The text that follows is a PREPRINT. O texto que segue é um PREPRINT.

Please cite as: Favor citar como:

> Ferrante, L., S. Livas, W.A. Steinmetz, A.C.L. Almeida, J. Leão, R.C. Vassão, U. Tupinambás, P.M. Fearnside, L.H. Duczmal. 2021. The first case of immunity loss and SARS-CoV-2 reinfection by the same virus lineage in Amazonia. Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities https://doi.org/10.1007/s40615-021-01084-7

ISSN: 2197-3792 Copyright: Springer Nature Switzerland AG. DOI: 10.1007/s40615-021-01084-7

The original publication is available at O trabalho original está disponível em:

https://doi.org/10.1007/s40615-021-01084-7

https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s40615-021-01084-7.pdf

1 The first case of immunity loss and SARS-CoV-2 reinfection by the same virus

2 lineage in Amazonia

- 3 Lucas Ferrante^{1,*}, Sophia Livas², Wilhelm Alexander Steinmetz³, Alexandre Celestino
- 4 Leite Almeida⁴, Jeremias Leão⁵, Ruth Camargo Vassão⁶, Unaí Tupinambás⁷, Philip
- 5 Martin Fearnside⁸, Luiz Henrique Duczmal⁹
- 6
- 7 ¹Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia
- 8 (Ecologia), Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil.
- 9 ²Universidade Federal do Amazonas (UFAM) Programa de Pós-Graduação em Saúde, Sociedade e
- 10 Endemias na Amazônia (PPG-SEA-M), Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil.
- ³Universidade Federal do Amazonas (UFAM) Department of Mathematics, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil.
- ⁴Universidade Federal de São João del-Rei (UFSJ) DEFIM, Ouro Branco, Minas Gerais, Brazil
- ⁵Universidade Federal do Amazonas (UFAM) Department of Statistics, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil.
- ⁶Retired from the Cell Biology Laboratory of the Instituto Butantan São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.
- ⁷Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG) Department of Internal Medicine, Belo Horizonte, Minas Grazia Brazil
- 16 Minas Gerais, Brazil.
- ⁸Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Departamento de Dinâmica Ambiental, Manaus,
 Amazonas, Brazil.
- ⁹Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG) Department of Statistics, Belo Horizonte, Minas
 Gerais, Brazil.
- 21 *Correspondence to: lucasferrante@hotmail.com
- 22

23 Abstract

We report the first confirmed record of a SARS-CoV-2 immunity loss and reinfection for the Amazon region and for Brazil by the same virus linage. The patient presented an asymptomatic condition the first time and an aggravated one after

- 27 reinfection. We raise the possibility of a recessive genotype in the Amazonian
- population that does not generate an immune memory response to SARS-CoV-2.
- 29

30 Keywords: Amazonas, COVID-19, immunity loss, Manaus.

- 31
- 32

Cases of reinfection by SARS-CoV-2 have been reported for various locations 33 34 around the world [1, 2]. We report a case in Manaus, the capital of Brazil's state of Amazonas -- the first confirmed record of immunity loss with a SARS-CoV-2 35 reinfection for the Amazon region and for Brazil. The patient is a 24-year-old woman 36 without comorbidities, 1.78 m in height and weighing 75 kg. The patient (Sophia Livas, 37 the second author of this study) tested positive the first time on July 9, 2020 with a rapid 38 test, showing no symptoms, confirmed on the same day by two RT-PCR tests (sample 39 type: oropharyngeal swab) for SARS-CoV-2 and tested positive for IgM and negative 40 41 for IgG. An RT-PCR test (oropharyngeal-swab sample) performed sixty days after the date of the first infection showed the absence of SARS-CoV-2. The first symptoms of 42 reinfection were noticed on October 25, or 109 days after the first infection, with no 43 44 symptoms of COVID-19 during this period.

The symptoms of reinfection started with a sudden headache at different times during the day, body pain that, according to the patient, was more constant in the afternoon than at other times of day, an inflammation in the throat, odynophagia, nasal

congestion, tiredness and fatigue, chest pain, lack of appetite, increased blood pressure 48 49 and tachycardia. The patient tested positive for IgM and negative for IgG on October 50 29, the reinfection being confirmed by an RT-PCR test that indicated potential transmissibility. The symptoms worsened from October 30 to November 2, when the 51 patient reported fatigue even while speaking. In the critical period, the patient had a 52 53 heart rate 125 beats per minute, blood pressure of 190/100 mm Hg and body temperature of 39.5 ° C (103 ° F). On November 8 the patient had no more symptoms, 54 returning to practice regular physical activities during the month of December. On 55 January 4, 2021, the patient again experienced fatigue and tachycardia, in addition to 56 57 new symptoms such as diarrhea and a drop in blood pressure. A new antibody test showed IgM but not IgG production. 58

