# The text that follows is a PREPRINT. O texto que segue é um PREPRINT.

Please cite as: Favor citar como:

# Azevedo-Santos, Valter M.; Jorge Luiz Rodrigues Filho, Philip M. Fearnside, Thomas E. Lovejoy & Marcelo F. G. Brito. 2021. Conservation of Brazilian freshwater biodiversity: Thinking about the next 10 years and beyond. Biodiversity and Conservation

30: 235–241. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-020-02076-5

DOI: 10.1007/s10531-020-02076-5

ISSN: 0960-3115 (Print) 1572-9710 (Online)

Copyright: Springer Netherlands

The original publication is available at: O trabalho original está disponível em:

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-020-02076-5 http://link.springer.com/

# **Conservation of Brazilian freshwater** 1 biodiversity: Thinking about the next 10 2 years and beyond

3 4

Valter M. Azevedo-Santos<sup>1</sup>, Jorge Luiz Rodrigues Filho<sup>2</sup>, Philip M. Fearnside<sup>3</sup>, Thomas E. Lovejoy<sup>4</sup> & Marcelo F. G. Brito<sup>5</sup>

## 6 7

5

<sup>1</sup> Department of Zoology, São Paulo State University, Botucatu, São Paulo, Brazil. 8

<sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Ecology – Department of Fisheries Engineering and Biology, UDESC, 88790-9 000, Laguna, Santa Catarina, Brazil. 10

<sup>3</sup> Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Manaus, Amazonas, 69067-375, Brazil 11

<sup>4</sup> Department of Environmental Science and Policy, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, 12 13 22030, USA

- <sup>5</sup> Department of Biology, Federal University of Sergipe, Av. Marechal Rondon s/n, Rosa Elze, 14
- São Cristóvão, Sergipe, Brazil 15
- \*Corresponding author (E-mail: valter.ecologia@gmail.com) 16

## 17

#### 18 Abstract

- 19 In 2021 the countries of the world will discuss a framework under the Convention on Biological
- Diversity (CBD) for a new environmental agenda for the next 10 years (the "post-2020"). Parties 20
- should consider Brazil to be a central nation in these discussions. The country holds a large 21
- 22 freshwater biodiversity that needs to be protected, but current policies imperil species and
- ecosystems. Here we present topics to guide other CDB parties in discussing with Brazil a better 23
- agenda to conserve freshwater biodiversity in the "post-2020". These initiatives include: (i) a 24
- 25 national plan to reduce threats, (ii) restoration of freshwater ecosystems, (iii) protected areas, (iv)
- more investment in research, and (v) science communication. Brazil's participation in these CBD 26
- negotiations is fundamental for a new agreement, but the country is under a presidential 27
- administration with little concern for the environment. While our suggestions are intended for 28 29
- participants in the CDB negotiations, they will also be relevant to other international actors in the
- coming years. Nations, international investors, private companies and NGOs around the world 30 must therefore use their influence to press the current administration to protect the country's
- 31 32 environment, including its enormous freshwater biodiversity
- 33
- Keywords: Freshwater protected areas; laws; mining; post-2020; restoration; biological 34 35 invasions
- 36
- **Declarations** 37

#### 38 Funding

- PMF and MFGB received CNPq research grants; 39
- 40

#### 41 **Conflicts of interest**

42 None

43

44 Since February 2020, the 196 Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)

45 have been discussing the challenges of conserving biodiversity in a world that is undergoing

climate change and increasing human population and consumption (CBD 2020). The parties will 46 formulate a new global framework, scheduled to be agreed in 2021. These decisions will provide 47

a global environmental agenda for the next 10 years (the "post-2020"). 48

The parties should consider Brazil to be central to the discussion of the post-2020 period due to its vast biodiversity, especially those in inland waters. The country contains a large percentage of the planet's freshwater species (Agostinho et al. 2005). For example, the country holds 3148 freshwater fish species (ICMBio 2018), a larger richness than North America, Central America, Europe, and Oceania combined (Dagosta and de Pinna 2019). Another example is freshwater Cladocera, with more than 140 species (Brito et al. 2020), a number that corresponds to ~ 23 % of the world's total for this group (Forró et al. 2008).

