

**The text that follows is a REPRINT**

**Fearnside, P.M. 2019. Dams: rotting plants soil climate claims (Online headline: Hydropower: don't waste climate money on more dams) *Nature* 568: 33. [Correspondence section]. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-01057-1>**

ISSN: 0028-0836 (print) 1476-4687 (online)

Copyright: Nature Publishing Group, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited.

The original publication is available at:

<https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-019-01057-1>

Note: for full rebuttal of Muller (2019) see:

Fearnside, P.M. 2019. Financiers to discuss hydropower as climate-change mitigation, but dams are not 'clean energy'. *Mongabay*, 26 February 2019.  
<https://news.mongabay.com/2019/02/financiers-to-discuss-hydropower-as-climate-change-mitigation-but-dams-are-not-clean-energy-commentary/>

**CORRESPONDENCE** • 02 APRIL 2019

# Hydropower: don't waste climate money on more dams

---

**Philip M. Fearnside**

---

I disagree with Mike Muller's claim that dams are good for the climate and so warrant financial backing (*Nature* **566**, 315–317; 2019).

Hydropower development is expected to occur predominantly in the tropics, where emissions of methane from large reservoirs are particularly high, owing to the decay of plant matter that is flooded during dam construction (see, for example, [go.nature.com/2tcuxyp](https://go.nature.com/2tcuxyp)). Over a 20-year period, methane's impact on global warming is 86 times more per tonne than that of carbon dioxide (see IPCC 5th Assessment; [go.nature.com/2tyj6if](https://go.nature.com/2tyj6if)). These emissions peak in the first few years after a reservoir is filled and so are likely to reach their maximum during the crucial period for meeting the December 2015 Paris climate agreement.

Subsidizing the construction of more dams with 'green' money could drain funds from alternatives with real climate benefits, such as expanding wind and solar power.

Get the most important science stories of the day, free in your inbox.  
*Nature* **568**, 33 (2019)



Sign up for Nature Briefing

doi: 10.1038/d41586-019-01057-1

**Nature** ISSN 1476-4687 (online)

**nature**research

[About us](#)

[Press](#)

[releases](#)

[Press office](#)

[Contact us](#)



**Get the most important science stories of the day, free in your inbox.**



Sign up for Nature Briefing

and so are likely to reach their maximum during the crucial period for meeting the December 2015 Paris climate agreement.

Subsidizing the construction of more dams with 'green' money could drain funds from alternatives with real climate benefits, such as expanding wind and solar power.

**Philip M. Fearnside** *National Institute for Research in Amazonia, Manaus, Brazil.*  
*pmfearn@inpa.gov.br*

## **Dams: rotting plants soil climate claims**

I disagree with Mike Muller's claim that dams are good for the climate and so warrant financial backing (*Nature* 566, 315–317; 2019).

Hydropower development is expected to occur predominantly in the tropics, where emissions of methane from large reservoirs are particularly high, owing to the decay of plant matter that is flooded during dam construction (see, for example, [go.nature.com/2tcuxyp](https://www.nature.com/2tcuxyp)). Over a 20-year period, methane's impact on global warming is 86 times more per tonne than that of carbon dioxide (see IPCC 5th Assessment; [go.nature.com/2tyj6if](https://www.nature.com/2tyj6if)). These emissions peak in the first few years after a reservoir is filled