conteúdo dos Estudos de Impacto Ambiental (EIA) e do Relatório de Impacto Ambiental (RIMA) dos Aproveitamentos Hidrelétricos de Santo Antônio e Jirau no Rio Madeira, Estado de Rondônia. MPE-RO, Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil. 2 Vols. Available at:

http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/Madeira_COBRAPE/11118-COBRAP-report.pdf

- CDM Policy Dialogue (2012) Climate Change, Carbon Markets and the CDM: A Call to Action. Report of the High-Level Panel on the CDM Policy Dialogue. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), Bonn, Germany. 86 pp. Available at: http://www.cdmpolicydialogue.org/report/rpt110912.pdf
- Cole JC, Liverman, DM (2011) Brazil's Clean Development Mechanism governance in the context of Brazil's historical environment–development discourses. Carbon Manage 2(2):145-160. doi:0.4155/CMT.11.11
- Cole JC, Roberts JT (2011) Lost opportunities? A comparative assessment of social development elements of six hydroelectricity CDM projects in Brazil and Peru. Climate and Devel 3(4):361-379. doi:10.1080/17565529.2011.623831
- Fearnside PM (1989) Brazil's Balbina Dam: Environment versus the legacy of the pharaohs in Amazonia. Environ Manage 13(4):401-423. doi:10.1007/BF01867675
- Fearnside PM (1999) Social impacts of Brazil's Tucuruí Dam. Environ Manage 24(4):483-495. doi:10.1007/s002679900248
- Fearnside PM (2001) Environmental impacts of Brazil's Tucuruí Dam: Unlearned lessons for hydroelectric development in Amazonia. Environ Manage 27(3):377-396. doi:10.1007/s002670010156
- Fearnside PM (2005) Brazil's Samuel Dam: Lessons for hydroelectric development policy and the environment in Amazonia. Environ Manage 35(1):1-19. doi:10.1007/s00267-004-0100-3
- Friberg L (2009) Varieties of carbon governance: The Clean Development Mechanism in Brazil, a success story challenged. J Environ and Devel 18(4):395-424. doi:10.1177/1070496509347092
- Lecocq F, Ambrosi P (2007) The Clean Development Mechanism: History, status, and prospects. Review Environ Econ Pol 1(1):134–151. doi:10.1093/reep/rem004
- Olhoff A, Markandya A, Halsnaes K, Taylor T (2004) CDM Sustainable Development Impacts. UNEP Risø Centre on Energy, Climate and Sustainable Development, Risø National Laboratory, Roskilde, Denmark. 88 pp. url: http://cd4cdm.org/publications/cdm%20sustainable%20development%20impacts.pd f

- Sutter C, Parreño JC (2007) Does the current Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) deliver its sustainable development claim? An analysis of officially registered CDM projects. Climatic Change 84:75-90. doi:10.1007/s10584-007-9269-9
- Switkes G (ed.) (2008) Águas Turvas: Alertas sobre as Conseqüências de Barrar o Maior Afluente do Amazonas. International Rivers, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. 237 pp. url: http://www.internationalrivers.org/pt-br/resources/%C3%A1guas-turvas-alertassobre-as-conseq%C3%BC%C3%AAncias-de-barrar-o-maior-afluente-doamazonas-3967
- TERI (The Energy and Resources Institute) (2012) Assessing the Impact of the Clean Development Mechanism on Sustainable Development and Technology Transfer. TERI, New Delhi, India. 148 pp. Available at: http://www.cdmpolicydialogue.org/research/1030_impact_sdm.pdf
- Tewari R (2012) Mapping of Criteria set by DNAs to Assess Sustainable Development Benefits of CDM Projects. CDM Policy Dialogue, The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI), New Delhi, India. 34 pp. url: http://www.cdmpolicydialogue.org/research/1030_mapping.pdf
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) (2011) Benefits of the Clean Development Mechanisms 2011. UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany, 52 pp. Available at: http://cdm.unfccc.int/about/dev_ben/ABC_2011.pdf
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) (2012a) Benefits of the Clean Development Mechanisms 2012. UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany, 102 pp. Available at: http://cdm.unfccc.int/about/dev_ben/ABC_2012.pdf
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) (2012b) Synthesis Report of the call for input on the CDM Policy Dialogue. UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany, 28 pp. Available at: http://www.cdmpolicydialogue.org/public_input/SYNTHESIS%20REPORT.pdf
- Vera-Diaz MC, Reid J, Soares-Filho B, Kaufmann R, Fleck L (2007) Effects of Energy and Transportation Projects on Soybean Expansion in the Madeira River Basin. CSF Series number 7. Conservation Strategy Fund, Lagoa Santa, Minas Gerais, Brazil. 26 pp. url: http://conservation-strategy.org/en/publication/effects-energy-andtransportation-projects-soybean-expansion-madeira-river-basin
- WCD (World Commission on Dams) (2000) Dams and Development A New Framework for Decision Making – The Report of World Commission on Dams. WCD & Earthscan, London, UK. 404 pp. url: http://www.internationalrivers.org/files/attachedfiles/world_commission_on_dams_final_report.pdf

APPENDIX C: Emissions in the Santo Antônio Project Design Document

The Project Design Document (PDD) for the Santo Antônio Dam's proposal for carbon credit from the CDM (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011) refers to various Brazilian government documents that support promotion of hydroelectric dams as a means of mitigating greenhouse-gas emissions. Although emissions per kWh from Santo Antônio can be expected to be significantly lower than those in existing Amazonian dams, they will not be zero as claimed by the project. Despite the document's using zero as the emission for the project in its calculation of climate benefits, a table is included (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 10, Table S4) where the admission is made that the dam would produce methane, although no quantities are mentioned. The same table also states that emissions of carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide (N₂O) are zero, each of these being only a "minor emission source." However, creating a reservoir kills forest trees in the flooded area; some were left projecting out of the water (as in most Amazonian dams) while others were removed from the reservoir area; in both cases the wood will decay in the presence of oxygen, thus producing CO₂. The greatest emissions occur in the first decade. Nitrous oxide is also emitted by tropical reservoirs (Guérin et al. 2008; de Lima et al. 2002). Emissions from construction of the dam and transmission line are not included in the PDD's calculations.

