The text that follows is a PREPRINT.

Please cite as:

Fearnside, P.M. 2015. Emissions from tropical hydropower and the IPCC. *Environmental Science & Policy* 50: 225-239..

Emissions from tropical hydropower and the IPCC

Philip M. Fearnside[a]*

[a]National Institute for Research in Amazonia (INPA), Av. André Araújo 2936, CEP 69.067-375, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil

* Correspondence address: National Institute for Research in Amazonia (INPA), Av. André Araújo 2936, CEP 69.067-375, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil
Tel.: +55 92 3643 1822; fax: +55 92 3643 1909.
E-mail address: pmfearn@inpa.gov.br

6183 words main text; 10.302 words total

17
18 **ABSTRACT**
19
20   Tropical hydroelectric emissions are undercounted in national inventories of
21 greenhouse gases under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
22 (UNFCCC), giving them a role in undermining the effectiveness of as-yet undecided
23 emission limits. These emissions are also largely left out of the Intergovernmental Panel
24 on Climate Change (IPCC) Special Report on Renewable Energy Sources and Climate
25 Change Mitigation, and have been excluded from a revision of the IPCC guidelines on
26 wetlands. The role of hydroelectric dams in emissions inventories and in mitigation has
27 been systematically ignored.
28
29 *Keywords*: Amazonia; global warming; greenhouse gas emissions; hydroelectric dams;
30 methane; mitigation
31
32

1.   Emissions from tropical dams

Amazonian dams produce greenhouse gases, especially during their first ten years of operation (e.g., Abril et al., 2005; Delmas et al., 2005; Fearnside, 2002a, 2005a, 2008a, 2009, 2013; Fearnside and Pueyo, 2012; Galy-Lacaux et al., 1997, 1999; Guerin et al., 2006; Gunkel, 2009; Kemenes et al., 2007, 2008, 2011; Pueyo and Fearnside, 2011). Published numbers for emissions from hydroelectric dams vary widely, but most of this variation can be explained by known differences between the dams in question and by known omissions and problems in measurement methodology, particularly for the low values. The existence of uncertainty has been used repeatedly as a justification for not taking hydropower emissions into account. Among the examples of this practice is the current set of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) guidelines for national inventories, which opted not to provide default values for the major hydropower emissions sources from degassing at turbines, from ebullition (bubbling) from the reservoir surface, and from both ebullition and diffusion in the river downstream of the dam (IPCC, 2006, Vol. 4, Appendix 3).

2.   Dams in IPCC reports and guidelines

2.1.   Special Report on Renewable Energy

The IPCC Special Report on Renewable Energy Sources and Climate Change Mitigation (IPCC, 2012) summarized its findings on emissions from hydroelectric dams as: "there is currently no consensus on whether reservoirs are net emitters or net sinks" (Arvizu et al., 2012, p. 84). The report classified hydropower as having half or less impact per kWh of electricity generated as compared to any other source, including wind and solar (Moomaw et al., 2012, p. 982) (Figure 1). One factor that may, in part, explain the report's conclusion that hydropower has such low emissions is the preponderance of temperate and boreal locations among existing dams. Although the summary table indicates that three values were used from tropical dams, none of the 11 sources used in the study from all climatic zones (Moomaw et al., 2012, p. 986) appears to concern tropical dams (Table 1). Only one source listed concerns Brazil (Ribeiro and da Silva, 2010). This is a life-cycle analysis of the Itaipu Dam (Figure 2), which is located on the border between Brazil and Paraguay (not a tropical dam); the greenhouse-gas estimates used in the Itaipu study are from official numbers that omit emission from the turbines and that underestimate reservoir surface emissions by a factor of three due to mathematical errors (Pueyo and Fearnside, 2011; see also Fearnside and Pueyo, 2012). Only four of the 11 sources used in the IPCC special report are from published peer-reviewed literature (Table 1).

[Table 1 & Figures 1 & 2 here]

The literature used by the special report is so reduced because the selection procedure that was adopted restricted consideration to dams where emissions had been reported that were "easily convertible to the functional unit chosen for this study: grams of CO_2e per kWh generated" (Moomaw et al., 2012, p. 981) (see also critique by CO2list, 2011, which also lists numerous omissions in the few studies that were used in the special report's global estimates). "CO_2e," or "carbon-dioxide equivalents," expresses the impacts on global warming of all gases, including methane (CH_4) and

83   nitrous oxide (N_2O), in terms of the weights of CO_2 that would have the same effect
84   based on the global warming potential (GWP) of each gas (see section 3.6). One
85   emissions source explicitly excluded by the IPCC authors was land-use change
86   (Moomaw et al., 2012, p. 981); dams in tropical forest areas often provoke deforestation
87   with significant emissions (e.g., Barreto et al., 2011). The results also had to fit into a
88   life-cycle analysis, and these were used in the special report "as published" without any
89   standardization or accuracy assessment for studies that passed the screening criteria
90   (Moomaw et al., 2012, p. 980). Most of the 11 studies of hydroelectric dams assumed a
91   lifespan of 100 years, a factor that weighs heavily in favor of hydropower in
92   calculations such as these with no discounting for time (see section 3.7). Note that life-
93   cycle analyses are often incomplete, with different emissions sources being omitted in
94   individual studies (Table 1). For inclusion in the report the studies had to include at
95   least two phases of the life cycle, but could omit other phases without any adjustments
96   for these omissions (Moomaw et al., 2012, p. 980). One phase often omitted is
97   decommissioning of a dam at the end of its useful life. Justifications for this reveal the
98   selective nature of choices regarding the value of time: the virtually universal choice of
99   the hydroelectric industry is to give no value to time, considering an emission of a ton
100   of carbon in the first year, for example, to have the same value as a ton emitted a
101   century in the future (see section 3.7). But in the case of decommissioning the opposite
102   argument is used: for example, the study by Denholm and Kulcinski (2004, p. 2158)
103   used in the IPCC special report states that "Although not considered in this assessment,
104   the energy and emissions related to decommissioning can potentially be discounted due
105   to their impacts at a future date."

107   Although the special report is dominated by non-tropical dams, the current
108   expansion of hydropower focuses on tropical regions such as Amazonia where dams
109   emit much larger amounts of greenhouse gases than in temperate and boreal locations.
110   Important exceptions to the tropics as the location of current dam building are China
111   and high-elevation sites in the Himalayas and Andes. Dams in the humid tropics
112   dominate in Brazil, where the country's 2013-2022 ten-year energy expansion plan calls
113   for 18 "large" dams by 2022 in the country's Legal Amazon region (Brazil, MME,
114   2013). In Brazil "large" dams are those with over 30 MW of installed capacity.

116   Tropical dams, especially those in the wet tropics, emit substantially more
117   greenhouse gases than do those in other climatic zones (see extensive review by Barros
118   et al., 2011). This is reflected in life-cycle studies: a review by Steinhurst et al. (2012)
119   concludes that tropical dams emit 1300-3000 g CO_2e/kWh, versus 160-250 g
120   CO_2e/kWh for boreal dams, with thermoelectric plants using natural gas, oil and coal
121   emitting 400-500, 790-900 and 900-1200 g CO_2e/kWh, respectively.

123   As an illustration, emissions can be calculated for the Petit Saut Dam in French
124   Guiana, which is the best-studied tropical dam for greenhouse gas emissions. A 20-year
125   calculation is given in Table 2, including a comparison with production of the same
126   amount of electricity from a combined-cycle natural gas plant. The 20-year period is the
127   relevant time frame for maintaining mean global temperature from passing the limit of
128   2°C above the pre-industrial mean (see section 3.7). The comparison indicates 22 times
129   more emission (g CO_2e/kWh) from the dam as compared to natural gas based on a 20-
130   year GWP for converting methane to CO_2e (see section 3.6). Even if the 100-year GWP
131   is used the dam has 19 times more emission in the first 20 years.
132

133   [Table 2 here]

134

135   Two components of the Petit Saut Dam's net impact are omitted in the
136   calculation in Table 2: avoided emissions from the soil under the natural forest that is
137   lost to flooding and emission from the soil in the drawdown zone. Petit Saut has a 100-
138   km^2 drawdown zone (Abril et al., 2005, p. 4), or 18% of the 560-km^2 area of original
139   forest that was flooded. The drawdown zone is exposed each year when the water level
140   in the reservoir is lowered and has wet soil that can be expected to emit methane during
141   part of the year. In contrast, well-drained soils under humid tropical forests are usually
142   methane sinks rather than sources (22 studies reviewed by Potter et al., 1996 have a
143   mean uptake of 3.8 kg CH_4/ha/year). Some ponding occurs during the rainy season in
144   *terra firme* (unflooded upland) tropical forests, but the percentage of the total area is not
145   large: in forests near Manaus, Brazil, these areas represent 5% of the area per flooding
146   event (Mori and Becker, 1991); however, flooding events only occur once every few
147   years. Delmas et al. (2001) give a high estimate for avoided forest soil emissions; other
148   estimates are much lower (e.g., Fearnside, 2009). The soil emission from the drawdown
149   zone is believed to be larger than the avoided forest soil emission, making Table 2
150   conservative as an estimate of net impacts of Petit Saut.

151

152   **2.2.   National inventories of greenhouse-gas emissions**

153

154   Emissions from tropical dams represent a significant lacuna in the national
155   greenhouse-gas inventories compiled for the United Nations Framework Convention on
156   Climate Change (UNFCCC). Reporting for each item under the IPCC guidelines can be
157   done at one of three "tiers" or levels of methodological complexity. Tier 1 is the basic
158   level, which is designed so that it can be applied by all countries, including those with
159   little data or expertise; Tier 2 is an intermediate level that allows for higher-resolution
160   country-specific emission factors; Tier 3 is the highest level and gives flexibility either
161   for country-specific methods, including both modeling and direct measurements, or for
162   a higher level of disaggregation. The revised IPCC 1996 guidelines that were in effect
163   through 2014 for both Annex I and non-Annex I countries [i.e., countries with and
164   without national emissions limits] omit reservoirs entirely (IPCC, 1997). The IPCC
165   Good Practice Guidelines, which were in effect through 2014 as a supplement for
166   Annex I countries, provide some information for voluntary reporting, but the portion on
167   reservoirs (Appendix 3a.3) is titled as a mere "basis for future methodological
168   development" (IPCC, 2003). This appendix states that "Due to the close linkage
169   between CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O emissions and methodologies, all three gas species are
170   addressed in this section and no distinction for emissions from flooded land is made
171   based on the age of the reservoir" (IPCC, 2003, Appendix 3a.3, p. 3.286). This is
172   strange given that the very large peak of methane emissions in the first years after
173   creating a reservoir in the tropics had been known for at least a decade at the time and
174   was documented in some of the papers cited in the report. No Tier 1 reporting is
175   suggested, and the report suggests that countries can develop their own parameters if
176   they wish to report at the Tier 2 or 3 levels (Ranges of published estimates are given for
177   CH_4 diffusion and bubbling from tropical reservoir surfaces).