Brazil's high freshwater biodiversity is in constant peril (ICMBio 2018; Pelicice et al. 56 57 2017). An assessment of the state of conservation of Brazilian freshwater species organized by the Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity Conservation (ICMBio) is being done through 58 59 voluntary collaboration of national and international researchers. These evaluations have shown 60 that many Brazilian fish species are extinct at the regional level (ICMBio 2018); this is alarming because the extinction of local or regional populations eliminates genetic variability. Brazilian 61 diversity is being imperiled as dams, agricultural expansion, pollution, and other impacts take 62 their toll on Brazil's aquatic ecosystems. Other threats include invasive species, a danger that is 63 augmented by planned inter-basin water transfer projects and by unsustainable aquaculture and 64 sport fishing (e.g., Daga et al. 2020; Garcia et al. 2018; Nobile et al. 2020; Ribeiro et al. 2017). 65

66 Although threats to Brazil's flora and fauna have been increasing for years (e.g., Ferreira et al. 2014; Azevedo-Santos et al. 2017; Fernandes et al. 2017), Brazil's current president (Jair 67 Bolsonaro), who took office in January 2019, has greatly worsened the situation (e.g., 68 69 Supplementary Material A). For example, the president has submitted a proposed law to the National Congress (PL 191/2020) that would legalize mining and dam construction in 70 indigenous areas (Congresso Nacional 2020). The collapse of the Brumadinho and Mariana 71 mine-tailings dams (Cionek et al. 2019; Fernandes et al. 2016) made it very clear that Brazil is 72 not able to monitor and ensure proper functioning of its mines (Ferreira et al. 2014; Nazareno 73 and Vitule 2016). Impacts of mining in indigenous areas are likely to disrupt biodiversity in both 74 75 terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems.

76 The Ministry of Environment was not abolished altogether, as had been promised by the president during his electoral campaign (Supplementary Material B). However, the person 77 President Bolsonaro appointed to head the ministry (Ricardo Salles) has acted to set the 78 environment back on many fronts. For example, Salles recently repealed important resolutions of 79 80 the National Environment Council (CONAMA), including revoking resolution on licensing for irrigation projects (284/2001; see Supplementary Material C), thus imperiling many waterbodies 81 and their biodiversity. This further reinforces the conclusion that Brazil must be placed at the 82 center of the negotiations for a new agreement for the next decade and beyond. In view of this 83 possibility, we present suggestions for the CBD signatory nations outlining the main actions that 84 are necessary to conserve Brazilian freshwater biodiversity. 85

86

## 87 Post-2020: Examples of needed actions

88 89

#### A national plan to reduce threats

We believe that Brazil needs a national plan to reduce threats to biodiversity. Here we
will provide examples of threats that should be considered in a discussion by the parties to the
CBD.

Mining is an activity that has disrupted Brazilian aquatic ecosystems, especially gold, that releases a lot of mercury (Malm et al. 1998), and those associated with tailings dam associated (Fernandes et al. 2016). More inspections and restrictions on mining near waterbodies are needed (Pelicice et al. 2017); the same applies to tailings dams because they have a gigantic potential for biodiversity destruction (Cionek et al. 2019; Fernandes et al. 2016). Also needed is improved inspection to prevent illegal mining, including better control at the country's borders to avoid entry of mercury and other illegal inputs used in this activity. Hydroelectric dams have fragmented major rivers for years (Agostinho et al. 2008;
Fearnside 2016a), and Brazil has ambitious plans for new Amazon dams. Brazil must consider
investing more in alternatives with less impact than Amazon dams, such as halting export of
electro-intensive commodities (especially aluminum), improved transmission and use efficiency,
and generation from the country's abundant wind and solar sources (Fearnside 2016a; Pelicice et al. 2017).