With the exception of bays and tributaries along the reservoir edges, the water in the Santo Antônio reservoir moves fast enough to prevent stratification. Calculations based on residence time and Froude density both indicate no stratification in the main reservoir (FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo B, Vol. 7, Anexo II, pp. 3.8-3.9). However, in edge areas where water velocities are much lower than the average for the reservoir as a whole, anoxic water is expected at the bottom of the reservoir, with resulting formation of methane in the sediments (Forsberg and Kemenes 2006). In response to demands from the Brazilian Institute for the Environment and Renewable Natural Resources (IBAMA), the proponents performed water-quality simulations for the tributaries in May 2007. The simulations indicated that the water would stratify year-round in two tributaries that have now been converted into branches of the Santo Antônio reservoir (see Appendix D). Some of the methane produced in the stratified areas would be released through diffusion and bubbling, but most of the dissolved methane that does not reach the surface will be prevented from reaching the turbines because the methane would be oxidized when the water from these tributaries mixes with oxygenated water in the main channel. Methane emissions will therefore be lower than in typical Amazonian dams where the main body of the reservoir stratifies. A measurement of high methane flux from the water surface in the two tributaries entering the Santo Antônio reservoir (Hällqvist 2012, p. 25) indicates that the water there is indeed stratified, while a high methane concentration in the air 3 km below the Santo Antônio Dam (Grandin 2012, p. 28) indicates that not all CH₄ is oxidized to CO₂ before reaching the turbines and spillway.

The PDD for the Santo Antônio CDM project calculates reservoir area for the purpose of computing the power density, which is the installed capacity in Watts divided by the area in square meters. The area of the reservoir used is calculated as area at the normal maximum water level of 70.5 m (354.40 km²), minus 164.00 km² described as "the river

course," making the increased flooded area 190.40 km² (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 6).

References

de Lima IBT, Victoria RL, Novo EMLM, Feigl BJ, Ballester MVR, Ometto JM (2002) Methane, carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide emissions from two Amazonian reservoirs during high water table. Verhand Internat Verein Limnol 28:438-442

Forsberg BR, Kemenes A (2006) Pareceres dos consultores sobre o Estudo de Impacto Ambiental do Projeto para aproveitamento hidrelétrica de Santo Antônio e Jirau, Rio Madeira-RO. Parecer Técnico sobre Estudos Hidrobiogeoquímicos, com atenção específica à dinâmica do Mercúrio (Hg). Parte B, Volume 1, Parecer 2. In: Pareceres Técnicos dos Especialistas Setoriais—Aspectos Físicos/Bióticos. Relatório de Análise do Conteúdo dos Estudos de Impacto Ambiental (EIA) e do Relatório de Impacto Ambiental (RIMA) dos Aproveitamentos Hidrelétricos de Santo Antônio e Jirau no Rio Madeira, Estado de Rondônia. Ministério Público do Estado de Rondônia (MPE-RO), Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil. 2 Vols, pp 1-32. Available at:

http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/Ma deira_COBRAPE/11118-COBRAP-report.pdf

FURNAS (Furnas Centrais Elétricas S.A.), CNO (Construtora Noberto Odebrecht S.A.), Leme Engenharia (2005) EIA-Estudo de Impacto Ambiental Aproveitamentos Hidrelétricos Santo Antônio e Jirau, Rio Madeira-RO. 6315-RT-G90-001. FURNAS, CNO, Leme Engenharia. Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. 8 Vols. Irregular Pagination. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/EIA/10978-EIA%20Sto%20Antonio%20&%20Jirau%20Tomo%20A%20Vol%201.pdf

- Grandin K (2012) Variations of Methane Emissions within and between Three Hydroelectric Reservoirs in Brazil. Department of Ecology and Evolution, Limnology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. 71 pp. url:http://www.ibg.uu.se/digitalAssets/130/130865_172grandin.pdf
- Guérin F, Abril G, Tremblay A, Delmas R (2008) Nitrous oxide emissions from tropical hydroelectric reservoirs. Geophys Res Lett 35:L06404, doi:10.1029/2007GL033057
- Hällqvist E (2012) Methane emissions from Three Tropical Hydroelectrical Reservoirs. Committee of Tropical Ecology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. 46 pp. url: http://www.ibg.uu.se/digitalAssets/122/122484_hallqvist-emma-report.pdf

Santo Antônio Energia S.A. (2011) Santo Antonio Hydropower Project. PDD version: 01.1 (27/10/2011) Clean Development Mechanism Project Design Document Form (CDM-PDD) Version 03. Santo Antônio Energia S.A., Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil. 53 pp. url:http://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/E/9/C/E9CIR7WM1SUB4X5QPVHA6KG0ZJ LTFO/Santo%20Antonio_PDD_20022012.pdf?t=NHl8bjNxeWdxfDD80ZqBJV60 AqLeVCB6tBCq

APPENDIX D: An estimate of emissions from the Santo Antônio project

Deforestation emissions

CO₂ emissions from biomass decay can be calculated from the dry weight of biomass present, assuming the 50% carbon adopted in the EIA. The estimates in the EIA are only for above-ground biomass, and the optimistic assumption is made here that there is no emission from decay of roots, which would increase the total by slightly over 20%. The EIA includes an estimate of fine litter stocks but is unclear as to whether its biomass estimates include trees less than 10 cm diameter at breast height, non-tree components (lianas, strangler figs, etc.) and dead trees, either standing or fallen. Here it is conservatively assumed these components were included. On the other side, the above-ground biomass estimate given in the EIA for the main forest type -- open ombrophilous (shade-loving) alluvial forest -- appears to be high at 364.67 t/ha dry weight (FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo B, Vol. 3, p. IV-522). An estimate for this forest type based on 146 one-hectare plots in Brazil's Radambrasil survey indicates an above-ground biomass of 298.4 \pm 60.7 t/ha (Nogueira 2008). The Radambrasil survey was carried out before much of the forest was degraded through logging, so the mean biomass today would be somewhat lower. Table S1 presents an estimate of deforestation emissions.