178

179   The IPCC produced a new set of guidelines in 2006, which provides information
180   for reservoir emissions in an appendix. The 17th Conference of the Parties (COP-17)
181   held in Durban in 2011 decided that the IPCC 2006 guidelines for national inventories
182   would be used beginning in 2015 for Annex I countries (Decision 15/CP.17: UNFCCC,

183 2012). For reporting of methane emissions the Tier 1 level is specified as only including
184 the relatively modest emissions occurring by means of diffusion from the reservoir
185 surface. Countries can opt to report bubble emissions from reservoir surfaces at the Tier
186 2 level, but the major emissions of methane from the turbines are only reported at the
187 rarely used Tier 3 level (IPCC, 2006, Vol. 4, Appendix 3). The appendix on reservoirs
188 in the 2006 guidelines (IPCC, 2006, Vol. 4, Appendix 3) is identified as an update of
189 the IPCC Good Practice Guidelines (IPCC, 2003, Appendix 3a.3), but not all of the
190 changes represent additions: the table of data on bubbling emissions disappeared (IPCC,
191 2003, Appendix 3a.3, p. 3.290, Table 2A.3.4 versus IPCC, 2006, Vol. 4, Appendix 3, p.
192 Ap.3.5, Table 2A.2). The key meeting in 2005 that resulted in this section of the
193 guidelines was described as follows by one of the participants: "Our last meeting
194 (Sydney in last December) was very tough. Political Conclusion: CO_2 emissions should
195 remain in the main body of the 2006 IPCC guidelines but CH_4 will be in an annex ..
196 bubbles and degassing emissions are only considered, respectively, under Tier 2 and 3
197 approaches. The Hydro-Quebec expert argues that we don't have enough knowledge for
198 CH_4 diffusive emissions....." (Duchemin, 2006).

199
200 The IPCC 2006 guidelines appendix ("annex") provides a default value for the
201 diffusion flux of methane from tropical reservoir surfaces (IPCC, 2006, Vol. 4,
202 Appendix 3, p. Ap.3.5). This is calculated as the median value from a series of
203 published measurements in different reservoirs. The median is used instead of the mean
204 because the distribution of values is highly skewed. The median is often used instead of
205 the mean as a way of minimizing the effect of outlier values that are the result of
206 measurement errors. However, the skewed distribution of methane flux values is not the
207 result of measurement error, but rather a feature of the system itself. On most days, the
208 rate of emission will be modest, but less frequently there will be large bursts of
209 emission. A similar situation applies to data from different reservoirs. Since the
210 objective of the IPCC default value is for estimation of an annual total of emissions, the
211 metric needed is best approximated not by the median but rather by the mean. Using a
212 median effectively throws out the effect of high-emitting reservoirs (i.e., cases like
213 Brazil's Balbina Dam, even if they had been included), but these values cannot be
214 omitted without biasing the result.

215
216 The IPCC 2006 guidelines appendix (IPCC, 2006, Vol. 4, Appendix 3, p. Ap3.5)
217 cites the following papers as the basis for their default value for CH_4 diffusion from
218 reservoir surfaces in the wet tropics [i.e., Tier 1]: Abril et al., 2005; de lima, 2002, 2005;
219 Duchemin et al., 2000; Galy-Lacaux, 1996; Galy-Lacaux et al., 1997; Keller and
220 Stallard, 1994; Rosa et al., 2006a; Therrien, 2004. No default values are provided for
221 bubbling [i.e., Tier 2], but the appendix states that "Useful information can be obtained
222 from the following references": Abril et al., 2005; de lima, 2002; Delmas et al., 2005;
223 Duchemin, 2000; Duchemin et al., 1995, 1999, 2006; Huttunen et al., 2002; Rosa et al.,
224 1996, 2004; Soumis et al., 2004; Therrien, 2005 (IPCC, 2006, Vol. 4, Appendix 2, p.
225 Ap2.2). No references or default values are given for degassing at the turbines [i.e., Tier
226 3], although the very good (and widely ignored, see section 3.3) advice is given that
227 "CH_4 concentrations upstream and downstream of dams would be needed for estimating
228 degassing emissions" (IPCC, 2006, Vol. 4, Appendix 3, p. Ap3.5). Note that none of the
229 papers listed above were used in the IPCC special report (see Table 1).

230
231 The IPCC classifies reservoirs as "wetlands," but a revision of the wetlands
232 section of the IPCC 2006 guidelines undertaken from 2011 to 2013 explicitly excluded

revision of the portion on reservoir emissions (IPCC, 2014, p. O.4). The authors were instructed that: "Flooded lands (reservoirs) are specifically excluded as the TFI [Task Force on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories] does not consider the underlying science to be sufficiently developed" (IPCC, 2011, p. 3). This position means that, in practice, hydroelectric emissions will continue to be considered zero or near zero, despite substantial evidence that tropical dams emit significant amounts of greenhouse gases (e.g., Abril et al., 2005; Fearnside, 2002a, 2013; Fearnside and Pueyo, 2012; Kemenes et al., 2007). While estimates of amounts emitted are subject to uncertainty, as is the case for all forms of emission, the appropriate response is to use the best scientific data available at each point in time. If a conservative position is desired for policy making on climate change, this would mean using values at the *high* end of available estimates, not essentially assigning a value of zero to this source.

Because methane was relegated to an appendix in the IPCC 2006 guidelines, reporting continues to be voluntary even after these guidelines came into effect in 2015 (Mäkinen and Khan, 2010). The result is likely to be that tropical hydropower emissions remain virtually absent from the global accounts.

3.   Reasons for underestimated emissions

3.1. Turbines ignored

When water is released from the turbines it is under considerable pressure – for example, in the case of Brazil's Tucuruí Dam the pressure is approximately four atmospheres from the weight of the water at the level of the turbine intakes (currently at 40 m depth), plus one atmosphere from the air above the reservoir. This pressure is suddenly reduced to one atmosphere as the water emerges from the turbines, causing an immediate emission of gases. Much of this emission will occur almost immediately. Many estimates of hydroelectric emissions simply ignore emissions from the turbines and spillways, including the estimates in Brazil's first national inventory of greenhouse gas emissions (Brazil, MCT, 2004). Brazil's second national inventory and the emissions report released as a prelude to the third national inventory have ignored emissions from hydroelectric dams altogether (Brazil, MCT, 2010; Brazil, MCTI, 2013). Most other countries have also ignored these emissions, since reporting them is currently optional.

3.2. Trees ignored

Another emission source often ignored is CO_2 from the above-water decay of wood in trees left standing in the reservoir (e.g., in the comparisons in the IPCC's Special Report on Renewable Energy). This can be substantial in Amazonian reservoirs (*e.g*., Abril et al., 2013; Fearnside, 1995, 2009). The emission from decaying trees occurs in the first few years of the reservoir's life, making this emission particularly important from the point of view of the interests of human society (see section 3.7).

3.3. Incomplete counting of downstream emissions

What is meant by "downstream emissions" varies among authors, the term sometimes being used to refer both to the emission from degassing as the water emerges from the turbines and to the emission from the water surface in the river as it flows

downstream of the dam, and sometimes being used only for the river surface flux. Flux measurements in the river well below the outlet from the dam will miss most of the emission, which is predominantly in the first meters below the turbines.

An influential study was undertaken by FURNAS (a company that generates 40% of Brazil's electricity, mostly in dams outside of Amazonia). The company released a finding that dams are 100 times better than fossil fuels from the point of view of greenhouse-gas emissions (Garcia, 2007). Omission of emissions from degassing at the turbines and spillways is a major reason why the study (Ometto et al., 2011, 2013) produced such low values for emissions. The measurements of downstream fluxes at the Serra da Mesa and Xingó Dams only began 500 m below the dams (da Silva et al., 2007), while at the Furnas, Estreito and Peixoto Dams measurements began 50 m downstream (dos Santos et al., 2009, p. 835). The FURNAS study also found relatively low emissions from the river surface in part because the dams studied are located in the *cerrado* (central-Brazilian savanna), where emissions can be expected to be lower than in Amazonia. Measurements by Guérin et al. (2006) in the rivers below three humid tropical dams (Petit Saut in French Guiana and Balbina and Samuel in Brazilian Amazonia) showed high methane emissions in the river downstream of the dams, even though degassing from the turbines was not included.

Getting flux measurements from nearer to the turbines is not enough for a reliable estimate of the turbines as an emissions source, no matter how close one gets. The only practical way to assess the emissions from the water passing through the turbines is to use concentration measurements from water samples taken at the appropriate depths above and below the dam, and calculate the emissions by difference. The emission at the outlet is sufficiently fast that there would only be a minimal effect from bacteria in the water converting part of the CH_4 to CO_2 before it reaches the atmosphere. When calculations are based on differences in concentration, the amounts of methane emitted are large, leading to the conclusion that more emissions than fossil fuel were produced for a substantial period after the reservoirs were formed at number of Amazonian dams, such as Tucuruí (Fearnside, 2002a), Curuá-Una (Fearnside, 2005a), Samuel (Fearnside, 2005b) and Balbina (Kemenes et al., 2007, 2008), as well as calculating such emissions at planned projects such as the Altamira Complex composed of the Belo Monte and Babaquara/Altamira Dams (Fearnside, 2009).

Another way that the counting of downstream emissions can be incomplete is to cut off consideration of fluxes beyond a given distance downstream, for example 1 km in the FURNAS study (e.g., Ometto et al., 2011). Unfortunately, emissions continue beyond this cutoff distance; they have been measured at the Balbina, Samuel and Petit Saut Dams (Gosse et al., 2005; Guérin et al., 2006; Kemenes et al., 2007).

3.4. Underestimated methane concentrations

Estimates of turbine emissions (including my own) that use data on CH_4 concentration in water at the depth of the turbines based on measurements in samples collected using traditional Ruttner bottles have underestimated these concentrations and the consequent emissions when the water is released below the dam. The underestimate is roughly by a factor of two. This is because part of the methane that is dissolved in the water comes out of solution when the Ruttner bottle is raised to the surface, and the water drawn from the sampler with a syringe for chemical analysis therefore has a lower

CH$_4$ concentration than the water at the bottom of the reservoir. A sampler designed to capture and measure this methane resulted in concentration values 116% higher than values for samples obtained simultaneously with Ruttner bottles from water at 30-m depth in Brazil's Balbina reservoir (Kemenes et al., 2011).

3.5. Extrapolation from non-tropical reservoirs

Reservoirs in the humid tropics emit much more methane than do reservoirs in other climatic zones (Barros et al., 2011; Demarty and Bastien, 2011). Many claims of low emissions from tropical hydroelectric dams are based on studies outside of the humid tropics. In Brazil, important examples include the Environmental Impact Study (EIA) for the Belo Monte Dam, which is under construction in a tropical rainforest area on the Xingu River in the state of Pará (Brazil, Eletrobrás, 2009, Vol. 5, p. 47; see Fearnside, 2011). In this case, the estimate for the reservoir's future emission was a mean of flux measurements from two reservoirs, Tucuruí and Xingó. In the case of Xingó, the dam is in the semi-arid northeast of Brazil and would clearly have much lower emissions than an Amazonian dam like Belo Monte.