Although patients who have recovered from COVID-19 show a reduction in levels of antibodies of type IgG [3], this patient had no IgG antibodies since the first contact with SARS-CoV-2, a factor implying with a greater risk of reinfection [4]. In addition, the patient did not produce IgG antibodies even after reinfection with severe symptoms. The absence of an immune response in the form of IgG antibodies, both at first contact and on reinfection, indicates that individuals may not acquire natural immunity to SARS-CoV-2, undermining expectations of herd immunity.

The period from the first infection by SARS-CoV-2 to the first symptoms of 66 reinfection was 109 days. The existence of a negative laboratory test for SARS-CoV-2 67 and an asymptomatic period longer than 90 days between the first infection and 68 reinfection meet the epidemiological criteria established by the Pan American Health 69 Organization, the World Health Organization and Centers for Disease Control and 70 Prevention (CDC) to classify as a reinfection by SARS-CoV-2[5, 6]. Although we 71 72 have not performed sequencing for comparison with other strains, the reinfection caused by the new strain of Amazonian (P1) origin is ruled out due to its estimated appearance 73 74 between December 2020 and January 2021; other variants are also not plausible since 75 there is no record of these for the Amazon region [7, 8].

76 It is likely that the third and most serious manifestation of the disease, observed 77 in January 2021, was due to the P1 variant, since the antibody test showed IgM but not 78 IgG production and the P1 variant was the predominant variant in Manaus in January 2021 [7, 8, 9], which suggests that reinfection this month could have occurred either by 79 the same variant or by the P1 variant. Since the two previous infections did not protect 80 against an additional reinfection in January even if the January infection was by the 81 original variant, this reinforces the argument that immunity from natural contact with 82 the virus is not guaranteed. 83

This case study warns of the possibility of reinfection by the same strain of 84 SARS-CoV-2 for patients who do not generate an immune response to the coronavirus, 85 as noted by the absence of IgG production. The observed data lead us to raise the 86 hypothesis of the existence of a recessive genotype within the population of Manaus 87 that does not generate an immune response to the coronavirus. Various cases of 88 reinfection in Amazonas have been reported [10], even before the appearance of new 89 90 strains in the region [7, 8]. Manaus has a mixed population with many residents of 91 indigenous descent, and the vulnerability due to genetic factors of indigenous peoples and their descendants to respiratory diseases caused by viruses reinforces this 92 hypothesis [11]. 93

Confirmation of reinfection in the Amazon region is an essential alert for Brazil
because of the potential of infections to overwhelm the health system, as occurred
during the first wave in Manaus [11]. It is also important because of the vulnerability of
traditional communities, including indigenous peoples in the region [11, 12]. The risk to

the health system is because the demand for ICU beds for individuals exposed to SARSCoV-2 for the first time is added to the demand from re-infected patients who may have
more serious symptoms due to long-term effects from a previous infection.

Data from the Foundation for Health Surveillance of Amazonas (FVS) confirm 101 that the second wave was bigger than the first, starting 21 days after the return of face-102 103 to-face classes in public schools on September 24, 2020 [13]. None of the population 104 had been vaccinated at that time. By April 21, 2021 Brazil had vaccinated 13% of its entire population with the first dose of a vaccine and 5% of the population with the 105 106 second dose [14]. Less than 15% of the population of Manaus had received the first 107 dose of a vaccine and 5% had received the second dose as of the same date; the elderly and health and public-safety professionals had been the priority groups [15]. Records of 108 109 infection by the P1 variant have been observed in younger individuals than previously. and there was an increase in infections and hospitalizations in the 18 - 49 year age group 110 [16], which is the age group not yet covered by the vaccine. The data in this case study 111 confirm the possibility of reinfection not only by different variants [1, 2], but also by the 112 same variant. Epidemiological models predict a third wave for Manaus, considering the 113 current rates of immunization by vaccination [9, 17]. The possibility of unvaccinated 114 people being re-infected by either the same or a different variant of SARS-CoV-2, 115 116 together with the low immunization rates by vaccination and the loosening of restrictive measures (such as the planned resumption of face-to-face classes in the second half of 117 May), point to the continuity of the pandemic in Amazonas and in Brazil. 118