Table S1: Deforestation emissions

Dam	Biomass dry wt. (t/ha)	Carbon loading (t/ha)	Area (ha)	Carbon stock (t)	Biomass reference	Area reference
Alluvial ombrophilous forest (Fal)						
Trees	364.67	182.3	9,077.0	1,654,730	(a)	(b)
Litter	15.02	7.51	9,077.0	68,168	(c)	(b)
Várzea (floodplain) pioneer formations (Fpv)	18	9	1,371.7	12,345	(d)	(b)
Pasture	1.5	0.75	1,698.7	1,274	(e)	(b)
Riverside human occupation, urban areas & deforestation	5	2.5	107.6	269	(f)	(g)
Deforestation in surrounding area stimulated by the dam			not inclu	ded		
Dam total			21,332	1,736,786		
CO_2e (h)				6,368,215		
Transmission line						
Forest cleared for transmission line	259	129.5	531.0	68,765	(i)	(j)
CO ₂ e (h)				252,137		
 (a) FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo B, Vol. 3, p. IV-522. (b) FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo B, Vol. 3, p. IV-267. (c) FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo B, Vol. 7, Anexo II, p. 4.4 (d) Schöngart et al. 2010. (e) Fearnside, 1989, p. 45: average in two pastures in Ouro Antônio's when filling occurred. (f) FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo B, Vol. 7, Anexo II, p. 4.12 	4. Preto do 9 2.	Oeste, Roi	ndônia for	November	, when San	to

(g) Biomass for riverside human occupation, urban areas and deforestation is a guess.

(h) Assumes all carbon is released as CO₂. Converted to CO₂e multiplying by the molecular weight of CO₂ (44) and dividing by the atomic weight of carbon (12) and multiplying by the GWP of CO₂ (1 by definition).
(i) Fearnside et al. 2009 for Rondônia forests.
(j) Bragança 2012.

Reservoir emissions above the dam

Methane emissions from the reservoir surface can be estimated based on existing flux measurements at Santo Antônio. Water in the main channel of the reservoir releases very little methane, but in tributaries the situation is different, and in beds of macrophytes (water weeds) the emission is very high. Measurements of CH_4 concentrations in the air and in the surface water at Santo Antônio provide an indication of substantial methane flux. Normally, the concentration in the water is much higher than the concentration in the air, as CH_4 released into the air is quickly mixed with the vast volume of air that is blown by wind from areas away from the reservoir's influence. The difference in concentration (on a molar basis in the air in the headspace) will result in diffusion from the water to the air. The measurements at Santo Antônio (Grandin 2012; Hällqvist 2012) indicate concentration in the air at least 10 times lower than in the water at all seven sampling stations in the reservoir and its tributaries.

Thermal stratification of the water column is the normal way that anoxic or hypoxic water forms at the bottom, thereby providing the conditions for formation of methane. Warmer water at the surface is separated by a thermocline from colder water at the bottom, thus allowing oxygen to be depleted at the bottom as decomposition removes it from the water to form CO₂. Stratification in the tributaries entering the Santo Antônio reservoir has been documented for parts of the year in all four tributaries monitored by a consulting firm hired by Santo Antônio Energia for this purpose, with data available from September 2011 through January 2013 (Ecology Brasil 2013). The month of February, when the methane flux measurements were made (Grandin 2012; Hällqvist 2012), is not a month with evident stratification. In September, October and November (the low-flow period) all tributaries were stratified, with dissolved oxygen concentrations < 2 mg/L near the bottom, while some tributaries were also stratified in August, December and January (Ecology Brasil 2013). In the main river, the monitoring station (located 8.5 km above the dam) indicated thermal stratification from August to December; dissolved oxygen levels declined at the bottom in these months but never reached the very low levels found in the tributaries: the bottom water in the Rio Madeira had dissolved oxygen in the 5.7-6.5 mg/L range in August, September, October, December and January (Ecology Brasil 2013). A substantial decrease in dissolved oxygen throughout the water column was reported for March and April.

The approximate total emission from the reservoir surface can be calculated as follows. The main channel produces little emission because water velocities are sufficient to avert stratification, at least considering average values by month and river stretch. At low water (5000 m^3 /s) flow velocities in different stretches of the reservoir range from 0.11 to 0.27 m/s, at the approximate mean streamflow (18,000 m³/s) they range from 0.38 to 0.90 m/s, and under flood conditions (48,600 m³/s) they range from 1.01 to 2.45 m/s (FURNAS and CNO 2007, Anexo 1, pp. 12-16).

The emission from the main channel of the reservoir based on the mean CH₄ flux at four measurement stations in this part of the reservoir is 0.16 mmole/m²/day (SD=0.33) (Grandin 2012, p. 31). This is equivalent to 2.52×10^{-3} g/m²/day, and the 236.8 km² area to

which this applies at the operating water level 70 m above sea level would therefore release 217.8 t/year of methane. The 70-m operating level is specified in the viability study and environmental impact study (EIA) for the dam; the level used in the Project Design Document (PDD) for the carbon project was 70.5 m. With the recently approved increase to 71.3 m above sea level the area would be 272.2 km² based on information in the EIA (FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo A, Vol. 1, p. VII-54; FURNAS and CNO 2007, pp. 125-126), and the emission would be 250.4 t/year.

The area figures given above do not adjust for the loss of reservoir area when the Jirau Dam was moved 9 km downstream, but the difference in emission would be quite small relative to other sources. Note that the Project Design Document (PDD) for the Santo Antônio carbon project, which was submitted <u>after</u> the location of the Jirau Dam had been moved, gives 354.4 km² as the reservoir area at the 70.5-m water level (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 35), or 22.7% <u>higher</u> than the area at this water level given in the EIA. The reservoir was operating at 70.5 m as of April 2014, and the 17 turbines that had been installed by then did not required the additional head from the 71.3 m level.

The tributaries are a much greater source of emission than the body of the reservoir. Unlike the main channel of the river, calculations by the dam proponents indicated that the tributaries would be stratified during all or part of the year (FURNAS and CNO 2007, pp. 150-151). The areas of the three tributaries are: Igarapé Mucuim (Teotonio) 4.55 km² at the 70 m water level, 4.92 km² at 70.5 m and 5.43 km² at 71.3 m; Igarapé Jatuarana 11.11 km² at 70 m, 11.53 km² at 70.5 m and 12.28 km² at 71.3 m; Jaci-Paraná River 18.51 km² at 70 m, 20.11 km² at 70.5 m and 28.16 km² at 71.3 m (FURNAS and CNO 2007, pp. 125-126). These total 34.17 km² at 70 m, 38.56 km² at 70.5 m and 45.87 km² at 71.3 m. The percentage of this area that is covered with macophytes will be a key factor in determining the emission.