3.6. Outdated global warming potential (GWP) for methane

In accounting for emissions under the UNFCCC, non-CO$_2$ greenhouse gases are converted to CO$_2$-equivalents (CO$_2$e) by multiplying the number of tons emitted of each gas by a global-warming potential (GWP). Each gas has a characteristic radiative forcing, which represents its effectiveness in blocking the passage of infra-red radiation through the atmosphere on a near-instantaneous basis: radiative forcing is the net change in energy flow at the tropopause (the division between the troposphere and stratosphere at about 10-km altitude) caused by a given amount of gas after a delay of a "few months" for stratospheric temperatures to equilibrate (Shine et al., 1995, p. 170). Including indirect effects, methane has a much higher radiative forcing than CO$_2$ on a mass basis: 595 times more per ton of each gas present in today's atmosphere (Hartmann et al., 2013, Supplementary Material, Appendix 2, p. 2SM-4; Myhre et al., 2013, Supplementary Material, Appendix 8, p. 8SM-13). Each gas also has a characteristic average atmospheric lifetime (the number of years a ton of the gas remains in the atmosphere, causing global warming). A ton of methane has a high impact while it remains in the atmosphere but has an average lifetime of only 12.4 years (Myhre et al., 2013, p. 714). A ton of CO$_2$ has a much weaker effect in each year that it is present, but the average lifetime is long -- approximately 40% of an emission remains in the atmosphere after one century (Myhre et al., 2013, Supplementary Material, Appendix 8, p. 8SM-16). The GWP represents an integration over a time horizon, such as 20 years or 100 years, of the radiative forcing of one ton of the gas emitted at the beginning of the period, as compared to one ton of CO$_2$ emitted simultaneously. The IPCC's use of GWPs is explained by Albritton et al. (1995, pp. 215-219). As the time horizon for the GWP lengthens, the importance of methane declines relative to CO$_2$.

The GWP that has been most frequently used to convert the impact of methane emissions to CO$_2$-equivalents is 21, meaning that one ton of CH$_4$ gas has the same impact on global warming as 21 tons of CO$_2$ over a 100-year time horizon with no discounting for time. This is the GWP value from the IPCC´s 1995 Second Assessment Report (Schimel et al., 1996) that was adopted by the Kyoto Protocol for use until the end of 2012 and was used in all national inventory accounting through the same year.

383 However, the estimates for the GWP of methane have since been successively revised
384 upward: to 23 in the IPCC's 2001 Third Assessment Report (Ramaswamy et al., 2001)
385 and to 25 in the 2007 Fourth Assessment Report (Forster et al., 2007). The 2013 Fifth
386 Assessment Report revises this to 28 if the same assumptions are maintained (i.e.,
387 ignoring all feedbacks), but presents a value of 34 for methane that includes indirect
388 effects not considered in the previous IPCC reports (Myhre et al., 2013, p. 714). If a
389 time horizon of 20 years is used instead of 100 years, this value increases 86 (Myhre et
390 al., 2013, p. 714). A rapid and sustained reduction in methane emission is a necessary
391 part of any strategy to maintain average temperature below the 2° C limit for increase
392 above the pre-industrial mean, as agreed in Copenhagen in 2009 under Decision
393 2/CP.15 (Shindell et al., 2012). Since methane is the main emission from hydropower
394 and this gas is almost absent from fossil fuel emissions, these revisions make a
395 substantial difference in the impact attributed to hydropower as compared to fossil fuels.
396 If a GWP value of 34 is used instead of the value of 25 that will be used until 2017, the
397 impact is 36% higher. If a value of 86 is used the impact of methane from dams is 244%
398 higher.

400   Decisions on what GWP values to use in accounting under the UNFCCC are
401 made by representatives of national governments at the annual Conferences of the
402 Parties (COPs). At the UNFCCC 16th Conference of the Parties in Cancun (COP-16) in
403 2010 Brazil had a prominent role in arguing for maintaining the use of a lower GWP
404 value for methane than that indicated by the IPCC's most recent report at the time (see:
405 CAN, 2010). Brazil relies on hydropower for almost 80% of its electricity and has
406 massive plans for dam construction in its Amazon region (e.g., Brazil, MME, 2013).

408   The use of older GWPs despite more recent IPCC estimates extends to all
409 accounting under the UNFCCC, not just dams. In 2011 at COP-17 the decision was
410 made to use GWPs from the IPCC's 2007 Fourth Assessment Report beginning in 2015
411 (Decision 15/CP.17, Paragraph 2).

3.7. Ignoring the value of time

415   This is perhaps the most fundamental factor leading to understatement of the
416 importance of hydroelectric emissions to global warming. A wide range of opinions
417 exist on the question of how much value, if any, should be given to time in assessing the
418 value of greenhouse gases that are emitted or prevented from being emitted. Whether a
419 ton of carbon emitted today has the same value as a ton emitted a century or more in the
420 future is critical in deciding what to do about global warming, especially for decisions
421 on dams.

423   Value is attributed to time in two ways. One is by defining a time horizon after
424 which no consideration is given (for example the 100-year time horizon for GWPs used
425 under the Kyoto Protocol). This means that by delaying an emission, part of the impact
426 is pushed beyond the end of the time horizon and written off. The longer the time
427 horizon, the less the value given to time. The other way is by giving a decreasing weight
428 to costs and benefits (in this case emissions and avoided emissions) at each year in the
429 future (Fearnside et al., 2000). The most common means of weighting is by applying a
430 discount rate to each year, where the weight attributed decreases by a fixed percentage
431 with each successive future year. Both a time horizon and a non-zero discount rate can
432 be used together. Various other alternatives exist both for time horizons and time-

preference weighting (Fearnside, 2002b,c). The value attributed to time is an ethical and political decision, not a scientific one. Nevertheless, an assumed value for time is present in all comparisons of emissions, whether or not this assumption is admitted to explicitly.

Opinions on the appropriate discount rate for emissions range from zero over a 100-year period (Kirschbaum, 2006; see Dornburg and Marland, 2008; Fearnside, 2008b) and even for an infinite period (as implied by Greenpeace calls for permanence of carbon sequestration on "geological" time scales), to a value equal to that used for financial decisions, that is, around 10%/year in real terms (e.g., van Kooten et al., 1997). This author argues for a small but non-zero value for time, equivalent to a discount rate on the order of 1-2% per year (Fearnside, 2002b). It is important to note that a non-zero value for time for global warming is not dependent either on a selfish perspective for the current generation or on translating all impacts into monetary terms: global warming is expected to result in many human deaths, which is an entirely separate form of impact from monetary losses, and delaying warming by a given number of years saves lives over the period of the delay (Fearnside, 1998).

The time horizon used is at least as important as the choice of a discount rate, both in deriving GWP values and in accounting for emissions. The IPCC's Fifth Assessment Report makes clear that "There is no scientific argument for selecting 100 years compared with other choices (Fuglestvedt et al., 2003; Shine, 2009). The choice of time horizon is a value judgment because it depends on the relative weight assigned to effects at different times." (Myhre et al., 2013, pp. 711-712).

The longer the time horizon used, the greater the distortion if a zero discount rate is applied (as in the case of the current GWP values derived by the IPCC). One way that accounting studies often justify long time horizons without discounting is to base computations on a full life cycle, with the common assumption that a dam will last 100 years. Note that these are often not true lifecycle analyses due to omission of the decommissioning (removal) of the dam at the end of the cycle. For comparison of different generation options, such as fossil fuels and dams, it is essential that the same time horizon be used if a non-zero value of time is to be included (as through a discount rate). Comparison of a dam with an assumed 100-year useful life with a thermoelectric plant with an assumed 50-year life will produce a distorted result.

A hydroelectric dam emits large amounts of greenhouse gases in the first few years after it is built, which creates a global warming "debt" that is slowly paid off as electricity generated by the dam displaces fossil fuels in the succeeding years; in contrast to this, electricity generation from fossil fuels emits gases at a constant rate, with the emission occurring at the same time as the electricity is generated. This difference is critical in comparing dams to fossil fuels, with any value attributed to time weighing heavily against dams (Fearnside, 1996, 1997). The full emissions profile of a dam is a complex set of emissions credits and debits to CO_2, CH_4, and other gases over time. In contrast, fossil-fuel-fired power plants release emissions primarily when fuel is burned to generate electricity. The fact that dams emit methane with an intense but short-lived impact, while fossil fuels emit primarily CO_2 with a mild but long-lived impact, is also critical. Note, however, that in a number of countries, including Brazil, most new thermoelectric plants burn natural gas rather than coal or oil, and that gas pipelines supplying the plants leak methane.

The hydroelectric industry would like no form of time preference weighting to be applied to emissions in this century: the International Hydropower Association (IHA) advocates for basing all calculation on a 100-year time horizon with no discounting (e.g., Goldenfum, 2012). Unfortunately, we do not have 100 years to take effective measures to mitigate global warming, and it is the emissions within the next few years that will determine whether "dangerous" climate change can be avoided. Brazil's dam-building plans in Amazonia, for example, would release large amounts of greenhouse gases precisely in the time window when global warming must be controlled.

3.8. Other factors underestimating emissions

Various other factors often lead to underestimating emissions from tropical dams. One is "cherry picking" (selecting only cases that confirm one's conclusion). A second is assuming that dams are built on natural wetlands (which emit significant amounts of methane) instead of in the places with rapids and waterfalls that result in more power generation. A third is to assume that reservoir sedimentation will cancel emissions. A fourth is to assume that emissions will dwindle to zero, when in fact they can be maintained indefinitely (albeit at a lower level than during the initial emissions peak that follows flooding the reservoir). These factors are reviewed in the Supplementary Online Material.

4. The sociology of science and dam emissions

Both scientific research and its interpretation for policy are done by human beings who act within the context of their social and institutional environments. The journal *Climatic Change* hosted a debate over this issue between this author (Fearnside, 2004, 2006) and the then-head of Eletrobrás (Rosa et al., 2004, 2006b). The debate was refereed by Cullenward and Victor (2006), who pointed out that "A large proportion of the published work in this field comes directly from researchers connected to hydroelectricity companies, such as Eletrobrás or Hydro-Québec" and suggested as a result that "a mechanism is needed to remove any taint of interest so that CDM [Clean Development Mechanism] projects and national inventories can earn confidence. The international community has a mechanism readily at hand to fix the problem: a special report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)." A special report specifically on hydropower emissions has not been undertaken, but the IPCC's Special Report on Renewable Energy Sources and Climate Change Mitigation (SRREN) included hydropower (Kumar et al., 2012). The lists of authors of the hydropower sections include staff from both Eletrobrás and Hydro-Québec (Kumar et al., 2012; Moomaw et al., 2012). In the IPCC 2006 guidelines both of the two appendices dealing with reservoirs (Appendices 2 and 3) have authors from both Hydro-Québec and Eletrobrás (IPCC, 2006, Volume 4, Chapter 7, p. 7.2). McCully (2006) has documented the longstanding predominance of the hydropower industry in research concerning emissions from dams.

The IPCC special report has been criticized by the non-governmental organization (NGO) International Rivers for not discussing high methane emissions from tropical reservoirs, which is simply listed in a table, in contrast to much greater attention given to the low emissions in boreal and temperate regions (Parekh, 2011). The critique also points out that, contrary to normal IPCC practice, fully one-fourth of

the section on emissions from hydropower is devoted to presenting preliminary results from an unreviewed scoping paper led by the International Hydropower Association (IHA), an industry group (IHA, 2008). The special report also highlights the IHA's more recent work on procedures for quantifying emissions (summarized in: IHA, 2010).

A proper accounting of emissions from tropical hydroelectric dams is essential to containing climate change. International negotiations under the UNFCCC are aimed at establishing quotas (assigned amounts) for national emissions such that the net global emission from all sources (including "natural" sources) is consistent with preventing atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases from reaching levels that cause "dangerous interference with the climate system" (UNFCCC, 1992, Article 2), now defined as 2ºC average temperature increase over pre-industrial levels. If national inventories submitted by each country do not reflect the true amount of emission because tropical hydroelectric dam emissions have been omitted or understated, then the assigned amounts negotiated under the UNFCCC will be insufficient to contain climate change and the impacts of passing the 2ºC threshold will ensue (e.g., Meinshausen et al., 2009).