Agriculture must respect the limits of ecosystems so that it is promoted with 106 sustainability. For example, numerous agricultural chemicals are currently allowed, hundreds of 107 them approved during the current presidential administration (Supplementary Material D). Many 108 of these poisons are banned in more-developed nations (Thomaz et al. 2020). The sale of these 109 chemicals for agriculture, and their irregular use, can cause disturbances in freshwater 110 ecosystems, since pesticides can reach waterbodies in the runoff of rainwater. When these 111 chemicals reach aquatic ecosystems, they can cause serious harm to the freshwater biota 112 (Miranda et al. 2008). 113

Many decisions, especially through new laws, have the capacity to expose Brazilian freshwaters to introduced species from the most varied locations of the planet (Coelho and Henry 2017; Pelicice et al. 2014). The Aichi Targets have been ignored in this regard in various ways (Lima Junior et al. 2018), with harmful consequences for ecosystems (Vitule et al. 2009). Invasive species should be treated more seriously by authorities and by society in general.

Pollution of the country's ecosystems has been taking place for a long time. Hundreds of cities in the country still throw domestic effluents into urban streams without any prior treatment. In addition, many Brazilian ecosystems (and the species within them) have received unprecedented amounts plastic waste (e.g., Andrade et al. 2019; Giarrizzo et al. 2019). The full extent of the impacts of this on Brazilian freshwater biota is unknown. Brazil has failed to adopt rigid measures to prevent the input of polymers and other types of waste in the country's ecosystems.

126

127

131

#### Restoration of freshwater ecosystems

128 Restoration of freshwater ecosystems has barely been discussed in the country and is still
129 a topic largely restricted to the academic community. Brazilian authorities need to rethink
130 opportunities for depollution, following trends in a number of other countries.

132 Protected areas

Most Brazilian protected areas have limited value for protection of freshwater biodiversity (e.g., Frederico et al. 2018). More-efficient protected areas therefore need to be implemented to protect this diversity (Azevedo-Santos et al. 2019). However, this depends on the good will of political agents.

137 138

#### More investment in research

Brazil is far from knowing the entirety of its freshwater biodiversity. For example, even 139 at this point in the 21st century, scientists described a large aquatic mammal (Hrbek et al. 2014). 140 In addition to the country's outstanding diversity of fish and other freshwater vertebrates, aquatic 141 invertebrate diversity is enormous over a wide range of taxonomic groups (Hamada et al. 2018, 142 2019). We are likely to lose species before we even know of their existence. Thus, basic studies 143 (e.g., surveys) on biodiversity should receive adequate investment in Brazil. In addition, 144 bureaucratic barriers that hinder this type of research need be removed (Bockmann et al. 2018); 145 in this regard, we recommend a revision of the biodiversity law (Law 13,123, May 20, 2015). 146 More investments are needed in biological collections, as these are essential for 147

taxonomic revisions and discoveries of new species. The September 2018 fire that destroyed
 Brazil's National Museum in Rio de Janeiro (Supplementary Material E) suggests the extent to
 which important collections have been neglected by the country's political authorities.

151

#### 4

#### 152 Science communication

Many of Brazil's public policies promote development without regard for impacts on biodiversity (Fearnside 2016b; Pelicice et al. 2017). Environmental policies, including those involving freshwater ecosystems, must be guided by scientific knowledge, which also requires the participation of scientists in the policy-elaboration process (Azevedo-Santos et al. 2017).

Only a small fraction of the country's scientific knowledge of biodiversity is provided to
society at large. Although important examples of science outreach exist (see França et al. 2018),
these are still relatively few. Providing scientific knowledge to society at large (and government
investments for this purpose) is essential to conserving freshwater biodiversity.

161

### 162 **Final Remarks**

Parties to the CBD need to consider our suggestions for conserving Brazilian freshwater 163 biodiversity in discussions on the new treaty for the "post-2020" period. While our suggestions 164 are directed to the CBD parties, they also apply to other international actors, including 165 governments, businesses and non-governmental organizations (NGOs). Brazil's environmental 166 setbacks under the current presidential administration have reached a magnitude suggesting that 167 measures needed to protect the country's biodiversity will not be taken in the absence of 168 169 influence from international actors (Ferrante and Fearnside 2019). Countries importing Brazilian soy, beef and timber must condition their purchases on adequate safeguards, among other 170 economic inducements to change the Brazilian government's behavior (Kehoe et al. 2019). 171 172 Private companies and NGOs, both national and international, among other actors such as

international investors, must also exert influence on environmental conservation in Brazil.