Rooted macrophytes (water weeds) represent an important emissions source for methane, as the xylem in their stems provides a direct conduit for gas transfer from the anoxic sediments to the atmosphere. Tropical reservoirs typically experience explosions of macrophyte populations (both rooted and not) in the first years after impoundment, as at Brokopondo in Surinam (Leentvaar 1966), Balbina in Brazil's state of Amazonas (Walker et al. 1999) and Tucuruí in the state of Pará (de Lima et al. 2000). At Tucuruí, for example, a sequence of satellite images indicates that 39% of the reservoir was covered by macrophytes two years after impoundment, after which the cover declined and stabilized at 11% of the reservoir in the tenth year (de Lima et al. 2000). At Santo Antônio an overflight of the reservoir shortly after filling revealed very extensive macrophyte cover (Francisco Pereira, personal communication 2012). It is in the tributaries and in shallow bays along the reservoir edges that macrophytes persist most after the initial flush of macrophyte cover has passed, and it also in these locations where macrophytes are mostly rooted. Measurements of methane fluxes from a macrophyte patch in a tributary to the Santo Antônio reservoir (the Jaci-Paraná River) in February 2012 indicated an emission rate of 127.12 mmol $CH_4/m^2/day$, considering the concentration in the flux chamber 20 minutes after placement (Note: CH₄ concentrations in flux chambers increase over the course of a standard 30minute measurement sequence, but, in the case of this measurement, the concentration in the chamber declined to a level corresponding to 36.44 mmol $CH_4/m^2/day$ over the next 10minute interval due to a probable break in the seal between the water and the chamber: Grandin 2012, p. 28; Hällqvist 2012, p. 39). The comparable measurement for an adjacent sample without macrophytes was 7.56 mmole/ m^2 /day. The difference of 119.56 mmole/ m^2 /day, representing the emission from the macrophytes, is 16 times higher than the emission from the water surface.

If one makes a conservative guess that only 20% of the tributary area is covered with macropytes (i.e., 2.5% of the reservoir as a whole at the 70-m, 2.4% at the 70.5-m level, or 2.9% at the 71.3-m level), then the measured emission of 127.12 mmol $CH_4/m^2/day$ in macrophytes described above implies an emission of 5073.4 t/year at the 70-m level, 5725.2 t/year at 70.5 m and 6810.5 t/year at 71.3 m. The remaining 80% of the tributary surface emitting at 21.1 mmole/m²/day (SD=16.6, n=3 stations) (Grandin 2012, p. 31) implies an emission of 3367.9 t/year at the 70-m level, 3800.6 at the 70.5-m level and 4521.0 t/year at the 71.3-m level.

Emissions below the dam

In the case of a sampling station located approximately 3 km below the dam (Hällqvist 2012, p. 18), the concentration in the air was 8.4 ppmv, or 5.5 times <u>higher</u> than the concentration found in the water (Grandin 2012, p. 28). Concentrations were also measured at two sampling stations much farther downstream, with mixed results. At a station approximately 29 km below the dam the concentration in the air was only 2 ppmv, while that in the water was 17.5 times higher, or similar to the concentrations in Santo Antônio's tributaries. The other station, located approximately 100 km below the dam, had concentration in the air of 13.3 ppmv, or 8.6 times higher than the concentration in the water.

The emission immediately below the dam is of a different type from the emission from the water surface in tributaries within the reservoir. In the case of the tributaries, emission is continuous, with the surface water having high concentrations of CH₄ that is continually replenished by anaerobic decomposition in the sediments below, and the air at 30-cm height above the water has a much lower CH₄ concentration than the water. At the sampling station 3 km below the dam, however, the relationship is reversed, with a greatly enhanced CH₄ concentration in the air, but little in the water. This indicates that, rather than a continuous flux of CH₄ through the water column and diffusion at the surface, the gas has been released in a single burst (presumably mainly of bubbles) as the water emerges from the turbines and spillway. The gas in the air remains over the river for a considerable distance downstream, but the rate of flux at the surface as the water continues to flow downstream would be small – much less than would be needed to explain the elevated concentration of CH₄ at 30 cm height.

Even if the turbulent water in the stretch of river below the dam did not prevent direct flux measurements with chambers, the measurements would tell us little about the amount of CH_4 that had been emitted in the initial burst. Likewise, if data were available on the vertical component of air movement, the total emission could not be calculated from the air concentration because the emission is not a continuous flux. Nevertheless, a very rough

idea can be had of a minimum value for this release. It is known from studies of CO_2 concentration profiles in the air column over Amazonian cattle pastures that during the night, if the air is not still, the gas concentration is approximately constant up to a boundary layer height, which, based on two estimation methods, averages 51 m above the surface (Acevedo et al. 2004, p. 893). This refers to a gas (CO₂) coming from a continuous source, namely respiration of the vegetation at night, making it different from a one-time pulse of emission as in the case of CH_4 degassing from the turbines and spillway. The concentration at 30-cm height cannot be extrapolated up to a height of 51 m. However, one can make a conservative assumption, such as that, on average, the air column contains this concentration up to a height of 5 m (i.e., 10% of the approximate height to which the air mass can be assumed to rise at night).

The Madeira River just below the dam has a width of 2.2 km, narrowing to 1.4 km in front of Porto Velho and to 0.8 km below the city (measured from Google Earth). If one assumes that the air mass containing the methane remains over the river course for 6 km (i.e., twice the distance to the first sampling station below the dam, the methane load above the first sampling station therefore representing the half-way point in a presumed linear decline beginning from the dam), and considering the river width of 1.4 km (corresponding to that at the measurement station 3 km below the dam) as most relevant to dissipation of the initial emission from water passing through the dam, the volume of the air mass containing the methane is 4.20×10^7 m³. Considering the average air temperature of 33 °C at the reservoir measurement sites (Grandin 2012, p. 31), the altitude of the river surface of 55.3 m above sea level (FURNAS et al. 2005, Tomo A, Vol. 1, p. VII-50), the air density at this altitude and temperature is 0.68% less than that at standard temperature and pressure, which is equivalent to 0°C at sea level (Engineering Toolbox 2014).

The average concentration in the air at the four sites on the main reservoir of 1.4 ppmv CH₄ (Hällqvist 2012, p. 27) can be taken as the background concentration for the standpoint of calculating enrichment. The concentration measurements in the air were made at the level of the top of the floating chambers, or approximately 30 cm above the water (Hällqvist 2012, pp. 12-13).