4. Conclusions

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) guidelines for national inventories of greenhouse-gas emissions need to be revised such that the required level of reporting on dams reflects the full extent of their emissions of all greenhouse gases. The IPCC also needs to conduct a thorough review of the subject independent of the hydropower industry.

Acknowledgements

REFERENCES

Abril, G., Guérin, F., Richard, S., Delmas, R., Galy-Lacaux, C., Gosse, P., Tremblay, A., Varfalvy, L., dos Santos, M.A., Matvienko, B., 2005. Carbon dioxide and methane emissions and the carbon budget of a 10-years old tropical reservoir (Petit-Saut, French Guiana). Global Biogeochemical Cycles 19, GB 4007. doi: 10.1029/2005GB002457.

Abril, G., Parize, M., Pérez, M.A.P., Filizola, N., 2013. Wood decomposition in Amazonian hydropower reservoirs: An additional source of greenhouse gases. Journal of South American Earth Sciences 44, 104–107. doi: 10.1016/j.jsames.2012.11.007.

582 ADEME Guyane (Agence de l'Environnement et la Maitrise de l'Energie). nd. L'Effet
583   de Serre et ses conséquences pour la Guyane. ADEME Guyane, Cayenne,
584   French Guiana, France. [Available at http://www.ademe-
585   guyane.fr/index.php?action=269].
586
587 Albritton, D.L., Derwent, R.G., Isaksen, I.S.A., Lal, M., Wuebbles, D.J., Bruhl, C.,
588   Daniel, J.S., Fisher, D., Granier, C., Liu, S.C., Patten, K., Ramaswamy, V.,
589   Wigley, T.M.L., 1995. Trace gas radiative forcing indices, In: Houghton, J.T.,
590   Meira Filho, L.G., Bruce, J., Lee, H., Callander, B.A., Haites, E., Harris, N.,
591   Maskell, K. (Eds.), Climate Change 1994: Radiative Forcing of Climate Change
592   and an Evaluation of the IPCC IS92 Emission Scenarios. Cambridge University
593   Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 205-231. [Available at http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/special-
594   reports/cc1994/climate_change_1994.pdf].
595
596 Arvizu, D. and 40 others, 2012. Technical summary, In: Edenhofer, O., Madruga, R.P.,
597   Sokona, Y., Seyboth, K., Eickemeier, P., Matschoss, P., Hansen, G., Kadner, S.,
598   Schlomer, S., Zwickel, T., von Stechow, C. (Eds.), Renewable Energy Sources
599   and Climate Change Mitigation: Special Report of the Intergovernmental Panel
600   on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 27-158.
601   [Available at http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/special-reports/srren/srren_full_report.pdf].
602
603 Australian Gas Neworks, 2007. About Natural Gas. [Available at http://www.natural-
604   gas.com.au/about/references.html].
605
606 Barnthouse, L.W., Cada, G.F., Cheng, M.D., Easterly, C.E., Kroodsma, R.L., Lee, R.,
607   Shriner, D.S., Tolbert, V.R., Turner, R.S., 1994. Estimating Externalities of the
608   Hydro Fuel Cycles. Report 6. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge,
609   Tennessee, U.S.A. 205 pp. [Available at:
610   http://www.osti.gov/energycitations/servlets/purl/757384-
611   22LKCY/webviewable/757384.pdf].
612
613 Barreto, P. Brandão Jr., A., Martins, H., Silva, D., Souza Jr., C., Sales, M., Feitosa, T.,
614   2011. Risco de Desmatamento Associado à Hidrelétrica de Belo Monte. Instituto
615   do Homem e Meio Ambiente da Amazônia (IMAZON), Belém, Pará, Brazil. 98
616   pp. [Available at http://www.imazon.org.br/publicacoes/livros/risco-de-
617   desmatamento-associado-a-hidreletrica-de-belo-monte/at_download/file].
618
619 Barros, N., Cole, J.J., Tranvik, L.J., Prairie, Y.T., Bastviken, D., Huszar, V.L.M., del
620   Giorgio, P., Roland, F., 2011. Carbon emission from hydroelectric reservoirs
621   linked to reservoir age and latitude. Nature Geoscience 4, 593-596. doi:
622   10.1038/NGEO1211.
623
624 Brazil, Eletrobrás (Centrais Elétricas Brasileiras), 2009. Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico
625   Belo Monte: Estudo de Impacto Ambiental. Fevereiro de 2009. Eletrobrás, Rio de
626   Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. 36 vols. [Available at
627   http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/BM/BELO%20MONTE.htm].
628
629 Brazil, MCT (Ministério da Ciência e Tecnologia), 2004. Comunicação Nacional Inicial
630   do Brasil à Convenção-Quadro das Nações Unidas sobre Mudança do Clima.

631   MCT, Brasília, DF, Brazil, 276 pp. [Available at
632   http://www.mct.gov.br/upd_blob/0005/5586.pdf].

634 Brazil, MCT (Ministério da Ciência e Tecnologia), 2010. Segunda Comunicação
635   Nacional do Brasil à Convenção-Quadro das Nações Unidas sobre Mudança do
636   Clima. MCT, Brasília, DF, Brazil, 2 Vols., 520 pp. [Available at
637   http://www.mct.gov.br/index.php/content/view/326751.html].

639 Brazil, MCTI (Ministério da Ciência e Tecnologia e Inovação), 2013. Estimativas
640   Anuais de Emissões de Gases de Efeito Estufa no Brasil. MCTI, Brasília, DF,
641   Brazil. 76 pp. [Available at http://www.mct.gov.br/upd_blob/0226/226591.pdf].

643 Brazil, MME (Ministério de Minas e Energia), 2013. Plano Decenal de Expansão de
644   Energia 2022. MME, Empresa de Pesquisa Energética (EPE). Brasília, DF,
645   Brazil. 409 pp. [Available at http://www.epe.gov.br/PDEE/24102013_2.pdf].

647 Burrall, K., Leandro, G., Mar, L., Natale, E., Tauro, F., 2009. Analysis of Proposed
648   Hydroelectric Dams on the Río Baker in Chilean Patagonia. Department of Civil
649   and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Boston,
650   Massachusetts, U.S.A. 36 pp. [Available at
651   http://www.waterdeva.com/pdfs/Burrall_et_al_2009.pdf].

653 CAN (Climate Action Network), 2010. Keys to the 2nd KP Commitment Period.
654   [Available at http://www.climatenetwork.org/blog/keys-2nd-kp-commitment-
655   period].

657 CO2list, 2011. Hydroelectricity Releases CO_2. 1 October 2011. [Available at
658   http://co2list.weebly.com/1/post/2011/10/hydroelectricity-produces-co2.html].

660 Correia Neto, V., Tolmasquim, M.T., 2001. A avaliação econômica da co-geração em
661   ciclo combinado com gaseificação de biomassa e gás natural no setor
662   sucroacooleiro. Revista Brasileira de Energia 8(2), 35-60. [Available at
663   http://www.sbpe.org.br/socios/download.php?id=151].

665 Cullenward, D., Victor, D.G., 2006. The dam debate and its discontents. Climatic
666   Change 75, 81-86. doi: 10.1007/s10584-006-9085-7.

668 da Silva, M., Matvienko, B., dos Santos, M.A., Sikar, E., Rosa, L.P., dos Santos, E.,
669   Rocha, C., 2007. Does methane from hydro-reservoirs fiz out from the water
670   upon turbine discharge? SIL – 2007-XXX Congress of the International
671   Association of Theoretical and Applied Limnology, Montreal, Québec, Canada.
672   [Available at http://www.egmmedia.net/sil2007/abstract.php?id=1839].

674 de Lima, I.B.T. 2002. Emissão de metano em reservatórios hidrelétricos amazônicos
675   através de leis de potência. PhD Dissertation, Universidade de São Paulo, São
676   Paulo, Brazil, 108 pp. [Available at
677   http://www.teses.usp.br/teses/disponiveis/64/64132/tde-21112002-
678   095409/publico/teseivan.pdf].
679

680   de Lima, I.B.T., 2005. Biogeochemical distinction of methane releases from two
681   Amazon hydroreservoirs. Chemosphere 59, 1697-1702.
682   doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.12.011.
683

684   Delmas, R. Galy-Lacaux, C., Richard, S., 2001. Emissions of greenhouse gases from the
685   tropical hydroelectric reservoir of Petit Saut (French Guiana) compared with
686   emissions from thermal alternatives. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 15, 993-
687   1003. doi: 10.1029/2000GB001330.
688

689   Delmas, R., Richard, S., Guérin, F., Abril, G., Galy-Lacaux, C., Delon, C., Grégoire, A.,
690   2005. Long term greenhouse gas emissions from the hydroelectric reservoir of
691   Petit Saut (French Guiana) and potential impacts, In: Tremblay, A., Varfalvy, L.,
692   Roehm, C., Garneau, M., (Eds.), Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Fluxes and
693   Processes. Hydroelectric Reservoirs and Natural Environments, Springer-Verlag,
694   New York, NY, U.S.A., pp. 293-312.
695

696   Demarty, M., Bastien, J., 2011. GHG emissions from hydroelectric reservoirs in tropical
697   and equatorial regions: Review of 20 years of CH_4 emission measurements.
698   Energy Policy 39, 4197-4206. doi: 10.1016/enpol.2011.04.033.
699

700   Denholm, P., Kulcinski, G.L., 2004. Life cycle energy requirements and greenhouse gas
701   emissions from large scale energy storage systems. Energy Conversion and
702   Management 45(13-14), 2153-2172. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2003.10.014.
703

704   Dones, R., Bauer, C., Bolliger, R., Burger, B., Heck, T., Roder, A., Emenegger, M.F.,
705   Frischknecht, R., Jungbluth, N., Tuchschmid, M., 2007. Life Cycle Inventories
706   of Energy Systems: Results for Current Systems in Switzerland and Other UCTE
707   Countries. Ecoinvent Report No. 5, Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), Swiss Centre
708   for Life Cycle Inventories, Villigen, Switzerland. 185 pp. [Available at:
709   http://www.ecolo.org/documents/documents_in_english/Life-cycle-analysis-
710   PSI-05.pdf].
711

712   Dones, R., Heck, T., Bauer, C,. Hirschberg, S., Bickel, P., Preiss, P., Panis, L.I., De
713   Vlieger, I., 2005. Externalities of Energy: Extension of Accounting Framework
714   and Policy Applications: New Energy Technologies. ENG1-CT-2002-00609,
715   Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), Villigen, Switzerland. 76 pp. [Available at:
716   http://gabe.web.psi.ch/pdfs/externe_pol/WP6_Technical_Report_Release_2.pdf]
717   .
718

719   Dornburg, V., Marland, G., 2008. Temporary storage of carbon in the biosphere does
720   have value for climate change mitigation: a response to the paper by Miko
721   Kirschbaum. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 13, 211-
722   217. doi: 10.1007/s11027-007-9113-6.
723

724   dos Santos, M.A., Rosa, L.P., Matvienko, B., dos Santos, E.O., D'Almeida Rocha,
725   C.H.E., Sikar, E., Silva, M.B., Bentes Júnior, A.M.P., 2009. Estimate of
726   degassing greenhouse gas emissions of the turbined water at tropical
727   hydroelectric reservoirs. Verhandlungen Internationale Vereinigung für
728   Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie 30(6), 834-837.
729

730 dos Santos, M.A., Rosa, L.P., Sikar, B., Sikar, E., dos Santos, E.O., 2006. Gross
731   greenhouse gas fluxes from hydro-power reservoir compared to thermo-power
732   plants. Energy Policy 34, 481–488. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2004.06.015

734 Duchemin É., 2000. Hydroelectricity and greenhouse gases: Emission evaluation and
735   identification of biogeochemical processes responsible for their production. PhD.
736   Dissertation, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada. 321
737   pp.