Parties to the CBD also need to consider creating an international fund for countries with megadiversity, such as Brazil. Such a fund must not only focus on conservation of forests, but also on freshwater biodiversity. Decisions for the next ten years are crucial for biodiversity and future generations in Brazil.

178

#### 179 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Francisco Diogo R. Sousa, for sending literature on Cladocera, and Paula N.Coelho and Vanessa S. Daga, for critical revision of the final version of this manuscript. We

182 would like to thank the four anonymous reviews, who significantly improved this paper.

183

#### 184 **References**

- Agostinho AA, Thomaz SM, Gomes LC (2005) Conservation of the Biodiversity of Brazil's
   inland waters. Conserv Biol 19: 646–652. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523 1739.2005.00701.x
- Agostinho AA, Pelicice FM, Gomes LC (2008) Dams and the fish fauna of the Neotropical
   region: Impacts and management related to diversity and fisheries. Braz. J. Biol. 68(4,
   Suppl.), 1119-1132. https://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1519-69842008000500019
- Andrade MC, Winemiller KO, Barbosa PS, Fortunati A, Chelazzi D, Cincinelli A, Giarrizzo T
   (2019) First account of plastic pollution impacting freshwater fishes in the Amazon:
   Ingestion of plastic debris by piranhas and other serrasalmids with diverse feeding habits.
   Environ Pollut 244: 766–773. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.10.088
- Azevedo-Santos VM, Fearnside PM, Oliveira CS, Padial AA, Pelicice FM, Lima Jr DP,
  Simberloff D, Lovejoy TE, Magalhães ALB, Orsi ML, Agostinho AA, Esteves FA,
  Pompeu PS, Laurance WF, Petrere Jr M, Mormul RP, Vitule JRS (2017) Removing the
  abyss between conservation science and policy decisions in Brazil. Biodivers Conserv 26:
  1745–1752. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-017-1316-x
- Azevedo-Santos VM, Frederico RG, Fagundes CK, Pompeu PS, Pelicice FM, Padial AA,
   Nogueira MG, Fearnside PM, Lima LB, Daga VS, Oliveira FJM, Vitule JRS, Callisto M,