At the temperature and altitude at Porto Velho, one mole of gas occupies 22.55 liters, and the air mass above the river contains 1.86×10^9 moles of air. The methane enrichment of 8.4 - 1.4 = 7.0 ppmv corresponds to 1.30×10^4 moles of methane in the air mass, or 208.6 kg of methane gas. In the month of February, when the methane measurements were made, the prevailing winds at the site are from the north (Cortez 2004, p. 17), meaning that the wind has an angle of attack of 35° with respect to the axis of the river, which (ignoring irregularities) flows in a roughly northeasterly direction in this stretch at an angle of 35° (Google Earth). The average wind speed at the time of the measurement at the sampling station 3 km below the dam was 2.3 m/s (Hällqvist 2012, p. 35). The average wind speed in Porto Velho over the year is 1.4 m/s (Cortez 2004, p. 16). The measured wind speed and the assumed direction imply that the vector representing movement across the river had a velocity of 1.3 m/s. The air over the river was therefore being renewed every 18 minutes, and the total amount of CH₄ emitted corresponded to 27 t/day or 1.67×10^4 t/year.

The total estimated emission from fluxes above and below the dam is 1.48×10^4 t/year at the 70-m water level, 1.59×10^4 t/year at the 70.5-m level and 1.77×10^4 t/year at the 71.3-m level. Of this 41.3% represents downstream emission at the 70-m level, the corresponding percentages being 38.3% for 70.5 m and 34.5% for 71.3 m. The downstream emission is probably primarily from immediate release as the water passes through the dam and would not continue at a significant level from the water surface beyond the measurement point 3 km below the dam.

Some rough reality checks are possible based on the amount of methane that would be transported through the dam at different possible concentrations. Given the 1931-2005 mean streamflow of 18,806 m^3 /s, the calculated annual downstream CH₄ emission of 175,024 t represents 15.4% of the 1.14×10^6 t/year of methane transported through the dam if the water contained the high mean concentration found in the surface water in the tributaries, but it represents an impossible 410% of the methane passing through the dam (42,730 t/year) if the water contained the concentration measured in surface water in the main river at the closest measurement station above the dam. However, methane concentrations measured at the surface do not represent the average concentration in the water column, especially if the water is stratified, since methane concentrations at the bottom of the reservoir under these conditions are much higher than at the surface. The surface water concentration below the dam being essentially equal to that above the dam means that the methane enrichment of the air is not explained by release of the transported methane as calculated from the surface concentration, and therefore must be from release of methane at higher concentration near the bottom of the river. At the time of the measurement almost all of the river's flow was passing through the spillway, which draws water from deep in the water column and which produces strong turbulence below the dam. A release of this magnitude therefore does not appear unreasonable, but uncertainty is high.

Another check is the percentage of the total methane emission that is estimated to occur downstream, in this case 34.5% for the 70.5-m water level. This percentage is well below those at other dams in tropical South America: Balbina at age 18 years emitted 52.7% of its CH₄ downstream (Kemenes et al. 2007), Petit Saut at age 9 years emitted 78.6% downstream (Abril et al. 2005) and Tucuruí at age 6 years emitted 88.2% downstream (Fearnside 2002). These other dams have significant differences from Santo Antônio, including substantially larger reservoir areas that would lead to a smaller expected importance of downstream emissions as a percentage of the total. The larger streamflow of the Madeira River as compared to the rivers at the other South American dams would also make the expected percentage of downstream emissions greater at Santo Antônio. The lower downstream percentage calculated for Santo Antônio is therefore a feature suggesting that the estimate of downstream emissions is conservative.

I emphasize that the above estimate for Santo Antônio is a very rough calculation, but it gives an idea of the magnitude involved with the best information available. The above estimate contains a variety of conservative assumptions. Perhaps the greatest is that the methane concentration in the air from a measurement made approximately 3 km below the dam represents the value to be applied to the air mass above the river. Because the bulk of emission typically occurs very quickly as the water passes through the dam (see Fearnside and Pueyo 2012), the value used here is probably an underestimate because much

of the methane from the initial burst of emission would have already been blown laterally away from the river before reaching the point of measurement.

Dam and transmission line construction emissions

Dam and transmission line emissions are shown in Table S2. The dam construction emissions estimate is conservative, since lack of information results in not including a number of emission sources such as diesel fuel and electricity use. In the transmission line estimate, emissions from production of the 63,000 t of aluminum used in the cables is undoubtedly underestimated, since the emissions from the highly energy-intensive process of aluminum production are calculated from estimates from the Brazilian Association of Aluminum (ABAL 2011) that consider hydropower to be "green" emissions-free energy.

Category	Item	No. of Items	Amount per item		Total amount	Units	Emission per unit (t CO ₂ e)	Total emission (t CO2e)	Amount Reference	Emission Reference
Steel							(* * * * 2*)	(* 2 2 2 2)		
	Reinforcing rods				167,837	t			(a)	
	Turbines		44	899.36	39,572	t			(a)	
	Generators		44	234.53	10,319	t			(a)	
	Spillway gates		21	234.53	4,925	t			(b)	
	Other steel				2,500	t			(b)	
	Total steel				225,153	t	2.200	495,336		(c)
Concrete										
	Conventional concrete				3,311,150	t			(a)	
	Roller compressed concre	te			408,000	t			(a)	
	Total concrete				3,719,150	t				
	Sand and gravel in concre	te			2,769,688	t	0.009	25,758	(d)	(e)
Cement					949,462	t	1.004	953,545	(a)	(e)
Excavation and	fill									
	Ordinary excavation				74,364,110	m^3			(a)	(d, f)
	Rock above water				21,554,760	m^3			(a)	(d, f)
	Rock below water				400,000	m^3			(a)	(d, f)
	Earth fill				6,164,780	m^3			(a)	(d, f)
	Rock fill				5,852,870	m^3			(a)	(d, f)
	Rip-rap				1,534,566	m^3			(a)	(d, f)
	Total excavation and fill				109,871,086	m^3	0.0006	68,197		(f)
Dam subtotal								1,542,836		

Table S2: Santo Antônio dam and transmission line construction emissions

T	•	•	1.
Transm	188	sion	line
I I CALLOI II.	LIDL	1011	11110

Steel	52.000 t	2,200	114.400 (g)	(c)
Aluminum	63,000 t	4.250	267,750 (g)	(b)
Transmission line total	,		382,150	
Fraction of transmission line attributed to Santo Antônio	0.5			
Transmission line subtotal attributed to Santo Antônio			191,075	
Total attributed to Santo Antônio			1,733,911	
(a) FURNAS and CNO 2008.				
(b) Based on Belo Monte (Fearnside 2009).				
(c) Van Vate 1995.				
(d) Concrete minus cement.				