739 Duchemin É., 2006. Dear Patrick. Email to International Rivers 3 March 2006.
740   [Available at http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Hydro-
741   GHG/Duchemin-email-2006.pdf].

743 Duchemin, É., Canuel, R., Ferland, P., Lucotte, M. 1999. Étude sur la production et
744   l'émission de gaz à effet de serre par les réservoirs hydroélectriques d'Hydro-
745   Québec et des lacs naturels (Volet 2), Scientific report, Direction principal
746   Planification Stratégique - Hydro-Québec, 21046-99027c, Hydro-Québec,
747   Montréal, Québec, Canada. 48 pp.

749 Duchemin, É., Lucotte, M., Canuel, R., Almeida Cruz, D., Pereira, H.C., Dezincourt, J.,
750   Queiroz, A.G., 2000. Comparison of greenhouse gas emissions from an old
751   tropical reservoir and from other reservoirs worldwide. Verhandlungen
752   Internationale Vereinigung für Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie 27(3),
753   1391-1395.

755 Duchemin, É., Lucotte, M., Canuel, R., Chamberland, A., 1995. Production of the
756   greenhouse gases CH_4 and CO_2 by hydroelectric reservoirs of the boreal region.
757   Global Biogeochemical Cycles 9, 4, 529-540. doi: 10.1029/95GB02202.

759 Duchemin, E., Lucotte, M., Canuel, R., Soumis, N., 2006. First assessment of CH_4 and
760   CO_2 emissions from shallow and deep zones of boreal reservoirs upon ice break-
761   up. Lakes and Reservoirs, Research and Management 11, 9-19. doi:
762   10.1111/j.1440-1770.2005.00285.x

764 Fearnside, P.M., 1995. Hydroelectric dams in the Brazilian Amazon as sources of
765   'greenhouse' gases. Environmental Conservation 22, 7-19. doi:
766   10.1017/S0376892900034020.

768 Fearnside, P.M., 1996. Montreal meeting on 'greenhouse' gas impact of hydroelectric
769   dams. Environmental Conservation 23, 272-273. doi:
770   10.1017/S0376892900038935.

772 Fearnside, P.M., 1997. Greenhouse-gas emissions from Amazonian hydroelectric
773   reservoirs: The example of Brazil's Tucuruí Dam as compared to fossil fuel
774   alternatives. Environmental Conservation 24, 64-75. doi:
775   10.1017/S0376892997000118.

777 Fearnside, P.M., 1998. The value of human life in global warming impacts. Mitigation
778   and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 3, 83-85. doi:
779   10.1023/A:1009640412108.

780

781 Fearnside, P.M., 2002a. Greenhouse gas emissions from a hydroelectric reservoir
782   (Brazil's Tucuruí Dam) and the energy policy implications. Water, Air and Soil
783   Pollution 133, 69-96. doi: 10.1023/A:1012971715668.

784

785 Fearnside, P.M., 2002b. Time preference in global warming calculations: A proposal for a
786   unified index. Ecological Economics 41, 21-31. doi: 10.1016/S0921-
787   8009(02)00004-6.

788

789 Fearnside, P.M. 2002c. Why a 100-year time horizon should be used for global
790   warming mitigation calculations. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for
791   Global Change 7, 19-30. doi: 10.1023/A:1015885027530.

792

793 Fearnside, P.M., 2004. Greenhouse gas emissions from hydroelectric dams:
794   Controversies provide a springboard for rethinking a supposedly "clean" energy
795   source. Climatic Change 66, 1-8. doi: 10.1023/B:CLIM.0000043174.02841.23.

796

797 Fearnside, P.M., 2005a. Do hydroelectric dams mitigate global warming? The case of
798   Brazil's Curuá-Una Dam. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global
799   Change 10, 675-691. doi: 10.1007/s11027-005-7303-7.

800

801 Fearnside, P.M., 2005b. Brazil's Samuel Dam: Lessons for hydroelectric development
802   policy and the environment in Amazonia. Environmental Management 35, 1-19.
803   doi: 10.1007/s00267-004-0100-3.

804

805 Fearnside, P.M., 2006. Greenhouse gas emissions from hydroelectric dams: Reply to
806   Rosa et al. Climatic Change 75, 103-109. doi: 10.1007/s10584-005-9016-z.

807

808 Fearnside, P.M., 2008a. Hidrelétricas como "fábricas de metano": O papel dos
809   reservatórios em áreas de floresta tropical na emissão de gases de efeito estufa.
810   Oecologia Brasiliensis 12, 100-115. [English translation available at
811   http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/mss%20and%20in%20press/Fearnside%20
812   Hydro%20GHG%20framework.pdf].

813

814 Fearnside, P.M., 2008b. On the value of temporary carbon: A comment on Kirschbaum.
815   Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 13, 207-210. doi
816   10.1007/s11027-007-9112-7.

817

818 Fearnside, P.M., 2009. As hidrelétricas de Belo Monte e Altamira (Babaquara) como
819   fontes de gases de efeito estufa. Novos Cadernos NAEA 12(2), 5-56. [English
820   translation available at
821   http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/mss%20and%20in%20press/Belo%20Mont
822   e%20emissions-Engl.pdf].

823

824 Fearnside, P.M., 2011. Gases de efeito estufa no EIA-RIMA da hidrelétrica de Belo
825   Monte. Novos Cadernos NAEA 14(1), 5-19.

826

827 Fearnside, P.M., 2013. Climate change and the Amazon: Tropical dams emit
828   greenhouse gases. ReVista, Harvard Review of Latin America 12(2), 30-31.

829

830 Fearnside, P.M., Lashof, D.A., Moura-Costa, P., 2000. Accounting for time in
831   mitigating global warming through land-use change and forestry. Mitigation and
832   Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 5, 239-270. doi:
833   10.1023/A:1009625122628.
834
835 Fearnside, P.M., Pueyo, S., 2012. Underestimating greenhouse-gas emissions from
836   tropical dams. Nature Climate Change 2, 382–384. doi: 10.1038/nclimate1540.
837
838 Forster, P. and 50 others, 2007. Changes in atmospheric constituents and radiative
839   forcing, In: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt,
840   K.B., Tignor, M., Miller, H.L. (Eds.), Climate Change 2007: The Physical
841   Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment
842   Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge
843   University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 129-234. [Available at
844   http://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ar4/wg1/en/contents.html].
845
846 Fuglestvedt, J., Berntsen, T., Godal, O., Sausen, R., Shine, K., Skodvin, T., 2003.
847   Metrics of climate change: Assessing radiative forcing and emission indices.
848   Climatic Change 58, 267-331. doi: 10.1023/A:1023905326842.
849
850 Galy-Lacaux, C., 1996. Modifications des échanges de constituants mineurs
851   atmosphériques liées à la création d'une retenue hydroélectrique. Impact des
852   barrages sur le bilan du méthane dans l'atmosphère. PhD dissertation, Université
853   de Toulouse 3, Toulouse, France. 210 pp.
854
855 Galy-Lacaux, C., Delmas, R., Jambert, C., Dumestre, J.-F., Labroue, L., Richard, S.,
856   Gosse, P., 1997. Gaseous emissions and oxygen consumption in hydroelectric
857   dams: A case study in French Guyana. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 11, 471-
858   483. doi: 10.1029/97GB01625.
859
860 Galy-Lacaux, C., Delmas, R., Kouadio, J., Richard, S., Gosse, P., 1999. Long-term
861   greenhouse gas emissions from hydroelectric reservoirs in tropical forest
862   regions. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 13, 503-517.
863   doi: 10.1029/1998GB900015.
864
865 Garcia, R., 2007. Estudo apóia tese de hidrelétrica "limpa": Análise em usinas no
866   cerrado indica que termelétricas emitem até cem vezes mais gases causadores do
867   efeito estufa. Folha de São Paulo, 1 May 2007, p. A-16.
868
869 Goldenfum, J.A., 2012. Challenges and solutions for assessing the impact of freshwater
870   reservoirs on natural GHG emissions. Ecohydrology & Hydrobiology 12, 115-
871   122. doi: 10.2478/v10104-012-0011-5.
872
873 Gosse, P., Abril, G., Guérin, F., Richard, S., Delmas, R., 2005. Evolution and
874   relationships of greenhouse gases and dissolved oxygen during 1994-2003 in a
875   river downstream of a tropical reservoir. Verhandlungen Internationale
876   Vereinigung fur Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie 29, 594-600.
877
878 Guérin, F., Abril, G., Richard, S., Burban, B., Reynouard, C., Seyler, P., Delmas, R.,
879   2006. Methane and carbon dioxide emissions from tropical reservoirs:

880   Significance of downstream rivers. Geophysical Research Letters 33, L21407.
881   doi: 10.1029/2006GL027929.
882

883 Guérin, F., Abril, G., Tremblay, A., Delmas, R. 2008. Nitrous oxide emissions from
884   tropical hydroelectric reservoirs. Geophysical Research Letters 35, L06404, doi:
885   10.1029/2007GL033057.
886

887 Gunkel, G., 2009. Hydropower - A green energy? Tropical reservoirs and greenhouse
888   gas emissions. CLEAN - Soil, Air, Water 37, 726-734.
889   doi: 10.1002/clen.200900062.
890

891 Hartmann, D.L. and 13 others, 2013. Observations: Atmosphere and surface, In:
892   Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J.,
893   Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.), Climate Change 2013: The
894   Physical Science Basis. Working Group I Contribution to the IPCC Fifth
895   Assessment Report. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 159-254.
896   [Available at http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/].
897

898 Horvath, A., 2005. Decision-making in Electricity Generation Based on Global
899   Warming Potential and Life-cycle Assessment for Climate Change. University
900   of California Energy Institute, Berkeley, California, U.S.A. 16 pp. [Available at
901   http://repositories.cdlib.org/ucei/devtech/EDT-006].
902

903 Huttunen, J.T., Väisänen, T.S., Hellsten, S.K., Heikkinen, M., Nykänen, H., Jungner,
904   H., Niskanen, A., Virtanen, M.O., Lindqvist, O.V., Nenonen, O.S., Martikainen,
905   P.J., 2002. Fluxes of CH_4, CO_2, and N_2O in hydroelectric reservoir Lokka and
906   Porttipahta in the northern boreal zone in Finland. Global Biogeochemical
907   Cycles 16, 1, doi:10.1029/2000GB001316.
908

909 IEA (International Energy Agency), 1998. Benign Energy? The Environmental
910   Implications of Renewables. IEA, Paris, France. 128 pp.
911

912 IHA (International Hydropower Association), 2008. Assessment of the GHG Status of
913   Freshwater Reservoirs - Scoping Paper. IHA/GHG-WG/3, United Nations
914   Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)Working Group on
915   Greenhouse Gas Status of Freshwater Reservoirs and International Hydropower
916   Association, IHA, London, UK, 28 pp. [Available at
917   http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0018/001817/181713e.pdf].
918