78 79 Acl

202 203 204	Agostinho AA, Esteves FA, Lima-Junior DP, Magalhães ALB, Sabino J, Mormul RP, Grasel D, Zuanon J, Vilella FS, Henry R (2019) Protected areas: A focus on Brazilian freshwater biodiversity. Divers Distrib 25: 442–448. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12871
205 206 207 208	Bockmann FA, Rodrigues MT, Kohsldorf T, Straker LC, Grant T, de Pinna MCC, Mantelatto FLM, Datovo A, Pombal Jr. JP, McNamara JC, Almeida EAB, Klein W, Hsiou AS, Groppo M, Castro RMC, Amorim DS (2018) Brazil's government attacks biodiversity. Science 360: 865. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat7540
209	Brito MTS, Diniz LP, Pozzobom UM, Landeiro VL, Sousa FDR. (2020) Cladocera (Crustacea:
210	Branchiopoda) from the state of Mato Grosso, Brazil. Ann Limnol 56: 7.
211	https://doi.org/10.1051/limn/2020005
212 213 214	CBD (Convention on Biological Diversity) (2020) Discussions open in Rome towards a landmark new UN biodiversity framework. CBD. https://www.cbd.int/doc/press/2020/pr-2020-02-24-post2020-en.pdf
215	Cionek VM, Alves GHZ, Tófoli RM, Rodrigues-Filho JL, Dias RM (2019) Brazil in the mud
216	again: Lessons not learned from Mariana dam collapse. Biodivers Conserv 28: 1935–
217	1938. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-019-01762-3
218 219 220	Coelho PN, Henry R (2017) The small foreigner: New laws will promote the introduction of non-native zooplankton in Brazilian aquatic environments. Acta Limnol Bras 29: e7. https://doi.org/10.1590/s2179-975x0717
221	Congresso Nacional (2020) PL 191/2020. Câmara dos Deputados. Brasília, DF, Brazil.
222	https://www.camara.leg.br/proposicoesWeb/fichadetramitacao?idProposicao=2236765
223	Daga VS, Azevedo-Santos VM, Pelicice FM, Fearnside PM, Perbiche-Neves G, Paschoal LRP,
224	Cavallari DC, Erickson J, Ruocco AMC, Oliveira I, Padial AA, Vitule JRS (2020) Water
225	diversion in Brazil threatens biodiversity. Ambio 49: 165–172.
226	https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-019-01189-8
227 228 229	Dagosta FCP, de Pinna M (2019) The fishes of the Amazon: Distribution and biogeographical patterns, with a comprehensive list of species. Bull Am Mus Nat Hist 2019: 1–163. https://doi.org/10.1206/0003-0090.431
230	Fearnside PM (2016a) Environmental and social impacts of hydroelectric dams in Brazilian
231	Amazonia: Implications for the aluminum industry. World Devel 77: 48-65.
232	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.08.015
233	Fearnside PM (2016b) Brazilian politics threaten environmental policies. Science 353: 746–748.
234	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aag0254
235	Fernandes GW, Goulart FF, Ranieri BD, Coelho MS, Dales K, Boesche N, Bustamante M,
236	Carvalho FA, Carvalho DC, Dirzo R, Fernandes S, Galetti Jr. PM, Millan VEG, Mielke
237	C, Ramirez JL, Neves A, Rogass C, Ribeiro SP, Scariot A, Soares-Filho B. (2016) Deep
238	into the mud: Ecological and socio-economic impacts of the dam breach in Mariana,
239	Brazil. Nat Conserv 14(2): 35-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncon.2016.10.003
240	Fernandes, GW, Vale MM, Overbeck GE, Bustamante MM, Grelle CE, Bergallo HG, &
241	Araújo J. (2017). Dismantling Brazil's science threatens global biodiversity heritage.
242	Perspect Ecol Conser 15(3): 239-243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2017.07.004
243 244 245	Ferrante L, Fearnside FM (2019) Brazil's new president and 'ruralists' threaten Amazonia's environment, traditional peoples and the global climate. Environ Conserv 46: 261–663. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892919000213