(e) Marheineke nd [1996].

(f) Emissions for "earth haulings" applied to all excavation and fill operations.

(g) Moreira 2013.

(h) ABAL 2011, p. 38.

Comparison of Santo Antônio with baseline emissions

Estimated emissions of Santo Antônio (including downstream emissions) are compared to baseline emissions in Table S3. Table S4 makes the same comparison omitting the very uncertain downstream emissions.
imated	amiasiana	from Co	nto Antôni	Decomin	at 70 5 m 1	downateroom)	acommonal to	hagaling	amiania

Table S3: Estimate	ed emissions from Santo Antônio (Reserve	oir at 70.5 m + downstre	eam) compared to ba	seline emiss	ions		
		Baseline	Power to	Estimate	io		
		emissions	be generated	CH_4	GWP=25	GWP=34	GWP=86
		$(t CO_2 e) (a)$	(MWh)	(t/yr)	(t CO ₂ e)	$(t CO_2 e)$	(t CO ₂ e)
Dam construction	CO_2 emissions (Table S2)				1,542,836	1,542,836	1,542,836
Dam deforestation	CO ₂ emissions (Table S2)				6,368,215	6,368,215	6,368,215
Transmission line	construction CO ₂ emissions attributed to S	Santo Antônio (Table S2	2)		191,075	191,075	191,075
Transmission line	deforestation CO ₂ emissions (Table S1)				252,137	252,137	252,137
Gas-fired power pl	ant construction CO ₂ emissions	2157.8 (b)					
0	2012	518,205	1,893,741	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
1	2013	2,720,189	9,940,726	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
2	2014	4,953,586	18,102,507	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
3	2015	5,830,126	21,305,757	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
4	2016	5,846,099	21,364,129	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
5	2017	5,830,126	21,305,757	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
6	2018	5,830,126	21,305,757	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
7	2019	5,830,126	21,305,757	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
8	2020	5,846,099	21,364,129	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
9	2021	5,830,126	21,305,757	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
10	2022	2,429,219	8,877,398	15,911	397,782	540,984	1,368,371
Total		51,466,185	188,079,300	175,024	12,729,868	14,305,086	23,406,345
Transmission loss to São Paulo(c)		10,293,237 (d)	37,615,860				
Power delivered to São Paulo		41,172,948	150,463,440				
Emission per MWh delivered to São Paulo (t CO ₂ e/MWh)		0.27			0.08	0.10	0.16

(a) Baseline emissions (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 35) are based on the Combined Margin Emissions Factor of 0.31, which is 50% from the Grid Operating Margin Emissions Factor (0.4796) and 50% from the Grid build margin emissions factor (0.1404) (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 34).

(b) Based on the 230-t Alstom GT24 gas turbine, considered state-of-the-art; this 700-MW capacity turbine operates with a 60% power factor

(Wheeler 2012). This would supply a total of 62,362,000 MWh over a period of 10 years, and 4.1 of these turbines would supply the electricity Santo Antônio will deliver to São Paulo.

(c) Assumes 20% transmission loss, the mean for Brazilian losses (Rey 2012). This is conservative for a line of this length.

(d) Although gas-fired plants are built where electricity is used, thereby avoiding transmission loss, the amount of electricity used for calculating baseline emissions in the PDD is based on power delivered to the grid 5 km from the Santo Antônio Dam.

Table S4: Estimated emissions from Santo Antônio (Reservoir only, 74	70.5 m water level) compared to baseline emissions
--	--

		Baseline	•	Power to	Estimated emission from Sto. Antonio			onio
		emissions	5	be generated	CH_4	GWP=25	GWP=34	GWP=86
		(t CO ₂ e) ((a)	(MWh)	(t/yr)	(t CO ₂ e)	(t CO ₂ e)	(t CO ₂ e)
Dam construction	CO ₂ emissions (Table S2)					1,542,836	1,542,836	1,542,836
Dam deforestation	CO ₂ emissions (Table S1)					6,368,215	6,368,215	6,368,215
Transmission line	construction CO ₂ emissions a	ttributed to Sau	nto Antônio (T	Table S2)		191,075	191,075	191,075
Transmission line	deforestation CO ₂ emissions				252,137	252,137	252,137	
Gas-fired plant con	nstruction CO ₂ emissions	2157.8	(b)					
0	2012	518,205		1,893,741	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
1	2013	2,720,189		9,940,726	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
2	2014	4,953,586		18,102,507	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
3	2015	5,830,126		21,305,757	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
4	2016	5,846,099		21,364,129	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
5	2017	5,830,126		21,305,757	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
6	2018	5,830,126		21,305,757	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
7	2019	5,830,126		21,305,757	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
8	2020	5,846,099		21,364,129	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
9	2021	5,830,126		21,305,757	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
10	2022	2,429,219		8,877,398	9,816	245,409	333,756	844,207
Total 51,466,185			188,079,300	107,980	11,053,763	12,025,583	17,640,543	
Transmission loss to São Paulo(c) 10,293,237			(d)	37,615,860				
Power delivered to São Paulo 41,172,948				150,463,440				
Emission per MWh delivered to São Paulo (t CO2e/MWh)			0.27			0.07	0.08	0.12

(a) Baseline emissions (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 2011, p. 35) are based on the Combined Margin Emissions Factor of 0.31, which is 50% from the

Grid Operating Margin Emissions Factor (0.4796) and 50% from the Grid build margin emissions factor (0.1404) (Santo Antônio Energia S.A. 20121p. 34).

(b) Based on the 230-t Alstom GT24 gas turbine, considered state-of-the-art; this 700-MW capacity turbine operates with a 60% power factor (Wheeler 2012). This would supply a total of 62,362,000 MWh over a period of 10 years, and 4.1 of these turbines would supply the electricity Santo Antônio will deliver to São Paulo.

(c) Assumes 20% transmission loss, the mean for Brazilian losses (Rey 2012). This is conservative for a line of this length.

(d) Although gas-fired plants are built where electricity is used, thereby avoiding transmission loss, the amount of electricity used for calculating baseline emissions in the PDD is based on power delivered to the grid 5 km from the Santo Antônio Dam.