919 IHA (International Hydropower Association), 2010. GHG Measurement Guidelines for
920   Freshwater Reservoirs. IHA, London, UK. 138 pp. [Available at
921   http://www.hydropower.org/sites/default/files/publications-
922   docs/GHG%20Measurement%20Guidelines%20for%20Freshwater%20Reservoirs.pdf].
923

924 IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), 1997. Revised 1996 IPCC
925   Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Reference Manual
926   (Volume 3) Land Use Change and Forestry. Institute for Global Environmental
927   Strategies (IGES), Kanagawa, Japan. [Available at http://www.ipcc-
928   nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/invs6.html].
929

930   IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), 2003. Good Practice Guidance for
931   Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry, Penman, J., Gytarsky, M., Hiraishi,
932   T., Krug, T., Kruger, D., Pipatti, R., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., Tanabe,
933   K., Wagner, F. (Eds.), Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES),
934   Kanagawa, Japan. [Available at http://www.ipcc-
935   nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gpglulucf/gpglulucf.html].
936

937   IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), 2006. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for
938   National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Eggleston, H.S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K.,
939   Ngara, T., Tanabe, K. (Eds.), Institute for Global Environmental Strategies
940   (IGES), Kanagawa, Japan. [Available at http://www.ipcc-
941   nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html].
942

943   IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), 2011. IPCC Task Force on
944   National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (TFI). TFI Interim Guidance Notes to
945   Experts and Authors (May 2011). TFI Technical Support Unit, Institute for
946   Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Kanagawa, Japan. 13 pp. [Available at
947   http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/Dossie/Hydro-
948   GHG/1106_Guidance_to_Authors.pdf].
949

950   IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), 2012. IPCC Special Report on
951   Renewable Energy Sources and Climate Change Mitigation, Edenhofer, O.,
952   Pichs-Madruga, R., Sokona, Y., Seyboth, K., Matschoss, P., Kadner, S.,
953   Zwickel, T., Eickemeier, P., Hansen, G., Schlömer, S., von Stechow, C. (Eds.).
954   Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 1075 pp. [Available at
955   http://srren.ipcc-wg3.de/report/].
956

957   IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), 2014. 2013 Supplement to the
958   2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands,
959   Hiraishi, T., Krug, T., Tanabe, K., Srivastava, N., Baasansuren, J., Fukuda, M.,
960   Troxler, T.G. (Eds.), IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland. 354 pp. [Available at
961   http://www.ipcc-
962   nggip.iges.or.jp/public/wetlands/pdf/Wetlands_Supplement_Entire_Report.pdf].
963

964   Keller, M., Stallard, R.F., 1994. Methane emission by bubbling from Gatun Lake,
965   Panama. Journal of Geophysical Research 99(D4), 8307-8319.
966   doi: 10.1029/92JD02170.
967

968   Kemenes, A., Forsberg, B.R., Melack, J.M., 2007. Methane release below a tropical
969   hydroelectric dam. Geophysical Research Letters 34, L12809. doi:
970   10.1029/2007GL029479.55.
971

972   Kemenes, A., Forsberg, B.R., Melack, J.M., 2008. As hidrelétricas e o aquecimento
973   global. Ciência Hoje 41(145), 20-25.
974

975   Kemenes, A., Forsberg, B.R., Melack, J.M., 2011. CO_2 emissions from a tropical
976   hydroelectric reservoir (Balbina, Brazil). Journal of Geophysical Research 116,
977   G03004. doi: 10.1029/2010JG001465.
978

Kirschbaum, M.U.F., 2006. Temporary carbon sequestration cannot prevent climate change. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 11, 1151-1164. doi: 10.1007/s11027-006-9027-8.

Kumar, A., Schei, T., Ahenkorah, A., Caceres Rodriguez, R., Devernay, J.-M., Freitas, M., Hall, D., Killingtveit, A., Liu, Z., 2012. Hydropower, In: Edenhofer, O., Pichs-Madruga, R., Sokona, Y., Seyboth, K., Matschoss, P., Kadner, S., Zwickel, T., Eickemeier, P., Hansen, G., Schlomer, S., von Stechow, C. (Eds.), Renewable Energy Sources and Climate Change Mitigation: Special Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 437-496. [Available at http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/special-reports/srren/srren_full_report.pdf].

Mäkinen, K., Khan, S., 2010. Policy considerations for greenhouse gas emissions from freshwater reservoirs. Water Alternatives 3, 91-105.

McCully, P., 2006. Fizzy Science: Loosening the Hydro Industry's Grip on Greenhouse Gas Emissions Research. International Rivers Network, Berkeley, California, U.S.A. 24 pp. [Available at http://www.internationalrivers.org/resources/fizzy-science-loosening-the-hydro-industry-s-grip-on-reservoir-greenhouse-gas-emissions].

Meinshausen, M., Meinshausen, N., Hare, W., Raper, S.C.B., Frieler, K., Knutti, R., Frame, D.J., Allen, M.R., 2009. Greenhouse-gas emission targets for limiting global warming to 2ºC. Nature 458, 1158-1162. doi:10.1038/nature08017.

Moomaw, W., Burgherr, P., Heath, G., Lenzen, M., Nyboer, J., Verbruggen, A., 2012. Annex II: Methodology, In: Edenhofer, O., Pichs-Madruga, R., Sokona, Y., Seyboth, K., Matschoss, P., Kadner, S., Zwickel, T., Eickemeier, P., Hansen, G., Schlomer, S., von Stechow, C. (Eds.), IPCC Special Report on Renewable Energy Sources and Climate Change Mitigation, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 973-1000. [Available at http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/special-reports/srren/srren_full_report.pdf].

Mori, S.A., Becker, P., 1991. Flooding affects survival of Lecythidaceae in *terra firme* forest near Manaus, Brazil. Biotropica 23, 87-90.

Myhre, G. & 37 others, 2013. Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing, In: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds,), Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Working Group I Contribution to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 661-740. [Available at http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/].

Ometto, J.P., Cimbleris, A.C.P., dos Santos, M.A., Rosa, L.P., Abe, D., Tundisi, J.G., Stech, J.L., Barros, N., Roland, F., 2013. Carbon emission as a function of energy generation in hydroelectric reservoirs in Brazilian dry tropical biome. Energy Policy 58, 109-116. doi: 10.1016/j.enpol.2013.02.041.

Ometto, J.P., Pacheco, F.S., Cimbleris, A.C P., Stech, J.L., Lorenzzetti, J.A., Assireu, A., Santos, M.A., Matvienko, B., Rosa, L.P., Galli, C.S., Abe, D.S., Tundisi, J.G., Barros, N.O., Mendonça, R.F., Roland, F., 2011. Carbon dynamic and emissions in Brazilian hydropower reservoirs, In: de Alcantara, E.H. (Ed.), Energy Resources: Development, Distribution, and Exploitation, Nova Science Publishers, Hauppauge, New York, U.S.A., pp. 155-188.

Pacca, S., 2007. Impacts from decommissioning of hydroelectric dams: A life cycle perspective. Climatic Change 84, 281-294. doi 10.1007/s10584-007-9261-4.

Parekh, P., 2011. Advancements in the field of reservoir emissions: A briefing on recent research and guidelines. International Rivers, Berkeley, California, U.S.A. 13 pp. [Available at http://www.internationalrivers.org/resources/advancements-in-the-field-of-reservoir-emissons-3764].

Potter, C.S., Davidson, E.A., Verchot., L.V., 1996. Estimation of global biogeochemical controls and seasonality on soil methane consumption. Chemosphere 32, 2219-2246.

Pueyo, S., Fearnside, P.M., 2011. Emissões de gases de efeito estufa dos reservatórios de hidrelétricas: Implicações de uma lei de potência. Oecologia Australis 15, 114-127. doi: 10.4257/oeco.2011.1502.02 [English translation available at http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/mss%20and%20in%20press/Pueyo%20&%20Fearnside-GHGs%20FROM%20%20RESERVOIRS--engl.pdf].

Ramaswamy, V. and 40 others, 2001. Radiative forcing of climate change, In: Houghton, J.T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D.G., Noguer, M., Van der Linden, R.J., Xiausu, D. (Eds.), Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 349-416. [Available at http://www.grida.no/climate/ipcc_tar/wg1/index.htm].

Rhodes, S., Wazlaw, J., Chaffee, C.,. Kommonen, F., Apfelbaum, S., Brown, L., 2000. A Study of the Lake Chelan Hydroelectric Project Based on Life-cycle Stressor-effects Assessment. Final Report. Scientific Certification Systems, Oakland, California, U.S.A. 193 pp. [Available at http://www.chelanpud.org/relicense/study/refer/4841_1.pdf].

Ribeiro, F.M., da Silva, G.A., 2010. Life-cycle inventory for hydroelectric generation: a Brazilian case study. Journal of Cleaner Production 18, 44-54. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2009.09.006.

Rosa, L.P., dos Santos, M.A., Matvienko, B., dos Santos, E.O., Sikar, E., 2004. Greenhouse gases emissions by hydroelectric reservoirs in tropical regions. Climatic Change 66, 9-21. doi: 10.1023/B:CLIM.0000043158.52222.ee.

Rosa, L.P., dos Santos, M.A., Matvienko, B., Sikar, E., dos Santos, E.O., 2006b. Scientific errors in the Fearnside comments on greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) from hydroelectric dams and response to his political claiming. Climatic Change 75, 91-102. doi: 10.1007/s10584-005-9046-6.

1078 Rosa, L.P., Matvienko Sikar, B., dos Santos, M.A., Matvienko Sikar, E., 2006a.
1079   Emissões de Dióxido de Carbono e de Metano pelos Reservatórios Hidrelétricos
1080   Brasileiros. Primeiro Inventário Brasileiro de Emissões Antrópicas de Gases de
1081   Efeito Estufa: Relatórios de Referência. Ministério da Ciência e Tecnologia
1082   (MCT), Brasília, DF, Brazil. 118 pp. [Available at
1083   http://www.mct.gov.br/upd_blob/0008/8855.pdf].
1084
1085 Rosa, L.P., Schaeffer, R., Santos, M.A., 1996. Are hydroelectric dams in the Brazilian
1086   Amazon significant sources of greenhouse gases? Environmental Conservation
1087   66, 2-6. doi: 10.1017/S0376892900038194.
1088
1089 Schimel, D. and 75 others, 1996. Radiative forcing of climate change, In: Houghton, J.T.,
1090   Meira Filho, L.G., Callander, B.A., Harris, N., Kattenberg, A., Maskell, K. (Eds.),
1091   Climate Change 1995: The Science of Climate Change, Cambridge University
1092   Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 65-131. [Available at
1093   http://www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/sar/wg_I/ipcc_sar_wg_I_full_report.pdf].
1094
1095 Shindell D.T. & 24 others, 2012. Simultaneously mitigating near-term climate change
1096   and improving human health and food security. Science 335, 183-189. doi:
1097   10.1126/science.1210026.
1098
1099 Shine, K.P., 2009. The global warming potential-the need for an interdisciplinary retrial.
1100   Climatic Change 96, 467-472. doi: 10.1007/s10584-009-9647-6.
1101
1102 Shine, K.P. and 44 others, 1995. Radiative Forcing, In: Houghton, J.T., Meira Filho,
1103   L.G., Bruce, J., Lee, H., Callander, B.A., Haites, E., Harris, N., Maskell, K.
1104   (Eds.), Climate Change 1994: Radiative Forcing of Climate Change and an
1105   Evaluation of the IPCC IS92 Emission Scenarios. Cambridge University Press,
1106   Cambridge, UK, pp. 163-203. [Available at: http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/special-
1107   reports/cc1994/climate_change_1994.pdf].
1108
1109 Steinhurst, W., Knight, P., Schultz, M., 2012. Hydropower Greenhouse Gas Emissions:
1110   State of the Research. Synapse Energy Economics, Inc., Cambridge,
1111   Massachusetts, USA. 24 pp. [Available at
1112   http://www.cusli.org/Portals/0/files/conference/2014/Hydropower-GHG-
1113   Emissions-Feb.-14-2012.pdf].
1114
1115 Soumis, N., Duchemin, É., Canuel, R., Lucotte, M., 2004. Greenhouse gas emissions
1116   from reservoirs of the western United States. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 18,
1117   GB3022, doi:10.1029/2003GB002197.
1118
1119 Therrien, J., 2004. Flux de gaz à effet de serre en milieux aquatiques - Suivi 2003.
1120   Rapport de GENIVAR Groupe Conseil Inc. présenté à Hydro-Québec,
1121   GENIVAR Groupe Conseil Inc. Québec City, Québec, Canada. 52 pp. +
1122   annexes.
1123
1124 Therrien, J., 2005. Aménagement hydroélectrique de l'Eastmain-1 – Étude des gaz à
1125   effet de serre en milieux aquatiques 2003-2004. Rapport de GENIVAR Groupe
1126   Conseil Inc. à la Société d'énergie de la Baie James. GENIVAR Groupe Conseil
1127   Inc. Québec City, Québec, Canada, 48 pp. + annexes.