Ferreira J, Aragão LEOC, Barlow J, Barreto P, Berenguer E, Bustamante M, ... & Pardini R, 246 (2014) Brazil's environmental leadership at risk. Science 346: 706-707. 247 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260194 248 249 Forró L, Korovchinsky NM, Kotov AA, & Petrusek A (2008) Global diversity of cladocerans (Cladocera; Crustacea) in freshwater. Hydrobiologia 595: 177-184. 250 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8259-7\_19 251 França JS, Solar R, Hughes RM, Callisto M (2019) Student monitoring of the ecological quality 252 of neotropical urban streams. Ambio 48: 867-878. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-018-253 254 1122-z Frederico RG, Zuanon J, Marco Jr PM (2018) Amazon protected areas and its ability to protect 255 stream-dwelling fish fauna. Biol Conserv 219: 12–19. 256 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.12.032 257 258 Garcia DAZ, Magalhães ALB, Vitule JRS, Casimiro ACR, Lima-Junior DP, Cunico AM, Brito MFG, Petrere-Junior M, Agostinho AA, Orsi ML (2018) The same old mistakes in 259 aquaculture: The newly-available striped catfish Pangasianodon hypophthalmus is on its 260 261 way to putting Brazilian freshwater ecosystems at risk. Biodivers Conserv 27: 3545-3558. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-018-1603-1 262 263 Giarrizzo T, Andrade MC, Schmid K, Winemiller KO, Ferreira M, Pegado T, Chelazzi D, Cincinelli A, Fearnside PM (2019) Amazonia: The new frontier for plastic pollution. 264 Frontiers Ecol Environ 17: 309-310. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2071 265 Hamada N, Thorp JH, Rogers DC (2018) Keys to Neotropical Hexapoda. Thorp and Covich's 266 Freshwater Invertebrates. Volume III. 4 ed. Elsevier. 267 Hamada N, Nessimian JL. Querino RB (2019) Insetos Aquáticos na Amazônia Brasileira: 268 Taxonomia, Biologia e Ecologia. Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA). 269 Hrbek T, Silva VMF, Dutra N, Gravena W, Martin AR, Farias IP (2014) A new species of river 270 dolphin from Brazil or: How little do we know our biodiversity. PLoS ONE 9: e83623 271 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083623 272 ICMBio (Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade) (2018) Livro Vermelho da 273 Fauna Brasileira Ameaçada de Extinção: Volume VI - Peixes. ICMBio, Brasília, DF, 274 Brazil. 275 276 Kehoe L, Reis T, Virah-Sawmy M, Balmford A, Kuemmerle T, and 604 signatories (2019) Make EU trade with Brazil sustainable. Science 364: 341. 277 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw8276 278 279 Lima Junior DP, Magalhães ALB, Pelicice FM, Vitule JRS, Azevedo-Santos VM, Orsi ML, Simberloff D, Agostinho AA (2018) Aquaculture expansion in Brazilian freshwaters 280 against the Aichi Biodiversity Targets. Ambio 47: 427-440. 281 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-017-1001-z 282 Malm O (1998) Gold mining as a source of mercury exposure in the Brazilian Amazon. Environ 283 Res; 77(2): 73-78 284 Miranda AL, Roche H, Randi MAF, Menezes ML, & Ribeiro CAO (2008) Bioaccumulation of 285 chlorinated pesticides and PCBs in the tropical freshwater fish *Hoplias malabaricus*: 286 Histopathological, physiological, and immunological findings. Environ Int 34(7), 939-287 949. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.02.004 288 Nazareno A, Vitule J (2016) Too many mining disasters in Brazil. Nature 531: 580. 289 https://doi.org/10.1038/531580e 290

291 292 293 294	Nobile AB, Cunico AM, Vitule JRS, Queiroz J, Vidotto-Magnoni AP, Garcia DAZ, Orsi ML, Lima FP, Acosta AA, Silva RJ, Prado FD, Porto-Foresti F, Brandão H, Foresti F, Oliveira C, Ramos IP (2020) Status and recommendations for sustainable freshwater aquaculture in Brazil. Aquaculture 12: 1495-1517. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12393
295 296 297	Pelicice FM, Azevedo-Santos VM, Vitule JRS, Orsi ML, Lima Junior DP, Magalhães ALB, Pompeu PS, Petrere Jr M, Agostinho AA (2017) Neotropical freshwater fishes imperiled by unsustainable policies. Fish Fish 18: 1119–1133. https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12228
298 299 300	Pelicice FM, Vitule JRS, Lima Junior DP, Orsi ML, Agostinho AA (2014) A serious new threat to Brazilian freshwater ecosystems: The naturalization of nonnative fish by decree. Conserv Lett 7: 55–60. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12029
301 302 303 304	Ribeiro VR, Silva PRL, Gubiani EA, Faria L, Daga VS, Vitule JRS (2017) Imminent threat of the predator fish invasion <i>Salminus brasiliensis</i> in a Neotropical ecoregion: Eco- vandalism masked as an environmental project. Perspect Ecol Conser 15: 132–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2017.03.004
305 306 307	Thomaz SM, Gomes Barbosa L, de Souza Duarte MC, Panosso R (2020) The future of nature conservation in Brazil. Inland Waters 10: 295-303. https://doi.org/10.1080/20442041.2020.1750255
308 309	Vitule JRS, Freire CA, Simberloff D (2009) Introduction of non-native freshwater fish can certainly be bad. Fish Fish 10: 98–108. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2008.00312.x