References

- ABAL (Associação Brasileira do Alumínio) (2011) Sustainability Report of the Brazilian Aluminum Industry 2010. ABAL, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. 60 pp. Available at: http://www.abal.org.br/servicos/biblioteca/rel_sustentabilidade_ind_aluminio_2010. asp
- Abril G, Guérin F, Richard S, Delmas R, Galy-Lacaux C, Gosse P, Tremblay A, Varfalvy L, dos Santos MA, Matvienko B (2005) Carbon dioxide and methane emissions and the carbon budget of a 10-years old tropical reservoir (Petit-Saut, French Guiana). Global Biogeochem Cycles 19:GB 4007. doi:10.1029/2005GB002457
- Acevedo OC, Moraes OLL, da Silva R, Fitzjarrald DR, Sakai RK, Staebler RM, Czikowsky MJ (2004) Inferred nocturnal surface fluxes from vertical profiles of scalars in an Amazonian pasture. Global Change Biol 10:886-894. doi: 10.111/j.1529-8817.2003.00755.x
- Bragança D (2012) Ibama libera linha de transmissão das Usinas do Madeira. OEco 9 February 2012. http://www.oeco.org.br/salada-verde/25709-ibama-libera-linha-detransmissao-das-usinas-do-madeira
- Cortez M (2004) Climatologia de Rondônia. Primeira Versão 11(171):1-19. http://www.primeiraversao.unir.br/atigos_pdf/numero171MarcosCortez.pdf
- de Lima IBT, Novo EMLM, Ballester MVR, Ometto JPHB (2000) Role of the macrophyte community in the CH₄ production and emission in the tropical reservoir of Tucuruí, Pará State, Brazil. Ver Internat Verein Limnol 27:1437-1440.
- Ecology Brasil (2013) UHE Santo Antônio no rio Madeira, Síntese dos Resultados dos Perfis Verticais Realizados Durante o Enchimento e Estabilização da UHE Santo Antônio no Rio Madeira. 2541-00-RPT-RL-0001-00. [Março de 2013]. Ecology Brasil Ltda., Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. 786 pp. http://licenciamento.ibama.gov.br/Hidreletricas/Santo%20Antonio%20(Rio%20Ma deira)/UHE%20Santo%20Antonio/Monitoramento%20Limnol%C3%B3gico_UHE %20Santo%20Ant%C3%B4nio/Opera%C3%A7%C3%A3o/03_abril%202013/2541 -00-MLM-RL-0003-00_COMPLETO.pdf
- Engineering Toolbox (2014) The Engineering Toolbox. http://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/air-density-specific-weight-d_600.html
- Fearnside PM (1989) Ocupação Humana de Rondônia: Impactos, Limites e Planejamento. Relatórios de Pesquisa No. 5, Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Brasília, DF, Brazil. 76 pp.

Fearnside PM (2002) Greenhouse gas emissions from a hydroelectric reservoir (Brazil's Tucuruí Dam) and the energy policy implications. Water, Air Soil Pollut 133(1-4):69-96. doi:10.1023/A:1012971715668

Fearnside PM (2009) As hidrelétricas de Belo Monte e Altamira (Babaquara) como fontes de gases de efeito estufa. Novos Cadernos NAEA 12(2):5-56. English translation available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/mss%20and%20in%20press/Belo%20Monte%2 0emissions-Engl.pdf]

- Fearnside PM, Pueyo S[·] (2012) Underestimating greenhouse-gas emissions from tropical dams. Nature Climate Change 2(6):382–384. doi:10.1038/nclimate1540
- Fearnside PM, Righi CA, Graça PMLA, Keizer EWH, Cerri CC, Nogueira EM, Barbosa RI (2009) Biomass and greenhouse-gas emissions from land-use change in Brazil's Amazonian "arc of deforestation": The states of Mato Grosso and Rondônia. Forest Ecol Manage 258:1968-1978. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2009.07.042
- FURNAS (Furnas Centrais Elétricas S.A.), CNO (Construtora Noberto Odebrecht S.A.)
 (2007) Respostas às Perguntas Apresentadas pelo IBAMA no Âmbito do Processo de Licenciamento Ambiental do Complexo Madeira. Informações Técnicas Nos 17, 19 E 20/2007 COHID/CGENE/DILIC/IBAMA. FURNAS and CNO, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. 239 pp. url: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%200ficiais/respostas% 20empresas.pdf
- FURNAS (Furnas Centrais Elétricas S.A.), CNO (Construtora Noberto Odebrecht S.A. (2008). Relatório atendimento of. 1116-2007 SGH-A. Ficha Técnica TCU AHE Santo Antônio Relatório Complementar. USINA HIDRELÉTRICA: AHE Santo Antônio. FURNAS and CNO, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%200ficiais/Madeira-___ompl/Rel%20atendimento%20of%201116-2007%20SGH-ANEEL/MADEIRA%20-%20RELATORIO%20COMPLEMENTAR/Ficha%20Técnica%20TCU%20-%20AHE%20Santo%20Antônio%20-%20Relatório%20Complement.doc
- FURNAS (Furnas Centrais Elétricas S.A.), CNO (Construtora Noberto Odebrecht S.A.), Leme Engenharia (2005) EIA-Estudo de Impacto Ambiental Aproveitamentos Hidrelétricos Santo Antônio e Jirau, Rio Madeira-RO. 6315-RT-G90-001. FURNAS, CNO, Leme Engenharia. Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. 8 Vols. Irregular Pagination. Available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Mad/Documentos%20Oficiais/EIA/10978-EIA%20Sto%20Antonio%20&%20Jirau%20Tomo%20A%20Vol%201.pdf
- Grandin K (2012) Variations of Methane Emissions within and between Three Hydroelectric Reservoirs in Brazil. Department of Ecology and Evolution, Limnology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. 71 pp. url:http://www.ibg.uu.se/digitalAssets/130/130865_172grandin.pdf