1128
1129 UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change), 1992. U.N.
1130   Framework Convention on Climate Change. UNFCCC, Bonn, Germany.
1131   [Available at http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/convkp/conveng.pdf].
1132
1133 UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change), 2012. Report of
1134   the Conference of the Parties on its seventeenth session, held in Durban from 28
1135   November to 11 December 2011 [Available at
1136   http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2011/cop17/eng/09a02.pdf].
1137
1138 van Kooten, G.C., Grainger, A., Ley, E., Marland, G., Solberg, B., 1997. Conceptual issues
1139   related to carbon sequestration: Uncertainty and time. Critical Reviews in
1140   Environmental Science and Technology 27(special), S65-S82. doi:
1141   10.1080/10643389709388510.
1142
1143 Vattenfall, 2008. Vattenfall AB Generation Nordic Certified Environmental Product
1144   Declaration EPD® of Electricity from Vattenfall´s Nordic Hydropower. Report
1145   No. S-P-00088, Vattenfall, Stockholm, Sweden. 50 pp. [2011 version available
1146   at: http://www.environdec.com/en/Detail/?Epd=7468#.VK1Bh5UtF1s].
1147
1148 Zhang, Q., Karney, B., MacLean, H.L., Feng, J. 2007. Life-cycle inventory of energy
1149   use and greenhouse gas emissions for two hydropower projects in China. Journal
1150   of Infrastructure Systems 13(4): 271-279. doi: 10.1061/_ASCE_1076-
1151   0342_2007_13:4_271_.
1152

1153 **Figure Legends**
1154
1155 Figure 1: Lifecycle median emissions of different sources of electricity according to the
1156 IPCC Special Report on Renewable Energy Sources and Climate Change Mitigation
1157 (data for 50% percentile from Moomaw et al., 2012, p. 982).
1158
1159 Figure 2: South American dams mentioned in the text: 1 = Itaipu, 2 = Tucuruí, 3 = Serra
1160 da Mesa, 4 = Xingó, 5 = Furnas, 6 = Estreito, 7 = Peixoto, 8 = Petit Saut, 9 = Balbina,
1161 10 = Samuel, 11 = Belo Monte, 12 = Babaquara/Altamira, 13 = Curuá-Una. Brazil's
1162 "Legal Amazon region" and "Amazonia Biome" are also shown.

Table 1: Papers on hydroelectric dam emissions used in the IPCC Special Report

No.	Reference	Locations of dams	Names of dams	Emissions included								Total g CO_2e/kWh	Notes
				Construction materials and energy	Vegetation & soil carbon loss	Operation materials and energy	Reservoir surface	Degassing at turbines & spillways	Downstream river	Decomissioning materials and energy	Sediment carbon release after decomissioning		
1	Barnthouse et al., 1994	U.S.A.: Washington state	Rocky Creek, Diobsud Creek, Boulder Creek, Jordan Creek, Irene Creek, Jackman Creek	X		X						8.7	(a)
2	Denholm and Kulcinski, 2004	U.S.A.: South Carolina, California, Virginia, Missouri, Colorado, Georgia, Tennessee	Bad Creek, Balsam Meadow, Clarence, Fairfield, Helms, Mt. Elbert, Raccoon Mtn., Rocky Mtn.	X		X						5.6	(b)
3	Dones et al., 2005	Switzerland	Data materials and energy data from "more than 50 Swiss reservoir power plants"	X	X	X	X			X		3.77	(c)
4	Dones et al., 2007	Switzerland	Data materials and energy data from "more than 50 Swiss reservoir power plants"	X	X	X	X			X		3.77	(d)
5	Horvath, 2005	U.S.A.: Arizona	Glen Canyon		X						X	35	(e)
6	IEA, 1998	No data on specific dams.											
7	Pacca, 2007	U.S.A.: Arizona, Nevada, North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana	Hoover, Glen Canyon, Garrison, Oahe, Fort Peck, Fort Randall								X	35-380	(f)
8	Rhodes et al., 2000	U.S.A.: Washington State	Chelan	X		X						1.592	(g)
9	Ribeiro and da Silva, 2010	Brazil/Paraguay	Itaipu	X		X	X					4.86	(h)

	Reference	Country	Projects						Emissions	Note
10	Vattenfall, 2008	Sweden	Seitevare, Harsprånget, Porsi, Boden, Juktan, Umluspen, Stornorrfors, Stalon, Bergeforsen, Älvkarleby, Olidan, Hojum, Pamilo, Upperud	X	X	X			4.5	(i)
11	Zhang et al., 2007	China	Based on "nominally confidential" reports on two projects, denominated "A" and "B".	X		X			25.05	(j)

(a) Projects are for diversion canals added to planned small dams (dam constuction emissions not included).

(b) Pumped hydro storage (PHS) dams. Emissions of individual gases and GWPs used for conversions are not given.

(c) Earlier version of estimates in Dones et al., 2007.

(d) Reservoir emissions "assumed for general alpine conditions" estimated "using limited information available on Swiss natural lakes" (Dones et al., 2007, p. 10). Emissions for Swiss storage dams are 4.0 gCO_2e/kWh (54% of total hydropower production) and 3.5 gCO_2e/kWh for run-of-river plants (46%). Publication extrapolates from Swiss dams to estimate emissions for reservoir plants in alpine areas in the rest of Europe (4.5 g CO_2e/kWh), European non-alpine areas (10.0 g CO_2e/kWh), and Finland (34.0 gCO_2e/kWh), and for run-of-river plants in the rest of Europe (3.5 gCO_2e/kWh). GWPs are 100-yr values from the IPCC 3rd assessment report.

(e) This unreviewed working paper appears to contain mathematical errors in converting CH_4 to CO_2e; the GWP used is not given but is described as a 20-year GWP, but calculations are not reproducible with any IPCC values.

(f) Uses IPCC third assessment report 100-yr GWPs.

(g) Uses IPCC first assessment report 100-yr GWPs.

(h) Based on 100 year life, construction + operation releases 0.132 g CH_4 and 1.56 g CO_2/kWh, totaling 4.9 gCO_2e/kWh if calculated with IPCC fourth assessement report 100-yr GWPs.

(i) The 2011 version of the report (not used by the IPCC) raises this estimate to 8.6 gCO_2e/kWh.

(j) Project "A" = 44 g CO_2e/kWh; Project "B" = 6.1 g CO_2e/kWh.

Table 2. Estimated emissions over 20 years for Petit Saut Dam in French Guiana and comparison with natural gas generation.

	CO_2	N_2O	CH_4		N_2O		$CO_2+CH_4+N_2O$		Total CO_2e 20-yr GWP(a)	20-year emission/kWh (b) 100-yr GWP (a)
				20-yr GWP(a)	100-yr GWP (a)	20-yr GWP(a)	100-yr GWP (a)	20-yr GWP(a)	100-yr GWP	
	(Gg CO_2)	(Gg CH_4)	(Gg N_2O)	(Gg CO_2e)	(Gg CO_2e)	(Gg CO_2e)	(Gg CO_2e)	(Gg CO_2e)	(Gg CO_2e)	(g CO_2e/kWh)	(g CO_2e/kWh)
Petit Saut Dam											
Construction (c)								277	277	36	36
Reservoir, degassing & downstream (d)	9,675	693	9	59,598	23,562	2,506	2,515	69,273	33,237	9,112	4,372
Above-water decay of dead trees (e)	9,814	220		18,920	7,480			28,734	17,294	3,780	2,275
Total	19,489	913	9	78,518	31,042	2,506	2,515	98,285	50,809	12,928	6,683
Combined-cycle natural gas											
Construction (c)	6.0							6.0	6.0	0.8	0.8
Operation (fuel combustion) (g)	1,535.4	0.03	0.003	2.6	1.0	0.8	0.8	1,538.7	1,537.2	202.4	202.2
Gas production (h)		2.14		184.0	72.7			184.0	72.7	24.2	9.6
Gas processing, transport & distribution (i)		3.55		305.2	120.7			305.2	120.7	40.1	15.9
Fugitive emissions (CH_4 leakage)(j)		27.67		2,379.9	940.9			2,379.9	940.9	313.0	123.8
Total	1,541.4	33.39	0.003	2,871.7	1,135.3	0.8	0.8	4,413.9	2,677.5	580.6	352.2

(a) CH_4 20-yr GWP = 86; 100-yr GWP = 34 ; N_2O 20-yr GWP = 264; 100-yr GWP = 265 (Myhre et al., 2013, p. 714).

(b) Power production from 1994 to 2005 from ADEME Guyane (nd). Production from 2006 to 2013 assumed same as 2001-2005 mean (416 GWh/yr). Twenty-year total = 7602 GWh.

(c) Based on study of five proposed dams in Chile (Burrall et al., 2009); quantities are made proportional to Petit Saut (560 MW installed; 7602 GWh generated in 20 years).

(d) CH_4 from Delmas et al., 2005, p. 996; see also Delmas et al., 2001. Measurements extend through 2003 and trends are extrapolated by the authors for subsequent years. N_2O from Guérin et al., 2008.

(e) Based on estimate for Petit Saut for 100 years by Abril et al. 2013; here, 2/3 of the emission is assumed to occur in the first 20 years as a rough estimate based on Balbina after 23 years (see Abril et al., 2013).

(f) Gas consumption 561 Gg CH_4 or 30.1×10^6 GJ input in 20 years (see note i). Emission factor 15.3 tC/TJ (IPCC, 1997, Vol. 1, p. 1.24), conversion factor 0.0036 MWh/TJ [1 kWh = 3.6 MJ], energy content of gas 53.6 MJ/kg (Australian Gas Networks, 2007), CH_4 emission factor for energy industries 1 kg CH_4/TJ input (IPCC, 1997, Vol. 1, p. 1.35). N_2O emission factor 0.1 kg/TJ [g/GJ] (IPCC, 1997, Vol. 1, p. 1.36). CO_2 from 0.995 fraction of oxidized C (IPCC, 1997, Vol. 1, p. 1.8).