119

120 Conclusion

Here we report the case of a patient who did not generate an immune response to 121 122 SRAS-CoV-2. This case suggests the possibility of a recessive genotype within the population preventing generation of a natural immune response to the coronavirus, 123 making reinfection possible by the same strain to which the individual originally 124 became infected. These results are particularly important because the record is from 125 126 Manaus, one of the world's cities with the most critical situations in the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition, given the low rate of immunization via vaccination of the 127 128 Brazilian population and the possibility of reinfection by either the same or by a different variant, continuation of the country's pandemic is expected. 129

130

Acknowledgments. This study was supported by the Conselho Nacional de
Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and Fundação de Amparo à

- 133 Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas (FAPEAM).
- 134

Author contributions: LF conceived of the idea; LF, SL, WAS, ACLA, JL, RCV, UT, PMF and LHD wrote the manuscript; LF, SL, WAS, ACLA, JL, RCV, UT, PMF and LHD revised the manuscript.

- 138
- 139 **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 140
- 141 References142
- ECDC. Reinfection with SARS-CoV-2: considerations for public health response. ECDC. 2020; <u>https://bityl.co/5T1h</u>

145 146	2.	Prado-Vivar B, Becerra-Wong M, Guadalupe JJ, et al. A case of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in Ecuador. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020; DOI: 10.1016/S1473-
147	-	3099(20)30910-5
148	3.	Yang OO, Ibarrondo FJ. Loss of anti–SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in mild COVID-
149		19. N Engl J Med. 2020; 383:1697-1698. DOI: <u>10.1056/nejmc2027051</u>
150	4.	Lumley SF, O'Donnell D, Stoesser NE, et al. Antibody status and incidence of
151		SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Health Care Workers. N Engl J Med. 2020; DOI:
152		10.1056/NEJMoa2034545.
153	5.	PAHO/WHO. Interim guidelines for detecting cases of reinfection by SARS-
154		CoV-2. PAHO/WHO. 2020; <u>https://bityl.co/5T1y</u>
155	6.	CDC. Reinfection with COVID-19. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
156		2020; https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/your-health/reinfection.html
157	7.	Naveca F et al. Phylogenetic relationship of SARS-CoV-2 sequences from
158		Amazonas with emerging Brazilian variants harboring mutations E484K and
159		N501Y in the Spike protein. virological.org. 2021; https://bityl.co/5Chi
160	8.	Naveca F, Costa CF. Caracterização genética do SARS-CoV-2 circulante no
161		Estado do Amazonas. FioCruz/FVS. 2021; https://amz.run/4GZF
162	9.	Ferrante L. et al. Nota técnica: Necessidade de lockdown e vacinação abrangente
163		em Manaus para contenção da pandemia da COVID-19. Instituto Nacional de
164		Pesquisas da Amazônia. INPA, DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.17456.48641. 2021;
165		https://bityl.co/5arD
166	10	. O Globo. Médico de Parintins relata reinfecção pelo coronavírus. O Globo.
167		2020; <u>https://bityl.co/5T22</u>
168	11	. Ferrante L, Fearnside PM. Protect Indigenous peoples from COVID-19. Science.
169		2020; 368: 251. DOI: 10.1126/science.abc0073
170	12	. Ferrante L. et al. Brazil's policies condemn Amazonia to a second wave of
171		COVID-19. Nat Med. 2020; 26: 1315 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1026-
172		X
173	13	. FVS. Boletim diário COVID-19 no Amazonas 31/12/2020. FVS. 2020;
174		https://bityl.co/5nAD
175	14	. G1. Brasil aplicou ao menos uma dose de vacina contra Covid em mais de 27,5
176		milhões de pessoas, aponta consórcio de veículos de imprensa. G1. 2021;
177		https://tinyurl.com/5b2z6fuz
178	15	. FVS. Vacinação no Amazonas. Available at 21 april 2021. FVS. 2021;
179		https://www.fvs.am.gov.br/indicadorSalaSituacao_view/75/2
180	16	. G1. Fiocruz diz que dados apontam aumento expressivo dos casos de Covid
181		entre os mais jovens. G1. 2021; <u>https://g1.globo.com/bemestar/coronavirus/</u>
182	17	. Ferrante L. et al. Nota técnica: Reavaliação da pandemia de COVID-19 em
183		Manaus e necessidade de medidas restritivas para conter a terceira onda.
184		Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia & Universidade Federal de Minas
185		Gerais (INPA/UFMG). DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.31876.45444. 2021;
186		https://bityl.co/6PAv
187		