- Hällqvist E (2012) Methane emissions from Three Tropical Hydroelectrical Reservoirs. Committee of Tropical Ecology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. 46 pp. url: http://www.ibg.uu.se/digitalAssets/122/122484_hallqvist-emma-report.pdf
- Kemenes A, Forsberg BR, Melack JM (2007) Methane release below a tropical hydroelectric dam. Geophys Res Lett 34:L12809. doi:10.1029/2007GL029479. 55
- Leentvaar P (1966) The Brokopondo Lake in Surinam. Verhan Internat Verein Limnol 16:680-684
- Marheineke T (nd [C. 1996]) FENCH analysis of electricity generation:Greenhouse gas emission factors for hydropower. Institute of Energy Economics and the Rational Use of Energy, University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany. In: Working Material: Assessment of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from the Full Energy Chain for Hydropower, Nuclear Power and other Energy Sources. Papers presented at an IAEA Advisory Group Meeting jointly organized by Hydro-Québec and the International Atomic Energy Sources, Hydro-Québec Headquarters, Montréal (Canada) 12-14 March 1996. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Vienna, Austria. Irregular pagination.
- Moreira R (2013) Brasil terá uma das maiores linhas de transmissão do mundo. O Estado de São Paulo, 6 December 2013. url: http://economia.estadao.com.br/noticias/economia,brasil-tera-uma-das-maiores-linhas-de-transmissao-do-mundo,172205,0.htm
- Nogueira EM (2008) Wood density and tree allometry in forests of Brazil's 'arc of deforestation': Implications for biomass and emission of carbon from land-use change in Brazilian Amazonia. PhD thesis in tropical forest science, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil. 148 pp. English translation available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Teses e dissertacoes orientadas/Nogueira_E M
 2008_WOOD_DENSITY_ALLOMETRY_AND_CARBON_IN_BRAZILIAN_AM AZONIA_THESIS_ingles.pdf
- Rey O (2012) Um olhar para as grandes perdas de energia no sistema de transmissão elétrico brasileiro. pp. 40-44 In: Moreira PF (ed.) Setor Elétrico Brasileiro e a Sustentabilidade no Século 21: Oportunidades e Desafios. 2^a ed. Rios Internacionais, Brasília, DF, Brazil. 100 pp. Available at: http://www.internationalrivers.org/node/7525
- Santo Antônio Energia S.A. (2011) Santo Antonio Hydropower Project. PDD version: 01.1 (27/10/2011) Clean Development Mechanism Project Design Document Form (CDM-PDD) Version 03. Santo Antônio Energia S.A., Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil. 53 pp.
 url:http://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/E/9/C/E9CIR7WM1SUB4X5QPVHA6KG0ZJ

LTFO/Santo%20Antonio_PDD_20022012.pdf?t=NHl8bjNxeWdxfDD80ZqBJV6O AqLeVCB6tBCq

- Schöngart J, Wittmann F, Worbes M (2010) Biomass and net primary production of central Amazonian floodplain forests. In: Junk WJ, Piedade, MTF, Wittmann, Schöngart J, Parolin P (eds.) Amazonian Floodplain Forests: Ecophysiology, Biodiversity and Sustainable Management. Ecological Studies 210. Springer Science Business Media B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands. pp 347–388
- Van de Vate JF (1995) The IAEA investigations into studies on comparative assessment of FENCH emissions of GHGs of different energy sources: An update. Assessment of Greenhouse Gas Emission from the Full Energy Chain for Nuclear Power and Other Energy Sources. IAEA, Vienna. 26-28 September 1995. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Vienna, Austria. Irregular pagination.
- Walker I, Miyai R, de Melo MDA (1999) Observations on aquatic macrophyte dynamics in the reservoir of the Balbina hydroelectric power plant, Amazonas state, Brazil. Acta Amazonica 29:243-265
- Wheeler B (2012) Follow me down the Tennessee: Alstom ships first gas turbine from Chattanooga. Power Engineering. 1 July 2012. url: http://www.powereng.com/articles/print/volume-116/issue-7/features/follow-me-down-the-tennesseealstom-ships-first-gas-turbine-from-chattanooga.html

APPENDIX E: Explanation of PDD additionality calculation

Half of the Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) value is represented by the cost of debt (calculated to be 3.39%), and the other half is the cost of capital, which is calculated at 17.31% by adjusting a Risk-free rate of 4.88% for an Equity risk premium of 6.57%, which is increased by multiplying by a Sectorial Risk (β) value of 1.34), a country risk premium of 6.06%, and expected US inflation of 2.39% (Santo Antônio Energia, S.A. 2011, p. 14).

The PDD (Santo Antônio Energia, S.A. 2011, pp. 13-14) calculates the WACC of the hydropower sector for 2007 using Equation 1.

 $WACC = (Wd \times Kd) + (We \times Ke)$ (eq. 1)

Where:

We = weight of equity typically observed" in the hydropower sector: 50%

Wd = weight of debt "typically observed" in the hydropower sector: 50%

Kd is the cost of debt in the hydropower market; this includes adjustments for the tax benefits of contracting debts. Kd is calculated from Equation 2.

 $Kd = [1 + (a+b+c) \times (1-t)]/[(1+d) -1]$ (eq. 2)

Where: (a) = Financial cost: 9.28% (b) = BNDES fee: 0.90% (c) = Spread: 2.00% (a+b+c) = Pre-Cost of Debt: 12.18% (t) = Marginal tax rate: 34.00% (d) = Inflation forecast: 4.50%

From Equation 2, the after-tax Cost of Debt (Kd) is 3.39% per year.

Ke (cost of equity) represents the rate of return for equity investments. Based on the PDD (Santo Antônio Energia 2011, p. 14) as clarified from spreadsheets, it is estimated with Equation 3:

 $Ke = ((Rf + (\beta \times Rm) + Rc) \times (I / d)$ (eq. 3)

Where: (Rf) = Risk-free rate: 4.88% (Rm) = Equity risk premium = 6.57% (Rc) = Estimated country risk premium = 6.06% (β) = Sector Risk = 1.34 (I) = US expected inflation: 2.39% (d) = Brazilian Inflation forecast: 4.50% From Equation 3 the Cost of Equity with Brazilian Country Risk is:

 $Ke = (0.0488 + (1.34 \times 0.0657) + 0.0606) \times (0.0239 / 0.0450) = 0.1731$

or 17.31% per year.

From Equation 1 the Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) is:

WACC = $(50\% \times 3.39\%) + (50\% \times 17.31\%) = 10.35\%$

Reference

Santo Antônio Energia S.A. (2011) Santo Antonio Hydropower Project. PDD version: 01.1 (27/10/2011) Clean Development Mechanism Project Design Document Form (CDM-PDD) Version 03. Santo Antônio Energia S.A., Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil. 53 pp. url:http://cdm.unfccc.int/filestorage/E/9/C/E9CIR7WM1SUB4X5QPVHA6KG0ZJ LTFO/Santo%20Antonio_PDD_20022012.pdf?t=NH18bjNxeWdxfDD80ZqBJV60 AqLeVCB6tBCq