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

#### A - Bolsonaro administration policies on biodiversity

- Campos-Silva JV, Peres CA (2019) Brazil's policies stuck in the mud. Science 363: 1046. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw8293
- Coelho FEA, Lopes LC, Cavalcante RMS, Corrêa GC, Leduc AOHC (2019) Brazil unwisely gives pesticides a free pass. Science 365: 552–553. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay3150
- Fearnside PM (2019) Setbacks under President Bolsonaro: A Challenge to Sustainability in the Amazon. Sustentabilidade International Science Journal 1(1): 38–52. http://cca.ufam.edu.br/pdf/Revista\_Sustentabilidade\_28-06-

2019%20(1%C2%AA%20Edicao).pdf

- Carvalho WD, Mustin K, Hilário RR, Vasconcelos IM, Eilers V, Fearnside PM (2019) Deforestation control in the Brazilian Amazon: A conservation struggle being lost as agreements and regulations are subverted and bypassed. Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation 17(3): 122-130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2019.06.002
- Fearnside PM (2019) Desmonte da legislação ambiental brasileira. In: *Movimentos Socioambientais: Lutas Avanços Conquistas Retrocessos Esperanças*. (ed Weiss JS) Xapuri Socioambiental. pp. 317–381. https://doi.org/10.37682/xapbk.msoc-ed1-010

#### **B** - Ministry of the Environment (promise of extinction)

Stachewski AL (2019) Bolsonaro mantém Ministério do Meio Ambiente, mas esvazia pasta. Negócios, 29 January 2019. https://epocanegocios.globo.com/Brasil/noticia/2019/01/bolsonaro-mantem-ministeriodo-meio-ambiente-mas-esvazia-pasta.html Bragança D (2018) Bolsonaro confirma promessa: Ministério do Meio Ambiente deixará de existir. Oeco, 30 October 2018. https://www.oeco.org.br/noticias/bolsonaro-confirma-promessa-ministerio-do-meio-ambiente-deixara-de-existir/

#### C - CONAMA

- G1 (2020) Resoluções revogadas no Conama: entenda ponto a ponto o que muda e os prejuízos ao meio ambiente. G1, 28 September 2020 https://g1.globo.com/natureza/noticia/2020/09/28/resolucoes-revogadas-no-conamaentenda-ponto-a-ponto-o-que-muda-e-os-prejuizos-ao-meio-ambiente.ghtml
- Grilli M (2020) Entidades e ONGs ambientais criticam Conama e avaliam ir à Justiça para anular revogações.
  Globo Rural, 28 September 2020.
  https://revistagloborural.globo.com/Noticias/Sustentabilidade/noticia/2020/09/entidades-e-ongs-ambientais-criticam-conama-e-avaliam-ir-justica-para-anular-revogacoes.html

#### **D** - Poison

- Carrijo A (2019) Bolsonaro administration approves 197 pesticides in five months. Brasil de Fato, 22 May 2019 https://www.brasildefato.com.br/2019/05/22/bolsonaroadministration-approves-169-pesticides-in-five-months/
- Grigori P (2020) Em meio à pandemia, governo Bolsonaro aprova 118 agrotóxicos em dois meses. A Pública, 13 May 2020. https://apublica.org/2020/05/em-meio-a-pandemiagoverno-bolsonaro-aprova-96-agrotoxicos-em-dois-meses/

#### **E** - National Museum fire

Phillips D (2018) Brazil museum fire: 'incalculable' loss as 200-year-old Rio institution gutted. The Guardian, 3 September 2018. https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/sep/03/fireengulfs-brazil-national-museum-rio

- Andreoni M, Londoño E (2018) Loss of indigenous works in Brazil museum fire felt 'like a new genocide'. New York Times, 13 September 2018. https://www.nytimes.com/2018/09/13/world/americas/brazil-museum-fireindigenous.html
- Escobar H (2018) In a 'foretold tragedy,' fire consumes Brazil museum. Science 361: 960. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.361.6406.960