(g) Gas production needed to supply the plant is calculated at 589 Gg CH_4 in 20 years, based on consumption of 561 Gg CH_4, derived from 53.6 Mj/kg [TJ/Gg] energy content of gas (CH_4) (Australian Gas Networks, 2007), 0.995 fracton of C oxidized (IPCC, 1997, Vol. 1, p. 1.8).

(h) Gas production needed to supply the plant is calculated at 589 Gg CH_4 in 20 years, based on consumption of 561 Gg CH_4, derived from 53.6 Mj/kg [TJ/Gg] energy content of gas (CH_4) (Australian Gas Networks, 2007), 0.995 fracton of C oxidized (IPCC, 1997, Vol. 1, p. 1.8), efficiency of 57.5% (midpoint of Brazilian range of 55 - 60 % (Corrêa Neto and Tolmasquim, 2001) and generation in 20 years of 27.4×10^9 Gj (1 kWh = 3.6 MJ). Emission factor for gas production: 288×10^3 kg CH_4/PJ gas produced (IPCC, 1997, Vol. 1, p. 1.121).

(i) Emission factor 118×10^3 kg CH_4/PJ of gas consumed (IPCC, 1997, Vol. 1, p. 1.121).

(j) Based on estimate of 4.7% leakage in Brazil from 1999 Petrobrás data (dos Santos et al., 2006, p. 486). The gas production to which this percentage is applied is calculated at 589 Gg CH_4 in 20 years, based on consumption of 561 Gg CH_4, derived from 53.6 Mj/kg [TJ/Gg] energy content of gas (CH_4) (Australian Gas Networks, 2007), 0.995 fracton of C oxidized (IPCC, 1997, Vol. 1, p. 1.8), efficiency of 57.5% (Corrêa Neto and Tolmisquim, 2001) and generation in 20 years of 27.4×10^9 Gj (1 kWh = 3.6 MJ).

Figure 1

Highlights

Tropical dams emit greenhouse gases, which are undercounted in IPCC guidelines

IPCC comparisons with other energy sources undercount hydroelectric emissions

GHG inventories must fully count emissions as a basis for negotiating national quotas

The IPCC needs to reassess emissions from dams independent of the hydropower industry

Supplementary online material for:

Emissions from tropical hydropower and the IPCC

Philip M. Fearnside[a]

[a]National Institute for Research in Amazonia (INPA), Av. André Araújo 2936, CEP 69.067-375, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil

Additional reasons why hydropower emissions are often understated

In addition to the eight reasons reviewed in the text leading to underestimation of tropical hydropower emissions the following four reasons are also often present.

1. "Cherry picking" dams

"Cherry picking," or selecting only the cases that confirm one's conclusion, is one way that estimates of hydroelectric emissions can be downplayed. In Brazil, the Balbina Dam, which has very high methane emissions, was not included in the tabulation of dams in the country's first national inventory (Brazil, MCT, 2004, p. 154; see also Rosa et al., 2004), although the study's authors had previously published surface emission data from the dam (Rosa et al., 1997). Balbina represented approximately 40% of the area flooded by reservoirs in Brazil's Amazon rainforest areas at the time of the inventory. Balbina has been excluded from a number of discussions on Amazonian dams on the grounds that it is atypical and represents a mistake that would never be committed again. Unfortunately, Balbina has many parallels with dams that are likely to be built in the coming decades, especially the Babaquara (renamed Altamira) Dam upstream of Belo Monte (Fearnside, 2006, 2012).

2. Assumption that dams are built in wetlands

The net effect of a dam is the dam's emission minus what would have been emitted by the ecosystem without the dam, including forest in the area flooded by the reservoir. The US National Hydropower Association reacted to this author's first publication of results indicating high emissions from Amazonian dams (Fearnside, 1995) by declaring "It's baloney and it's much overblown ... Methane is produced quite substantially in the rain forest and no one suggests cutting down the rain forest." (McCully, 2001). The International Hydropower Association even claimed that dams are a "zero-sum issue, new wetlands replacing old wetlands" (Gagnon, 2002). However, dams are not built in flat wetlands that emit methane, since locations with rapids or waterfalls result in much more power generation. The soils under upland forests in Amazonia are considered to be methane sinks (e.g., Keller et al., 1991).

The assumption of unrealistically high pre-dam emissions was not restricted to the hydropower industry's initial denials of a global-warming impact from dams. In the environmental impact study (EIA) for Brazil's controversial Belo Monte Dam the estimate of pre-dam emission was largely based on measurements in waterlogged soils that had recently been exposed by falling river levels, such that calculations effectively assumed that the reservoir area as a whole would be emitting very substantial amounts of methane (see Fearnside, 2011).

3. Assumption that reservoir sedimentation cancels emissions

The International Hydropower Association has argued that dams could have a positive effect by capturing carbon in the sediments deposited in the reservoirs, thus preventing this carbon from being emitted to the atmosphere (e.g., Gagnon, 2002). Reservoir sediments do contain carbon (Sikar et al., 2009). However, the carbon in the sediments is a two-edged sword, as this is also the source of carbon for methanogenesis under the anoxic conditions at the bottom of a reservoir. Carbon balance should not be confused with global-warming impact. Dams release carbon in the form of methane, with a much greater impact per ton of carbon than the CO_2 that would be released if carbon deposited in the sediments were instead allowed to flow downstream and be oxidized in the river. It should also be remembered that part of this carbon would not be oxidized in the river, but instead would be deposited either in ocean sediments. In the case of Amazonia some of this carbon would be transferred to the sediment deposits that continue to have a net accumulation in the Amazon floodplain (*várzea*). More of the carbon deposited in sediments is later released as gases in a reservoir than in the ocean, a factor that increases net global greenhouse-gas emissions (Mendonça et al., 2011, p. 63). Also, although water in the Amazon River is known to release large quantities of CO_2 (Richey et al., 2002), indicating oxidation of carbon carried in the river, there are also high emissions of CO_2 from Amazonian reservoirs and from the turbines and spillways of Amazonian dams (e.g., Kemenes et al., 2011).

4. Assumption that emissions dwindle to zero

The idea that hydroelectric emissions inexorably decline to zero is misleading. A strong decline in greenhouse-gas emissions in the first few years of a reservoir's life is a well-known pattern, but this does not mean that emissions will always continue to decline until they are virtually zero. Emissions can stabilize at a level well above zero where there is a renewable source of carbon such as the annual flooding of herbaceous vegetation in the drawdown zone when the water level is raised in the rainy season. Different reservoirs can have quite different water-management regimes, differing in the amount of vertical variation in the water level and in the area of the drawdown zone that is exposed when the water level is lowered. In Brazil's first national inventory the Três Marias reservoir in a *cerrado* (savanna) area in the state of Minas Gerais was the clear "champion" of methane emissions, emitting even more than the Amazonian dams that were included in the study (Brazil, MCT, 2004; Rosa *et al*., 2004). At the time of the measurements, the Três Marias reservoir was 36 years old and was therefore well past the initial peak in methane emission. The 9-m vertical variation in water level at Três Marias is a likely explanation of how CH_4 emissions can be maintained over time. Note that the time-path of methane emissions, with an large initial peak followed by long-term plateau at a lower level, adds greatly to the impact of dams in terms of human interests.

REFERENCES

Brazil, MCT (Ministério da Ciência e Tecnologia), 2004. Comunicação Nacional Inicial do Brasil à Convenção-Quadro das Nações Unidas sobre Mudança do Clima. MCT, Brasília, DF, Brazil. 276 pp. [available at http://www.mct.gov.br/upd_blob/0005/5586.pdf].

Fearnside, P.M., 1995. Hydroelectric dams in the Brazilian Amazon as sources of 'greenhouse' gases. Environmental Conservation 22, 7-19. doi: 10.1017/S0376892900034020.

Fearnside, P.M., 2006. Dams in the Amazon: Belo Monte and Brazil's hydroelectric development of the Xingu River Basin. Environmental Management 38, 16-27. doi: 10.1007/s00267-005-00113-6.

Fearnside, P.M., 2011. Gases de efeito estufa no EIA-RIMA da hidrelétrica de Belo Monte. Novos Cadernos NAEA 14(1), 5-19.

Fearnside, P.M., 2012. Belo Monte Dam: A spearhead for Brazil's dam building attack on Amazonia. GWF Discussion Paper 1210, Global Water Forum, Canberra, Australia. [available at http://www.globalwaterforum.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/04/Belo-Monte-Dam-A-spearhead-for-Brazils-dam-building-attack-on-Amazonia_-GWF-1210.pdf].

Gagnon, L., 2002. The International Rivers Network statement on GHG emissions from reservoirs, a case of misleading science. International Hydropower Association (IHA), Sutton, Surrey, UK, 9 pp. [available at http://www.hydropower.org/DownLoads/GHG-Reply-IRN-2002-v10.pdf].

Keller, M., Jacob, D.J., Wofsy, S.C., Harriss, R.C., 1991. Effects of tropical deforestation on global and regional atmospheric chemistry. Climatic Change 19, 139-158.

Kemenes, A., Forsberg, B.R., Melack, J.M., 2011. CO_2 emissions from a tropical hydroelectric reservoir (Balbina, Brazil). Journal of Geophysical Research 116, G03004, doi: 10.1029/2010JG001465.

McCully, P., 2001. Silenced Rivers: The Ecology and Politics of Large Dams: Enlarged and Updated Edition. Zed Books, New York, NY, U.S.A. 359 pp.

Mendonça, R., Barros, N., Vidal, L.O., Pacheco, F., Kosten, S., Roland, F., 2011. Greenhouse gas emissions from hydroelectric reservoirs: What knowledge do we have and what is lacking? In: E.H. de Alcantara (ed), Energy Resources: Development, Distribution, and Exploitation. Nova Science Publishers. Hauppauge, NewYork, U.S.A., pp. 55-78.

Richey, J.E., Melack, J.M., Aufdenkampe, K., Ballester, V.M., Hess, L.L., 2002. Outgassing from Amazonian rivers and wetlands as a large tropical source at atmospheric CO_2. Nature 416, 617-620.

Rosa, L.P., dos Santos, M.A., Matvienko, B., dos Santos, E.O., Sikar, E., 2004. Greenhouse gases emissions by hydroelectric reservoirs in tropical regions. Climatic Change 66, 9-21.

Rosa, L.P., dos Santos, M.A., Tundisi, J.G., Sikar, B.M., 1997. Measurements of greenhouse gas emissions in Samuel, Tucurui and Balbina Dams – Brazil. In: L.P. Rosa, M.A. dos Santos (eds), Hydropower Plants and Greenhouse Gas Emissions. Coordenação dos Programas de Pós-Graduação em Engenharia da Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (COPPE/UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil, pp. 41-55.

Sikar, E., Matvienko, B., Santos, M.A., Rosa, L.P., Silva, M.B., Santos, E.O., Rocha, C.H.E.D., Bentes Jr., A.P., 2009. Tropical reservoirs are bigger carbon sinks than soils. Verhandlungen Internationale Vereinigung fur Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie 30, 838–840.